## OFFICIAL STATEMENT DATED MAY 20, 2020

## NEW ISSUE - Book-Entry-Only

Ratings:
Moody's: "Aa1"
S\&P: "AAA"
Fitch: "AAA"
(See "OTHER RELEVANT
INFORMATION - Ratings")

In the opinion of Bond Counsel, under existing law, interest on the Bonds is excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes under section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and is not a specific preference item for purposes of the alternative minimum tax. See "TAX MATTERS" for a discussion of the opinion of Bond Counsel.

THE BONDS WILL NOT BE DESIGNATED AS "QUALIFIED TAX-EXEMPT OBLIGATIONS" FOR FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS.

# \$8,720,000 <br> CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS <br> (Tarrant County, Texas) <br> Permanent Improvement Refunding Bonds, Series 2020 

## Dated: May 15, 2020

## Interest to accrue from the Delivery Date.

The $\$ 8,720,000$ City of Arlington, Texas, Permanent Improvement Refunding Bonds, Series 2020 (the "Bonds") will be issued in fully registered form without coupons and, when issued, will be registered in the name of Cede \& Co., as nominee of The Depository Trust Company, New York, New York ("DTC"). DTC will act as securities depository for the Bonds. Individual purchases will be made in book-entry form only, in principal amounts of $\$ 5,000$ or multiples thereof. Purchasers will not receive certificates representing their interest in the Bonds purchased. Interest on the Bonds will accrue from the date of their delivery to the Initial Purchasers (the "Delivery Date") to the Initial Purchasers and will be payable on February 15 and August 15 of each year, commencing February $15,2021$.

| (August 15) <br> Maturity | Amount |  | Interest <br> Rate | Yield | CUSIP ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2021 | \$ | 2,175,000 | 4.000\% | 0.300\% | 041796WB5 |
| 2022 |  | 2,175,000 | 4.000\% | 0.400\% | 041796WC3 |
| 2023 |  | 955,000 | 4.000\% | 0.500\% | 041796WD1 |
| 2024 |  | 150,000 | 4.000\% | 0.590\% | 041796WE9 |
| 2025 |  | 140,000 | 4.000\% | 0.680\% | 041796WF6 |
| 2026 |  | 135,000 | 4.000\% | 0.800\% | 041796WG4 |
| 2027 |  | 120,000 | 4.000\% | 0.920\% | 041796WH2 |
| 2028 |  | 955,000 | 5.000\% | 1.000\% | 041796WJ8 |
| 2029 |  | 960,000 | 4.000\% | 1.070\% | 041796WK5 |
| 2030 |  | 955,000 | 2.000\% | 1.220\% | 041796WL3 |

[^0]This cover page contains information for quick reference only. It is not a summary of this issue. Investors must read the entire official statement to obtain information essential to the making of an informed investment decision.

Separate Issues. The Bonds are being offered by the City concurrently with the "City of Arlington, Texas, Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2020" (the "Certificates"). The Certificates and the Bonds are being offered under a common Official Statement and are hereinafter sometimes referred to collectively as the "Obligations." The Bonds and Certificates are separate and distinct securities offerings being issued and sold independently. While the Certificates and Bonds share certain common attributes, each issue is separate from the other and should be reviewed and analyzed independently, including the type of obligation being offered, its terms for payment, the security for its payment, the rights of the holders, and other features (see "THE OBLIGATIONS - General").

Optional Redemption. The City reserves the right, at its option, to redeem the Bonds having stated maturities on and after August 15 , 2030 in whole or in part in principal amounts of $\$ 5,000$ or any integral multiple therefore, on August 15,2029 , or any date thereafter, at the par value thereof plus accrued interest to the date of redemption.

Legality. The Bonds are offered for delivery when, as and if issued and received by the Purchasers, subject to the approving opinion of the Attorney General of the State of Texas and the opinion of Bracewell LLP, Bond Counsel (see APPENDIX C "Form of Bond Counsel Opinion"). Certain legal matters will be passed upon by West \& Associates L.L.P., Dallas, Texas, Disclosure Counsel.

Delivery. It is expected that the Bonds will be delivered through the facilities of DTC on or about June 18, 2020 (the "Delivery Date").
[THIS PAGE LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY]

## OFFICIAL STATEMENT DATED MAY 20, 2020

## NEW ISSUE - Book-Entry-Only

Ratings:
Moody's: "Aa1"
S\&P: "AAA"
Fitch: "AAA"
(See "OTHER RELEVANT
INFORMATION - Ratings")

In the opinion of Bond Counsel, under existing law, interest on the Certificates is excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes under section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and is not a specific preference item for purposes of the alternative minimum tax. See "TAX MATTERS" for a discussion of the opinion of Bond Counsel.

THE CERTIFICATES WILL NOT BE DESIGNATED AS "QUALIFIED TAX-EXEMPT OBLIGATIONS" FOR FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS.

# \$9,205,000 <br> CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS <br> (Tarrant County, Texas) <br> Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2020 

## Dated: May 15, 2020

Interest to accrue from the Delivery Date.
Due: August 15, as shown below

The $\$ 9,205,000$ City of Arlington, Texas, Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2020 (the "Certificates") will be issued in fully registered form without coupons and, when issued, will be registered in the name of Cede \& Co., as nominee of The Depository Trust Company, New York, New York ("DTC"). DTC will act as securities depository for the Certificates. Individual purchases will be made in book-entry form only, in principal amounts of $\$ 5,000$ or multiples thereof. Purchasers will not receive certificates representing their interest in the Certificates purchased. Interest on the Certificates will accrue from the date of their delivery to the Initial Purchasers (the "Delivery Date" ) to the Initial Purchasers and will be payable on February 15 and August 15 of each year, commencing February 15, 2021.

## MATURITY SCHEDULE

(August 15)

| Maturity | Amount |  | Rate | Yield | CUSIP ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2021 | \$ | 1,000,000 | 2.000\% | 0.300\% | 041796VR1 |
| 2022 |  | 1,205,000 | 2.000\% | 0.350\% | 041796VS9 |
| 2023 |  | 1,305,000 | 2.000\% | 0.450\% | $041796 \mathrm{VT7}$ |
| 2024 |  | 1,405,000 | 4.000\% | 0.550\% | 041796VU4 |
| 2025 |  | 645,000 | 2.000\% | 0.650\% | 041796VV2 |
| 2026 |  | 660,000 | 5.000\% | 0.790\% | 041796VW0 |
| 2027 |  | 695,000 | 5.000\% | 0.900\% | 041796VX8 |
| 2028 |  | 730,000 | 5.000\% | 1.000\% | 041796VY6 |
| 2029 |  | 765,000 | 5.000\% | 1.050\% | 041796VZ3 |
| 2030 |  | 795,000 | 2.000\% | 1.300\% | 041796WA7 |

[^1]Optional Redemption. The City reserves the right, at its option, to redeem the Certificates having state maturities on and after August 15 , 2030 in whole or in part in principal amounts of $\$ 5,000$ or any integral multiple thereof, on August 15,2029 , or any date thereafter, at the par value thereof plus accrued interest to the date of redemption to the date of redemption.

Legality. The Certificates are offered for delivery when, as and if issued and received by the Purchasers, subject to the approving opinion of the Attorney General of the State of Texas and the opinion of Bracewell LLP, Bond Counsel (see APPENDIX C "Form of Bond Counsel Opinion"). Certain legal matters will be passed upon by West \& Associates L.L.P., Dallas, Texas, Disclosure Counsel.

Delivery. It is expected that the Certificates will be delivered through the facilities of DTC on or about June 18, 2020 (the "Delivery Date").

## OFFICIAL STATEMENT SUMMARY

This summary is subject in all respects to the more complete information and definitions contained or incorporated in this Official Statement. The offering of the Obligations to potential investors is made only by means of this entire Official Statement. No person is authorized to detach this data page from this Official Statement or to otherwise use it without the entire Official Statement.

## The City

The Bonds. $\qquad$

## The Certificates

$\qquad$

## Payment of Interest

$\qquad$

## AUTHORITY FOR ISSUANCE

## Security for the <br> Obligations

$\qquad$

## Optional Redemption

$\qquad$

## TAX ExEmption

The City of Arlington, Texas (the "City"), is located at the center of the Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex, between Dallas and Fort Worth and eight miles south of the Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport. The City, which encompasses 99.5 square miles operates under a Council-Manager form of government (see "INTRODUCTION - Description of the City").

The $\$ 8,720,000$ City of Arlington, Texas Permanent Improvement Refunding Bonds, Series 2020, dated May 15, 2020 will be issued as serial bonds maturing on August 15 in each of the years 2021 through 2030.

The $\$ 9,205,000$ City of Arlington, Texas, Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2020, dated May 15, 2020, will be issued as serial certificates maturing on August 15 in each of the years 2021 through 2030.

Interest on the Obligations accrues from the date of their initial delivery to the initial purchasers (the "Delivery Date"). Interest on the Obligations will be paid on February 15, 2021, and on each August 15 and February 15 thereafter until the maturity. (See "THE OBLIGATIONS - General" and "THE OBLIGATIONS - Optional Redemption").

The Bonds are authorized and issued pursuant to authority granted by the Constitution and the general laws of the State of Texas (the "State" or "Texas"), including particularly Chapter 1207, Texas Government Code, as amended, and an ordinance passed by the City Council authorizing the issuance of Bonds (the "PIB Ordinance") which delegated to an Authorized Officer of the City the authority to execute a pricing certificate (the "Pricing Certificate" and together with the PIB Ordinance, the "Bond Ordinance.") that will complete the final sale of the Bonds.

The Certificates are authorized and issued pursuant to the City Charter, the Constitution and general laws of the State, including particularly Subchapter C of Chapter 271, Texas Local Government Code, as amended, and an ordinance passed by the City Council authorizing the issuance of Certificates (the "2020 Certificate Ordinance" which delegated to an Authorized Officer of the City the authority to execute a pricing certificate (the "Pricing Certificate" and together with the 2020 Certificate Ordinance, the "Certificate Ordinance" and the Certificate Ordinance and the Bond Ordinance are herein refered to together as the "Ordinances").

The Bonds, when issued, will be direct obligations of the City, payable from the proceeds of a continuing and direct annual ad valorem tax levied, within the limits prescribed by law, against all taxable property within the City.

The Certificates when issued, will be direct obligations of the City, payable as to principal and interest from a combination of (i) an ad valorem tax levied annually, within the limits prescribed by law, against all taxable property in the City and (ii) a pledge of limited surplus revenues $(\$ 1,000)$ of the City's Water and Wastewater System, as provided in the Certificate Ordinance.

The City reserves the right, at its option, to redeem the Bonds having stated maturities on and after August 15, 2030 in whole or in part in principal amounts of $\$ 5,000$ or any integral multiple therefore, on August 15, 2029, or any date thereafter, at the par value thereof plus accrued interest to the date of redemption.

The City reserves the right, at its option, to redeem the Certificates having state maturities on and after August 15, 2030 in whole or in part in principal amounts of $\$ 5,000$ or any integral multiple thereof, on August 15,2029 , or any date thereafter, at the par value thereof plus accrued interest to the date of redemption to the date of redemption.

In the opinion of Bond Counsel, under existing law, interest on the Obligations will be excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes under Section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and is not a specific preference item for purposes of the alternative minimum tax. See "TAX MATTERS" herein for a discussion of the opinion of Bond Counsel.

Use of Proceeds.

Ratings $\qquad$

Book-Entry-Only

## System.

$\qquad$

Payment Record

The proceeds from the sale of the Bonds are being used to provide funds for (i) refunding currently outstanding obligations of the City, as set forth on Schedule I attached hereto, (the "Refunded Obligations") in order to achieve debt service savings; and (ii) paying the costs of issuance of the Bonds.

The proceeds from the sale of the Certificates are being used to provide funds for (i) acquisition and installation of firefighting vehicles and equipment; (ii) acquisition and installation of aircraft rescue and firefighting vehicles and equipment; (iii) information technology infrastructure and equipment for the City's public safety operations, (iv) design, development, construction, improvement and equipping of the City drainage improvements and facilities,; and (iii) to pay for professional services of attorneys, financial advisors and other professionals in connection with the issuance of the Certificates and the costs of issuance.

The Obligations are rated "Aa1" by Moody's Investors Service, Inc., "AAA" by S\&P Global Ratings, a business unit of Standard \& Poor's Financial Services LLC, ("S\&P") and "AAA" by Fitch Ratings. The City's presently outstanding tax supported debt and outstanding certificates of obligation have underlying ratings of "Aa1" by Moody's, "AAA" by S\&P and "AAA" by Fitch (see "OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION - Ratings").

The definitive Obligations will be initially registered and delivered only to Cede \& Co., the nominee of DTC pursuant to the Book-Entry-Only System described herein. Beneficial ownership of the Obligations may be acquired in denominations of $\$ 5,000$ or integral multiples thereof within a maturity. No physical delivery of the Obligations will be made to the beneficial owners thereof. Principal of, premium, if any, and interest on the Obligations will be payable by the Paying Agent/Registrar to Cede \& Co., which will make distribution of the amounts so paid to the beneficial owners of the Obligations (see "BOOK ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM").

The City has never defaulted on its revenue obligations and has not defaulted on its bonds payable from ad valorem taxation since 1935 when all such bonds were refunded at par with a reduction in interest rate.

## CITY OF ARLINGTON

## CITY OFFICIALS, STAFF, AND CONSULTANTS

## Elected Officials

| City Council | Length of Service | Term <br> Expires | Occupation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jeff Williams | 5 y ears | May, 2021 | Engineer |
| Mayor |  |  |  |
| Barbara Odom-Wesley Council Member | 1 year | May, 2021 | Healthcare Professional, Retired |
| Victoria Farrar-M y ers Council Member | 4 y ears | May, 2020 | ${ }^{(2)}$ Professor |
| Sheri Capehart Council Member | 20 years ${ }^{(1)}$ | May, 2020 | ${ }^{(2)}$ Computer Security Analyst, Retired |
| Helen Moise Council Member | 2 y ears | May, 2020 | ${ }^{(2)}$ Financial and Asset Manager, Retired |
| Robert Shepard Council Member | 12 years | May, 2020 | ${ }^{(2)}$ Attorney |
| Marvin Sutton Council Member | 1 year | May, 2021 | Air Traffic Controller, Retired |
| Andrew Piel Council Member | 1 year | May, 2021 | Attorney |
| Ignacio Nunez Council Member | 1 year | May, 2021 | Medical Doctor, Retired |

[^2]
## Appointed Officials

| Name |  | Years of Employment <br> with City |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Trey Yelverton | City Manager | 27 |
| Gilbert Perales | Deputy City Manager | 13 |
| Jennifer Wichmann | Assistant City Manager | 16 |
| Jim Parajon | Deputy City Manager | 14 |
| Mike Finley | Director of Finance | 24 |
| Teris Solis | City Attorney | 29 |
| Alex Busken | City Secretary | 2 |

## ADVISORS AND INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

Independent Auditors. $\qquad$ Grant Thornton L.L.P., Dallas, Texas

Bond Counsel $\qquad$ ..Bracewell LLP, Dallas, Texas

Financial Advisor $\qquad$ Estrada Hinojosa \& Company, Inc., Dallas, Texas

Disclosure Counsel $\qquad$ West \& Associates L.L.P., Dallas, Texas

For additional information regarding the City, please contact:

| Mr. Mike Finley | Mr. Dave Gordon |
| :--- | :--- |
| City of Arlington | Estrada Hinojosa \& Company, Inc. |
| 101 W. Abram Street, 3 rd Floor | 1717 Main Street, Suite 4700 |
| Arlington, Texas | Dallas, Texas |
| (817) 459-6100 | (214) 658-1670 |

## USE OF INFORMATION IN OFFICIAL STATEMENT

This Official Statement, which includes the cover pages, tables, schedules and the Appendices hereto, does not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy in any jurisdiction to any person to whom it is unlawful to make such offer, solicitation or sale.

No dealer, broker, salesman, or other person has been authorized by the City to give any information or to make any representation other than those contained in this Official Statement, and, if given or made, such other information or representation must not be relied upon as having been authorized by the City, the Initial Purchasers or any other person. This Official Statement does not constitute an offer to sell and is not to be used in an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy in any jurisdiction in which such offer or solicitation is not authorized or in which the person making such offer or solicitation is not authorized or in which the person making such offer or solicitation is not qualified to do so or to any person to whom it is unlawful to make such offer or solicitation.

Certain information set forth in this Official Statement has been furnished by the City and other sources which are believed to be reliable, but such information is not guaranteed as to accuracy or completeness by, and is not to be construed as a promise or guaranty by, the City or the Financial Advisor. The information and expressions of opinion contained herein are subject to change without notice and neither the delivery of this Official Statement nor any sale made hereunder shall, under any circumstances, create any implication that there has been no change in the affairs of the City since the date hereof. The delivery of this Official Statement at any time does not imply that the information herein is correct as to any time subsequent to its date. See "CONTINUING DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION" for a description of the City's undertaking to provide certain information on a continuing basis.

Neither the City, nor its Financial Advisor, make any representation regarding the information contained in this Official Statement regarding The Depository Trust Company, or its book-entry-only system, as such information has been furnished by the Depository Trust Company.
the obligations have not been registered under the securities act of 1933, as amended, in reliance upon EXEMPTIONS CONTAINED IN SUCH ACT. THE REGISTRATION OF QUALIFICATION OF THE OBLIGATIONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPLICABLE PROVISIONS OF SECURITIES LAW OF THE STATES IN WHICH THE OBLIGATIONS HAVE BEEN REGISTERED OR QUALIFIED AND THE EXEMPTION FROM REGISTRATION OR QUALIFICATION IN OTHER STATES CANNOT BE REGARDED AS A RECOMMENDATION THEREOF.

The cover pages contain certain information for general reference only and is not intended as a summary of this offering. Investors should read the entire Official Statement, including all tables, schedules and appendices attached hereto, to obtain information essential to making an informed investment decision.

THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT CONTAINS "FORWARD-LOOKING" STATEMENTS WITHIN THE MEANING OF SECTION 21E OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934, AS AMENDED. SUCH STATEMENTS MAY INVOLVE KNOWN AND UNKNOWN RISKS, UNCERTAINTIES AND OTHER FACTORS WHICH MAY CAUSE THE ACTUAL RESULTS, PERFORMANCE AND ACHIEVEMENTS TO be Different from the future results, performance and achievements expressed or implied by such FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS. INVESTORS ARE CAUTIONED THAT THE ACTUAL RESULTS COULD DIFFER MATERIALLY FROM THOSE SET FORTH IN THE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS.
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## Dallas/Fort Worth/Arlington Metropolitan Area



# CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS 

(Tarrant County, Texas)

# \$8,720,000 <br> Permanent Improvement Refunding Bonds, Series 2020 

## and

\$9,205,000
Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2020

## INTRODUCTION

This Official Statement, which includes the cover pages and Appendices hereto, provides certain information regarding the issuance of City of Arlington, Texas (the "City") \$8,720,000 Permanent Improvement Refunding Bonds, Series 2020 (the "Bonds"), and \$9,205,000 Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation, Series 2020 (the "Certificates" and together with the Bonds, the "Obligations"). Capitalized terms used in this Official Statement have the same meanings assigned to such terms in the Ordinances (defined below) except as otherwise indicated.

All financial and other information presented in this Official Statement has been provided by the City from its records, except for information expressly attributed to other sources. The presentation of information, including tables of receipts from taxes and other sources, is intended to show recent historic information and is not intended to indicate future or continuing trends in the financial position or other affairs of the City. No representation is made that past experience, as is shown by that financial and other information, will necessarily continue or be repeated in the future (see "OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION - Forward Looking Information").

There follows in this Official Statement descriptions of the Obligations and certain information regarding the City and its finances. All descriptions of documents contained herein are only summaries and are qualified in their entirety by reference to each such document. Copies of such documents may be obtained from the City's Financial Advisor, Estrada Hinojosa \& Company, Inc., Dallas, Texas.

## Description of the City

The City is a political subdivision and municipal corporation of the State of Texas (the "State" or "Texas"), duly organized and existing under the laws of the State, including the City's home rule charter (the "City Charter"). The City was incorporated in 1884 and first adopted the City Charter in 1920.

The City is located at the center of the Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex, between Dallas and Fort Worth and eight miles south of the Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport. The City, which encompasses 99.5 square miles, had a 2020 estimated population of 388,110 . The City operates as a home-rule City under a Council-Manager form of government as established by its City Charter. There is a nine-member City Council (the "Council" or "City Council") vested with local legislative power. Three council members and the Mayor are elected "at large" and five council members are elected in five single-member districts. All members of the Council are elected for terms of two years, with the elections being held in even/odd years for approximately half the seats and provides the following services to the citizens of the City: public safety (police and fire), public works, public welfare, parks and recreation, public health, water and wastewater utilities, and general administrative services. The City operates its water and wastewater system, its storm water system and its sanitary landfill operation (currently outsourced) as self-supporting enterprise funds.

## THE OBLIGATIONS

## Authority for Issuance

The Bonds are authorized and issued pursuant to authority granted by the Constitution and the general laws of the State, including particularly Chapter 1207, Texas Government Code, as amended, and an ordinance (the "PIB Bond Ordinance") passed by the City Council authorizing the issuance of Bonds in which the City Council delegated pricing of the Bonds to an Authorized Officer who approved a pricing certificate (the "Pricing Certificate" and together with the PIB Ordinance, the "Bond Ordinance") which will contain the final terms of sale and will complete the sale of the Bonds.

The Certificates are authorized and issued pursuant to the Constitution and general laws of the State, including particularly Subchapter C of Chapter 271, Texas Local Government Code, Article XIII of the City Charter, as amended, and an ordinance (the " 2020 Certificate Ordinance") passed by the City Council authorizing the issuance of Certificates in which the City Council delegated pricing of the Bonds to an Authorized Officer who approved a pricing certificate (the "Pricing Certificate" and together with the 2020 Certificate Ordinance, the "Certificate Ordinance") which will contain the final terms of sale and completes the sale of the Certificates. The Bond Ordinance and Certificate Ordinance are herein referred to as the "Ordinances".

## General

The Bonds (as defined on page i herein) will be dated May 15, 2020, and will mature on the dates set forth on page iii of this Official Statement. Interest will accrue from the Delivery Date and will be paid on February 15, 2021, and on each February 15 and August 15 thereafter until maturity

The Certificates (as defined on page iii herein) will be dated May 15, 2020, and will mature on the dates set forth on page iii of this Official Statement. Interest will accrue from the Delivery Date and will be paid on February 15, 2021, and on each February 15 and August 15 thereafter until maturity.

## Security

The Bonds, when issued, will be direct obligations of the City, payable from the proceeds of the levy of a continuing, direct annual ad valorem tax levied and assessed, within the limits prescribed by law, against all taxable property within the City.

The Certificates when issued, will be direct obligations of the City, payable as to principal and interest from a combination of (i) an ad valorem tax levied annually, within the limits prescribed by law, against all taxable property in the City and (ii) a pledge of limited surplus revenues $(\$ 1,000)$ of the City's Water and Wastewater System, as provided in the Certificate Ordinance.

## Tax Rate Limitations

All taxable property within the City is subject to the assessment, levy and collection by the City of a continuing, direct annual ad valorem tax sufficient to provide for the payment of principal of and interest on all ad valorem tax debt within the limits prescribed by law. Article XI, Section 5, of the Texas Constitution is applicable to the City, and limits its maximum ad valorem tax rate to $\$ 2.50$ per $\$ 100$ Taxable Assessed Valuation for all City purposes. Administratively, the Attorney General of the State will permit allocation of $\$ 1.50$ of the $\$ 2.50$ maximum tax rate for all general obligation debt service, as calculated at the time of issuance.

## Use of Proceeds

The proceeds from the sale of the Bonds are being used to provide funds for (i) refunding certain currently outstanding obligations of the City, as set forth on Schedule I attached hereto, (the "Refunded Obligations") in order to achieve debt service savings; and (ii) paying the costs of issuance of the Bonds.

The proceeds from the sale of the Certificates are being used to provide funds for (i) acquisition and installation of firefighting vehicles and equipment; (ii) acquisition and installation of aircraft rescue and firefighting vehicles and equipment; (iii) information technology infrastructure and equipment for the City's public safety operations, (iv) design, development, construction, improvement and equipping of City drainage improvements and facilities,; and (iii) to pay for professional services of attorneys, financial advisors and other professionals in connection with the issuance of the Certificates and the costs of issuance.

## Refunded Obligations

The principal and interest due on the Refunded Obligations are to be paid on the redemption date of such Refunded Obligations and at the prices listed in Schedule I hereto, from funds to be deposited pursuant to a certain Escrow Agreement (the "Escrow Agreement"") between the City and the paying agent/registrar for the Refunded Obligations (the "Escrow Agent"). The Bond Ordinance provides that from the proceeds of the sale of the Bonds received from the Initial Bond Purchaser, together with other lawfully available City funds of the City, if any, the City will deposit with the Escrow Agent an amount which, together with the Escrowed Securities (defined below) purchased with a portion of the Bond proceeds and the interest to be earned on such Escrowed Securities, will be sufficient to accomplish the discharge and final payment of the Refunded Obligations on their redemption date. Such funds will be held by the Escrow Agent, in an escrow account (the "Escrow Fund") and used to purchase securities authorized by Chapter 1207, Texas Government Code, as amended (the "Escrowed Securities"), and the ordinance authorizing the Refunded Obligations, to defease and redeem the Refunded Obligations. Under the Escrow Agreement, the Escrow Fund is irrevocably pledged to the payment of the principal of and interest on the Refunded Obligations and the principal and interest earned on the Escrowed Securities will not be available to pay the debt service on the Obligations.

Causey Demgen \& Moore P.C., (the "Verification Agent") will verify at the time of delivery of the Bonds to the Initial Bond Purchaser the mathematical accuracy of the schedules that demonstrate that the Escrowed Securities will mature and pay interest in such amounts which, together with uninvested funds in the Escrow Fund, will be sufficient to pay, when due, the amount necessary to accomplish the discharge and final payment of principal of and interest on the Refunded Obligations on the redemption date (see "OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION Verification of Arithmetical and Mathematical Computations").

By the deposit of the Escrowed Securities and cash, if necessary, with the Escrow Agent pursuant to the Escrow Agreement, the City will have affected the defeasance of all of the Refunded Obligations in accordance with State law. It is the opinion of Bond Counsel that as a result of such defeasance and in reliance upon the verification report of the Verification Agent, the Refunded Obligations will be outstanding only for the purpose of receiving payments from the Escrowed Securities and any cash held for such purpose by the Escrow Agent and the Refunded Obligations will not be deemed as being outstanding obligations of the City payable from ad valorem taxes nor for the purpose of applying any limitation on the issuance of debt.

## Sources and Uses

The sources and uses of funds for the Bonds are approximately as follows:

| Sources: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Par amount of the Bonds | \$ | 8,720,000.00 |
| Net Reoffering Premium |  | 1,039,178.05 |
| Cash Contribution |  | 198,308.80 |
| Total Sources of Funds | \$ | 9,957,486.85 |
| Uses: |  |  |
| Escrow Deposit | \$ | 9,826,155.00 |
| Cost of Issuance |  | 131,331.85 |
| Total Uses of Funds | \$ | 9,957,486.85 |

The sources and uses of funds for the Certificates are approximately as follows:

Sources:
Par amount of the Certificates
Net Reoffering Premium
Total Sources of Funds

Uses:
Deposit to Construction Fund
Cost of Issuance
Total Uses of Funds

| $\$$ | $9,205,000.00$ |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | $1,243,567.15$ |
| $\$$ | $10,448,567.15$ |


| \$ | $10,320,000.00$ |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | $128,567.15$ |
| $\$$ | $10,448,567.15$ |

## Payment Record

The City has never defaulted on its revenue obligations and has not defaulted on its bonds payable from ad valorem taxation since 1935 when all such bonds were refunded at par with a reduction in interest rate.

## Paying Agent/Registrar

The initial Paying Agent/Registrar is Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., Dallas, Texas (the "Paying Agent/Registrar"). Payments of principal and interest on the Obligations will be payable by the Paying Agent/Registrar to DTC or its nominee, Cede \& Co., which will then remit such payments to the DTC Participants for subsequent disbursement to the Beneficial Owners of the Obligations, as described herein under "BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM."

The City covenants to maintain and provide a Paying Agent/Registrar at all times until the Obligations are duly paid and any successor Paying Agent/Registrar shall be a commercial bank or trust company organized under the laws of the State or other entity duly qualified and legally authorized to serve as and perform the duties and services of Paying Agent/Registrar for the Obligations. Upon any change in the Paying Agent/Registrar for the Obligations, the City agrees to promptly cause a written notice thereof to be sent to each registered owner of the Obligations by United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, which notice shall also give the address of the new Paying Agent/Registrar (see "-Registration, Transfer, Exchange - Successor Paying Agent/Registrar" herein).

In the event use of the Book-Entry-Only System should be discontinued, interest on the Obligations shall be paid to the registered owners appearing on the registration books of the Paying Agent/Registrar at the close of business on the Record Date (hereinafter defined), and such interest shall be paid (i) by check sent United States Mail, first class postage prepaid to the address of the registered owner recorded in the registration books of the Paying Agent/Registrar or (ii) by such other method, acceptable to the Paying Agent/Registrar requested by, and at the risk and expense of, the registered owner.

Principal of the Obligations will be payable to the registered owner at maturity or prior redemption upon presentation at the designated payment office of the Paying Agent/Registrar. Interest on the Obligations will be payable by check, dated as of the interest payment date, and mailed by the Paying Agent/Registrar to registered owners as shown on the records of the Paying Agent/Registrar on the Record Date (See "Registration, Transfer, Exchange - Record Date for Interest Payment" herein), or by such other method, acceptable to the Paying Agent/Registrar, requested by and at the risk and expense of, the registered owner. If the date for the payment of the principal of or interest on the Obligations shall be a Saturday, Sunday, legal holiday, or day on which banking institutions in the city where the Paying Agent/Registrar is located are authorized by law or executive order to close, then the date for such payment shall be the next succeeding day which is not such a Saturday, Sunday, legal holiday, or day on which banking institutions are authorized to close; and payment on such date shall have the same force and effect as if made on the original payment date So long as Cede \& Co. is the registered owner of the Obligations, principal and interest on the Obligations will be made as described in "THE OBLIGATIONS - Book-Entry-Only System".

## Amendments

The City may amend the Ordinances without the consent of or notice to any registered owners in any manner not detrimental to the interests of the registered owners, including the curing of any ambiguity, inconsistency, formal defect or omission therein. In addition, the City may, with the written consent of the holders of a majority in aggregate principal amount of the Obligations then outstanding, as applicable, amend, add to, or rescind any of the provisions of the respective Ordinances, except that, without the consent of the registered owners of all of the Obligations, as applicable, no such amendment, addition or rescission may (1) change the date specified as the date on which the principal on any installment of interest is due payable, reduce the principal amount or the rate of interest, or in any other way modify the terms of their payment, (2) give any preference to any Obligations, as applicable, over any other Obligations or (3) reduce the aggregate principal amount required to be held by owners for consent to any amendment, addition or rescission.

## Optional Redemption

The City reserves the right, at its option, to redeem the Bonds having stated maturities on and after August 15, 2030 in whole or in part in principal amounts of $\$ 5,000$ or any integral multiple therefore, on August 15,2029 , or any date thereafter, at the par value thereof plus accrued interest to the date of redemption.

The City reserves the right, at its option, to redeem the Certificates having stated maturities on and after August 15, 2030 in whole or in part in principal amounts of $\$ 5,000$ or any integral multiple therefore, on August 15,2029 , or any date thereafter, at the par value thereof plus accrued interest to the date of redemption.

## Defeasance

The Ordinances provide that the City may discharge its obligations to the registered owners of any or all of the Obligations to pay principal, interest and redemption price thereon in any manner permitted by law. Under current Texas law, such discharge may be accomplished by either (i) depositing with the Comptroller of Public Accounts of the State a sum of money equal to the principal of, premium, if any, and all interest to accrue on the Obligations to maturity or prior redemption or (ii) by depositing with a paying agent, or other authorized escrow agent, amounts sufficient to provide for the payment and/or redemption of the Obligations; provided that such deposits may be invested and reinvested in (a) direct, noncallable obligations of the United States of America, including obligations that are unconditionally guaranteed by the United State of America, (b) noncallable obligations of an agency or instrumentality of the United States of America, including obligations that are unconditionally guaranteed or insured by the agency or instrumentality of the United States of America, including Obligations that are unconditionally guaranteed or insured by the agency or instrumentality and that are rated as to investment quality by a nationally recognized investment rating firm not less than AAA or its equivalent, and (c) noncallable obligations of a state or an agency or a county, municipality, or other political subdivision of a state that have been refunded and on the date the governing body of the City adopts or approves the proceedings authorizing the issuance of refunding bonds to refund the obligations, that are rated as to investment quality by a nationally recognized investment rating firm not less than AAA or its equivalent. The foregoing obligations may be in book-entry-only form, and shall mature and/or bear interest in such amounts as will be sufficient to provide for the scheduled payment and/or redemption of the Obligations. If any such Obligations are to be redeemed prior to their respective dates of maturity, provision must have been made for giving notice of redemption as provided in the Ordinance.

Under current State law, after such deposit as described above, the Obligations shall no longer be regarded as outstanding or unpaid. After firm banking and financial arrangements for the discharge and final payment or redemption of the Obligations have been made as described above, all rights of the City to initiate proceedings to call the Obligations for redemption or take any other action amending the terms of the Obligations are extinguished; provided, however, that the right to call the Obligations for redemption is not extinguished if the City: (i) in the proceedings providing for the firm banking and financial arrangements, expressly reserves the right to call the Obligations for redemption; (ii) gives notice of the reservation of that right to the owners of the Obligations immediately following the making of the firm banking and financial arrangements; and (iii) directs that notice of the reservation be included in any redemption notices that it authorizes.

There is no assurance that the current law will not be changed in a manner which would permit investments other than those described above to be made with amounts deposited to defease the Obligations. Because the Ordinances do not contractually limit such investments, registered owners may be deemed to have consented to defeasance with such other investments, notwithstanding the fact that such investments may not be of the same investment quality as those currently permitted under Texas law.

## Holders' Remedies

The Ordinances authorizing the issuance of the Obligations establish the following Events of Default with respect to the Obligations: (i) failure to make payment of principal of or interest on any of the Obligations when due and payable; or (ii) defaults in the observance or performance of any other of the covenants, conditions or obligations set forth in the Ordinances which materially and adversely affects the rights of the related Owners, including but not limited to their prospect or ability to be repaid in accordance with the Ordinances, and the continuation thereof for a period of sixty days after notice of such default is given by any Owner to the City. Under State law, there is no right to the acceleration of maturity of the Obligations upon an event of default under the Ordinances. Although a registered Owner could presumably obtain a judgment against the City if a default occurred in any payment of the principal of or interest on any such Obligations, such judgment could not be satisfied by execution against any property of the City. Such registered Owner's only practical remedy, if a default occurs, is a mandamus or mandatory injunction proceeding to compel the City to assess and collect an annual ad valorem tax sufficient to pay principal of and interest on the Obligations as they become due. The enforcement of any such remedy may be difficult and time consuming and a registered owner could be required to enforce such remedy on a periodic basis. No assurance can be given that a mandamus or other legal action to enforce a default under the Ordinances would be successful.

The Texas Supreme Court ruled in Tooke v. City of Mexia, 197 S.W. 3rd 325 (Tex. 2006), that a waiver of governmental immunity in a contractual dispute must be provided for by statute in "clear and unambiguous" language. Because it is unclear whether the Texas legislature has effectively waived the City's governmental immunity from a suit for money damages, registered owners may not be able to bring such a suit against the City for breach of the Obligations or covenants in the Ordinances. Even if a judgment against the City could be obtained, it could not be enforced by direct levy and execution against the City's property. Further, the registered owners cannot themselves foreclose on property within the City or sell property within the City to enforce the tax lien on taxable property to pay the principal of and interest on the Obligations.

On April 1, 2016, the Texas Supreme Court ruled in Wasson Interests, Ltd. v. City of Jacksonville, 59 Tex. Sup. Ct. J. 524 (Tex. 2016) that governmental immunity does not imbue a city with derivative immunity when it performs proprietary, as opposed to governmental, functions in respect to contracts executed by a city. Texas jurisprudence has generally held that proprietary functions are those conducted by a city in its private capacity, for the benefit only of those within its corporate limits, and not as an arm of the government or under the authority or for the benefit of the state. In Wasson, the Court recognized that the distinction between governmental and proprietary functions is not clear. Therefore, in considering municipal breach of contract cases, it is incumbent on the courts to determine whether a function is proprietary or governmental based upon the common law and statutory guidance. Issues related to the applicability of governmental immunity as they relate to the issuance of municipal debt have not been adjudicated. Each situation will be evaluated based on the facts and circumstances surrounding the contract in question. Chapter 1371, Texas Government Code ("Chapter 1371"), which pertains to the issuance of public securities by issuers such as the City, permits the City to waive sovereign immunity in the proceedings authorizing its bonds, but in connection with the issuance of the Obligations, the City is not using the authority provided by Chapter 1371 and has not waived sovereign immunity in the proceedings authorizing the Obligations.

The Ordinances do not provide for the appointment of a trustee to represent the interest of the holders of the Obligations upon any failure of the City to perform in accordance with the terms of the Ordinances, or upon any other condition. Furthermore, the City is eligible to seek relief from its creditors under Chapter 9 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code ("Chapter 9"). Although Chapter 9 provides for the recognition of a security interest represented by a specifically pledged source or revenues, the pledge of ad valorem taxes in support of a general obligation of a bankrupt entity is not specifically recognized as a security interest under Chapter 9 . Chapter 9 also includes an automatic stay provision that would prohibit, without Bankruptcy Court approval, the prosecution of any other legal action by creditors or Obligation holders of an entity which has sought protection under Chapter 9. Therefore, should the City avail itself of Chapter 9 protection from creditors, the ability to enforce would be subject to the approval of the Bankruptcy Court (which could require that the action be heard in Bankruptcy Court instead of other federal or state court); and the Bankruptcy Code provides for broad discretionary powers of a Bankruptcy Court in administering any proceeding brought before it. The opinions of Bond Counsel will note that the rights of holders of the Obligations are subject to the applicable provisions of the federal bankruptcy laws and any other similar laws affecting the rights of creditors of political subdivisions generally, and may be limited by general principles of equity which permit the exercise of judicial discretion.

## Registration, Transfer and Exchange

Registration and Payment. The Obligations will be initially issuable only in the name of Cede \& Co., as nominee of DTC which will act as securities depository for the Obligations. Principal and semiannual interest on the Obligations will be paid by the Paying Agent/Registrar to Cede \& Co., as nominee for DTC, which shall disburse such payments to the DTC Participants who will distribute such payments to the Beneficial Owners as described herein.

For so long as DTC is the securities depository for the Obligations, then the term "Owner" shall refer solely to DTC. In the event that DTC is no longer the securities depository for the Obligations, the term "Owner" shall refer to a successor securities depository or the Beneficial Owners of the Obligations which are shown as registered Owners on the registration books of the Paying Agent/Registrar.

Future Registration. In the event that DTC is no longer the securities depository for the Obligations and a successor securities depository is not appointed by the City printed certificates for the Obligations will be delivered to the owners thereof, and thereafter, the Obligations may be transferred, registered, and assigned only on the registration books of the Paying Agent/Registrar and such registration shall be at the expense of the City except for any tax or other governmental charges required to be paid with respect to such registration, exchange and transfer. An Obligation may be assigned by execution of an assignment form on the Obligations or by other instruments of transfer and assignment acceptable to the Paying Agent/Registrar. A new Obligation or Obligations will be delivered by the Paying Agent/Registrar to the last assignee (the new Owner) in exchange for such transferred and assigned Obligations in accordance with the provisions of the respective Ordinance. Such new Obligation must be in the denomination of $\$ 5,000$ for any one maturity or any integral multiple thereof. The last assignee's claim of title to the Obligation must be proved to the satisfaction of the Paying Agent/Registrar.

Record Date for Interest Payment. The record date ("Record Date") for the interest payment on the Obligations on an interest payment date means the close of business on the last business day of the preceding month.

In the event of a non-payment of interest on the Obligations on a scheduled payment date, and for 30 days thereafter, a new record date for such interest payment (a "Special Record Date") will be established by the Paying Agent/Registrar, if and when funds for the payment of such interest have been received from the City. Notice of the Special Record Date and of the scheduled payment date of the past due interest ("Special Payment Date," which shall be 15 days after the Special Record Date) shall be sent at least five business days prior to the Special Record Date by United States mail, first class postage prepaid, to the address of each Owner of an Obligation appearing on the registration books of the Paying Agent/Registrar at the close of business on the last business day next preceding the date of mailing of such notice.

Successor Paying Agent/Registrar. Provision is made in each Ordinance for replacement of the Paying Agent/Registrar. If the Paying Agent/Registrar is replaced by the City, the new Paying Agent/Registrar shall accept the previous Paying Agent/Registrar's records and act in the same capacity as the previous Paying Agent/Registrar. The Paying Agent/Registrar selected by the City shall be a commercial bank, a trust company organized under the laws of the State of Texas, or other entity duly qualified and legally authorized to serve as and perform the duties and services of paying agent and registrar for the Obligations. A successor Paying Agent/Registrar, if any, shall be determined by the City. Upon a change in the Paying Agent/Registrar for the Obligations, the City agrees to promptly cause written notice thereof to be sent to each registered owner of the Obligations. Neither the City nor the Paying Agent/Registrar shall be required to issue, transfer or exchange any Bond or portion thereof, called for redemption prior to maturity, within 45 days prior to the date fixed for redemption.

## BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM

The Depository Trust Company ("DTC"), New York, New York, will act as securities depository for the Obligations. The Obligations will be issued as fully-registered securities registered in the name of Cede \& Co. (DTC's partnership nominee) or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. One fully-registered certificate will be issued for each maturity of the Obligations, each in the aggregate principal amount of such maturity, and will be deposited with DTC.

DTC, the world's largest depository, is a limited-purpose trust company organized under the New York Banking Law, a "banking organization" within the meaning of the New York Banking Law, a member of the Federal Reserve System, a "clearing corporation" within the meaning of the New York Uniform Commercial Code, and a "clearing agency" registered pursuant to the provisions of Section 17A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. DTC holds and provides asset servicing for over 3.5 million issues of U.S. and non-U.S. equity issues, corporate and municipal debt issues, and money market instruments from over 100 countries that DTC's participants ("Direct Participants") deposit with DTC. DTC also facilitates the post-trade settlement among Direct Participants of sales and other securities transactions in deposited securities, through electronic computerized book-entry transfers and pledges between Direct Participants' accounts. This eliminates the need for physical movement of securities certificates. Direct Participants include both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, clearing corporations, and certain other organizations. DTC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Depository Trust \& Clearing Corporation ("DTCC"). DTCC, in turn, is the holding company for DTC, National Securities Clearing Corporation, and Fixed Income Clearing Corporation, all of which are registered clearing agencies. DTC is owned by the users of its registered subsidiaries. Access to the DTC system is also available to others such as both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, arid clearing corporations that clear through or maintain a custodial relationship with a Direct Participant, either directly or indirectly ("Indirect Participants"). DTC has a Standard \& Poor's rating of AA+. The DTC Rules applicable to its Participants are on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission. More information about DTC can be found at www.dtcc.com and www.dtc.org.

Purchases of Obligations under the DTC system must be made by or through Direct Participants, which will receive a credit for the Obligations on DTC's records. The ownership interest of each actual purchaser of each Obligation ("Beneficial Owner") is in turn to be recorded on the Direct and Indirect Participants' records. Beneficial Owners will not receive written confirmation from DTC of their purchase. Beneficial Owners are, however, expected to receive written confirmations providing details of the transaction, as well as periodic statements of their holdings, from the Direct or Indirect Participant through which the Beneficial Owner entered into the transaction. Transfers of ownership interests in the Obligations are to be accomplished by entries made on the books of Direct and Indirect Participants acting on behalf of Beneficial Owners. Beneficial Owners will not receive certificates representing their ownership interests in the Obligations, except in the event that use of the book-entry system for the Obligations are discontinued.

To facilitate subsequent transfers, all Obligations deposited by Direct Participants with DTC are registered in the name of DTC's partnership nominee, Cede \& Co., or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. The deposit of Obligations with DTC and their registration in the name of Cede \& Co. or such other DTC nominee do not effect any change in beneficial ownership. DTC has no knowledge of the actual Beneficial Owners of the Obligations; DTC's records reflect only the identity of the Direct Participants to whose accounts such Obligations are credited, which may or may not be the Beneficial Owners. The Direct and Indirect Participants will remain responsible for keeping account of their holdings on behalf of their customers.

Conveyance of notices and other communications by DTC to Direct Participants, by Direct Participants to Indirect Participants, and by Direct Participants and Indirect Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by arrangements among them, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Beneficial Owners of Obligations may wish to take certain steps to augment the transmission to them of notices of significant events with respect to the Obligations, such as redemptions, tenders, defaults, and proposed amendments to the bond documents. For example, Beneficial Owners of Obligations may wish to ascertain that the nominee holding the Obligations for their benefit has agreed to obtain and transmit notices to Beneficial owners. In the alternative, Beneficial Owners may wish to provide their names and addresses to the Paying Agent/Registrar and request that copies of notices be provided directly to them.

Redemption notices for the Bonds shall be sent to DTC. If less than all of the Bonds within an issue are being redeemed, DTC's practice is to determine by lot the amount of the interest of each Direct Participant in such issue to be redeemed.

Neither DTC nor Cede \& Co. (nor any other DTC nominee) will consent or vote with respect to the Obligations unless authorized by a Direct Participant in accordance with DTC's Procedures. Under its usual procedures, DTC mails an Omnibus Proxy to City as soon as possible after the record date. The Omnibus Proxy assigns Cede \& Co.'s consenting or voting rights to those Direct Participants to whose accounts Obligations are credited on the record date (identified in a listing attached to the Omnibus Proxy).

Principal and interest payments on the Obligations will be made to Cede \& Co., or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. DTC's practice is to credit Direct Participants' accounts upon DTC's receipt of funds and corresponding detail
information from the City or the Paying Agent/Registrar, on payable date in accordance with their respective holdings shown on DTC's records. Payments by Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by standing instructions and customary practices, as is the case with securities held for the accounts of customers in bearer form or registered in "street name," and will be the responsibility of such Participant and not of DTC [nor its nominee], the Paying Agent/Registrar, or the City, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Payment of principal and interest payments to Cede \& Co. (or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC) is the responsibility of the City or the Paying Agent/Registrar, disbursement of such payments to Direct and Indirect Participants will be the responsibility of DTC, and disbursement of such payments to the Beneficial Owners will be the responsibility of Direct and Indirect Participants.

DTC may discontinue providing its services as depository with respect to the Obligations at any time by giving reasonable notice to the City or the Paying Agent/Registrar. Under such circumstances, in the event that a successor depository is not obtained, Obligation certificates are required to be printed and delivered.

The City may decide to discontinue use of the system of book-entry transfers through DTC (or a successor securities depository). In that event, Bond certificates will be printed and delivered.

## The information in this section concerning DTC and DTC's book-entry-only system has been obtained from sources that the City believes to be reliable, but the City takes no responsibility for the accuracy thereof.

## Use of Certain Terms in Other Sections of this Official Statement

In reading this Official Statement it should be understood that while the Obligations are in the Book-Entry-Only System, references in other sections of this Official Statement to registered owners should be read to include the person for which the Participant acquires an interest in the Obligations, but (i) all rights of ownership must be exercised through DTC and the Book-Entry-Only System, and (ii) except as described above, notices that are to be given to registered owners under the Ordinances will be given only to DTC. Information concerning DTC and the Book-Entry-Only System has been obtained from DTC and is not guaranteed as to accuracy or completeness by, and is not to be construed as a representation by the City or the Initial Purchaser.

## Effect of Termination of Book-Entry-Only System

In the event the Book-Entry-Only System with respect to the Obligations is discontinued by DTC, or the use of the Book-Entry-Only System with respect to the Obligations is discontinued by the City, printed certificates will be issued to the respective holders of the Obligations, as the case may be, and the respective Obligations will be subject to transfer, exchange, and registration provisions as set forth in the Ordinances, summarized under "Registration."

## LEGAL HOLDINGS AND ELIGIBILITY TO SECURE PUBLIC FUNDS IN TEXAS

Under the Texas Public Security Procedures Act (Texas Government Code, Chapter 1201), as amended, the Obligations (i) are negotiable instruments, (ii) are investment securities to which Chapter 8 of the Texas Business and Commerce Code applies, and (iii) are legal and authorized investments for (A) an insurance company, (B) a fiduciary or trustee, or (C) a sinking fund of a municipality or other political subdivision or public agency of the State of Texas. The Obligations are eligible to secure deposits of any public funds of the State, its agencies and political subdivisions, and are legal security for those deposits to the extent of their market value. For political subdivisions in Texas which have adopted investment policies and guidelines in accordance with the Public Funds Investment Act (Texas Government Code, Chapter 2256), as amended, the Obligations may have to be assigned a rating of not less than "A" or its equivalent as to investment quality by a national rating agency before such obligations are eligible investments for sinking funds and other public funds. In addition, various provisions of the Texas Finance Code provide that, subject to a prudent investor standard, the Obligations are legal investments for state banks, savings banks, trust companies with at least $\$ 1$ million of capital and savings and loan associations.

The City has made no investigation of other laws, rules, regulations or investment criteria which might apply to such institutions or entities or which might limit the suitability of the Obligations for any of the foregoing purposes or limit the authority of such institutions or entities to purchase or invest in the Obligations for such purposes. The City has made no review of laws in other states to determine whether the Obligations are legal investments for various institutions in those states.

## INVESTMENTS

The City invests its funds in investments authorized by Texas law in accordance with investment policies approved by the City Council of the City. Both state law and the City investment policies are subject to change.

## Legal Investments

Under Texas law, the City is authorized to invest in (1) obligations of the United States or its agencies and instrumentalities, including letters of credit; (2) direct obligations of the State of Texas or its agencies and instrumentalities; (3) collateralized mortgage obligations directly issued by a federal agency or instrumentality of the United States, the underlying security for which is guaranteed by an agency or instrumentality of the United States; (4) other obligations, the principal and interest of which is guaranteed or insured by or backed by the full faith and credit of, the State of Texas or the United States or their respective agencies and instrumentalities; (5) obligations of states, agencies, counties, cities and other political subdivisions of any state rated as to investment quality by a nationally recognized investment rating firm not less than A or its
equivalent; (6) bonds issued, assumed or guaranteed by the State of Israel; (7) interest-bearing banking deposits that are (A) guaranteed or insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or its successor or the National credit Union Share Insurance Fund or its successor or (B) are invested through (i) a broker with a main office or branch office in this state that the investing entity selects from a list the governing body or designated investment committee of the City adopts or (ii) a depository institution with a main office or branch office in this state that the City selects; and (a) the broker or depository institution selected arranges for the deposit of the funds in the banking deposits in one or more federal insured depository institutions, regardless of where located, for the City's account; and (b) the full amount of the principal and accrued interest of the banking deposits is insurance by the United States or an instrumentality of the United States; and (c) the City appoints as the City's custodian of the banking deposits issued for the City's account: (1) the depository institution selected pursuant to (ii) above or (2) an entity described by Section 2256.041(d); or (iii) a clearing broker dealer registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission and operating under Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 15c3-3; (8) certificates of deposit (i) issued by a depository institution that has its main office or a branch office in the State, that are guaranteed or insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or the National Credit Union Share Insurance Fund, or are secured as to principal by Obligations described in clauses (1) through (6) or in any other manner and amount provided by law for City deposits, or a) where the funds are invested by an investing entity through: (i) a broker that has its main office or a branch office in this State and is selected from a list adopted by the City; or (ii) a depository institution that has its main office or a branch office in this state and that is selected by the investing entity; (b) where the broker or the depository institution selected by the investing entity under (a) arranges for the deposit of the funds in certificates of deposit in one or more federally insured depository institutions, wherever located, for the account of the City; (iii) the full amount of the principal and accrued interest of each of the certificates of deposit is insured by the United States or an instrumentality of the United States; and (iv) the investing entity appoints the depository institution selected by the investing entity under (a), an entity described by Section 2257.041(d), or a clearing broker-dealer registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission and operating pursuant to Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 15c3-3 (17 C.F.R. Section 240.15c3-3) as custodian for the investing entity with respect to the certificates of deposit issued for the account of the City, (9) fully collateralized repurchase agreements that have a defined termination date, are fully secured by a combination of cash and obligations described in clause (1), which are pledged to the City, held in the City's name, and deposited at the time the investment is made with the City with a third party selected and approved by the City and are placed through a primary government securities dealer or a financial institution doing business in the State of Texas, (10) securities lending programs if (i) the securities loaned under the program are $100 \%$ collateralized, a loan made under the program allows for termination at any time and a loan made under the program is either secured by (a) obligations that are described in clauses (1) through (6) above, (b) irrevocable letters of credit issued by a state or national bank that is continuously rated by a nationally recognized investment rating firm at not less than "A" or its equivalent or (c) cash invested in obligations described in clauses (1) through (6) above, clauses (11) through (13) below, or an authorized investment pool; (ii) securities held as collateral under a loan are pledged to the City, held in the City's name and deposited at the time the investment is made with the City or a third party designated by the City; (iii) a loan made under the program is placed through either a primary government securities dealer or a financial institution doing business in the State of Texas; and (iv) the agreement to lend securities has a term of one year or less, (11) certain bankers' acceptances with the remaining term of 270 days or less, if the short-term obligations of the accepting bank or its parent are rated at least "A-1" or "P-1" or the equivalent by at least one nationally recognized credit rating agency, (12) commercial paper with a stated maturity of 270 days or less that is rated at least "A-1" or "P-1" or the equivalent by either (a) two nationally recognized credit rating agencies or (b) one nationally recognized credit rating agency if the paper is fully secured by an irrevocable letter of credit issued by a U.S. or state bank, (13) no-loan money market mutual funds registered with and regulated by the Securities and Exchange Commission that have a dollar weighted average stated maturity of 90 days or less and include in their investment objectives the maintenance of a stable net asset value of $\$ 1$ for each share and (14) no-load mutual funds registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission that have an average weighted maturity of less than two years, invest exclusively in obligations described in this paragraph, and are continuously rated as to investment quality by at least one nationally recognized investment rating firm of not less than "AAA" or its equivalent. In addition, bond proceeds may be invested in guaranteed investment contracts that have a defined termination date and are secured by obligations, including letters of credit, of the United States or its agencies and instrumentalities in an amount at least equal to the amount of bond proceeds invested under such contract, other than the prohibited obligations described in the next succeeding paragraph.

The City may invest in such obligations directly or through government investment pools that invest solely in such obligations provided that the pools are rated no lower than "Aaa" or "AAAm" or an equivalent by at least one nationally recognized rating service. The City is specifically prohibited from investing in: (1) obligations whose payment represents the coupon payments on the outstanding principal balance of the underlying mortgage-backed security collateral and pays no principal; (2) obligations whose payment represents the principal stream of cash flow from the underlying mortgage-backed security and bears no interest; (3) collateralized mortgage obligations that have a stated final maturity of greater than 10 years; and (4) collateralized mortgage obligations the interest rate of which is determined by an index that adjusts opposite to the changes in a market index.

## Investment Policies

Under Texas law, the City is required to invest its funds under written investment policies that primarily emphasize safety of principal and liquidity and that address investment diversification, yield, maturity, and the quality and capability of investment management, and all City funds must be invested in investments that protect principal, and consistent with the operating requirements of the City, and yield a market rate of return. Under Texas law, City investments must be made "with judgment and care, under prevailing circumstances, that a person of prudence, discretion, and intelligence would exercise in the management of the person's own affairs, not for speculation, but for investment, considering the probable safety of capital and the probable income to be derived." No person may invest City funds without express written authority from the City Council or Chief Financial Officer of the City.

At least quarterly the investment officers of the City shall submit an investment report to the Council detailing: (1) the investment position of the City, (2) that all investment officers jointly prepared and signed the report, (3) the beginning market value, the ending market value and fully accrued interest during the reporting period of each pooled fund group, (4) the book value and market value of each separately listed asset
at the beginning and end of the reporting period, (5) the maturity date of each separately invested asset, (6) the account or fund or pooled fund group for which each individual investment was acquired, and (7) the compliance of the investment portfolio as it relates to: (a) adopted investment strategy statements and (b) State law. No person may invest City funds without express written authority from the City Council. For more discussion see APPENDIX B - Audited Basic Financial Statements of the City of Arlington Year Ended September 30, 2018 - Basic Financial Statements - Notes to the Financial Statements.

## Additional Provisions

Under Texas law the City is additionally required to: (1) annually review its adopted policies and strategies; (2) adopt an order or resolution stating that it has reviewed its investment policy and investment strategies and records any changes made to either its investment policy or investment strategy in the said order or resolution, (3) require any investment officers' with personal business relationships or relatives with firms seeking to sell securities to the entity to disclose the relationship and file a statement with the Texas Ethics Commission and the City Council; (4) require the registered principal of firms seeking to sell securities to the City to: (a) receive and review the City's investment policy, (b) acknowledge that reasonable controls and procedures have been implemented to preclude imprudent investment activities, and (c) deliver a written statement attesting to these requirements; (5) perform an annual audit of the management controls on investments and adherence to the City's investment policy; (6) provide specific investment training for the Treasurer, Chief Financial Officer and investment officers; (7) restrict reverse repurchase agreements to not more than 90 days and restrict the investment of reverse repurchase agreement funds to no greater than the term of the reverse repurchase agreement; (8) restrict the investment in mutual funds in the aggregate to no more than $15 \%$ of the City's monthly average fund balance, excluding bond proceeds and reserves and other funds held for debt services, and to invest no portion of bond proceeds, reserves and funds held for debt service in mutual funds; (9) require local government investment pools to conform to the new disclosure, rating, net asset value, yield calculation, and advisory board requirements; and (10) at least annually review, revise, and adopt a list of qualified brokers that are authorized to engage in investment transactions with the City.

Table 1 - Current Investments
As of March 31, 2020 the following percentages of the City's operating funds were invested in the following categories of investments:

| Type of Investment | \% Invested |
| :--- | :---: |
| Federal Agencies | $63.24 \%$ |
| Statewide Local Government Investment Pools ${ }^{(1)}$ | $31.21 \%$ |
| Certificates of Deposit | $1.80 \%$ |
| Municipals | $3.02 \%$ |
| Cash | $0.73 \%$ |
| ${ } }$ | $100.00 \%$ |

[^3]The City's primary investment objective is to provide for the protection of principal with an emphasis on safety and liquidity. The City maintains a comprehensive cash management program that includes prudent investment of its available funds. Investment maturities are targeted to provide available cash for the operating requirements of the City.

As of March 31, 2020, the weighted average maturity of the City's operating portfolio was 295 days and the market value of the operating portfolio was 100.4 percent of its book value. No funds of the City are invested in derivative securities, i.e., securities whose rate of return is determined by reference to some other instrument, index, or commodity.

Table 2-Authorized Permanent Improvement Bonds and Use of Proceeds
The following table provides information on the outstanding permanent improvement bond authorizations.
(in thousands)

| Election Purpose | Authorized Amount |  | Previously Issued |  | FY 2020 <br> Proposed |  | Unissued |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 Fire | \$ | 9,780 | \$ | 900 | \$ | 8,880 | \$ | - |
| 2014 Library |  | 6,090 |  | 6,090 |  | - |  | - |
| 2014 Parks and Recreation |  | 60,000 |  | 59,091 |  | - |  | 909 |
| 2014 Streets |  | 160,130 |  | 126,868 |  | 33,262 |  | - |
| 2017 Active Adult Center |  | 45,000 |  | 4,500 |  | - |  | 40,500 |
| Total | \$ | 281,000 | \$ | 197,449 | \$ | 42,142 | \$ | 41,409 |
| 2018 Fire and Police | \$ | 24,500 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 24,500 |
| 2018 City Facilities |  | 8,000 |  | - |  | - |  | 8,000 |
| 2018 Parks and Recreation |  | 19,165 |  | - |  | 1,450 |  | 17,715 |
| 2018 Streets and Transportation |  | 137,835 |  | 1,250 |  | 3,785 |  | 132,800 |
|  | \$ | 189,500 | \$ | 1,250 | \$ | 5,235 | \$ | 183,015 |
| Grand Total | \$ | 470,500 | \$ | 198,699 | \$ | 47,377 | \$ | 224,424 |

Source: City of Arlington Finance Department.

## DEBT INFORMATION

Information on the City's indebtedness is presented in the following tables. Included is information on key debt ratios, rapidity of principal retirement and selected debt service schedules.

In addition to the currently outstanding ad valorem tax-supported debt previously issued by the City, the City has also issued certain combination ad valorem tax and revenue supported debt and has incurred contractual and other indebtedness and liabilities payable from ad valorem taxation. Additionally, the City has issued revenue bonds and other indebtedness payable from specific pledged revenues. Various other political subdivisions, which overlap all or a portion of the area of the City are also empowered to incur debt to be paid from revenues raised or to be raised through taxation.

Table 3 - Key Debt Ratios


[^4]
## Table 4 - Debt Service Requirements

The following schedule sets forth the principal and interest requirements on the City's outstanding debt payable from ad valorem taxation pledged thereto.

${ }^{(1)}$ Excludes the Refunded Obligations. Total may not match annual disclosure statement due to rounding.

## Table 5-Computation of Self-Supporting Debt

The City no longer designates debt as self-supporting and therefore has eliminated this table. Should the City decide to designate debt as selfsupporting in the future, then it will detail it here.

## Table 6 - Tax Adequacy ${ }^{(1)}$

The following analysis assumes 98 percent collection of ad valorem taxes levied against the City's fiscal year 2019 Net Assessed Valuation.

| Average Annual Requirement (2020-2039) | \$ | 26,624,436 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A tax rate of \$0.0921 per \$100 assessed valuation produces |  | 26,624,436 |
| Average Annual Requirement (10 year) (2020-2029) | \$ | 40,666,009 |
| A tax rate of \$0.1406 per \$100 assessed valuation produces |  | 40,666,009 |
| Maximinum Annual Requirement (2020) | \$ | 49,925,532 |
| A tax rate of \$0.1726 per \$100 assessed valuation produces |  | 49,925,532 |

[^5]
## ESTIMATED OVERLAPPING DEBT

The following table indicates the indebtedness, defined as outstanding obligations payable from ad valorem taxes, of governmental entities within which the City is located or with which taxable property is jointly levied against, and the estimated percentages and amounts of such indebtedness attributable to taxable property within the City. Such figures do not indicate the tax burden levied by the applicable taxing jurisdictions for operation and maintenance purposes. Furthermore, certain of the entities listed may have issued additional obligations payable from ad valorem taxes since the date stated in the table, and such entities may have programs requiring the issuance of substantial additional amounts of indebtedness, the amount of which cannot be determined.

## Overlapping Debt

| Taxing Jurisdiction | Total Tax Supported <br> Debt as of 6/18/2020 ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | Percent | Amount Overlapping |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arlington ISD | \$ | 994,551,970 | 78.08\% | \$ | 776,546,178 |
| Ft. Worth ISD |  | 961,315,000 | 0.39\% |  | 3,749,129 |
| Hurst-Euless-Bedford ISD |  | 383,550,000 | 4.10\% |  | 15,725,550 |
| Kennedale ISD |  | 27,040,025 | 56.07\% |  | 15,161,342 |
| Mansfield ISD |  | 895,790,000 | 28.14\% |  | 252,075,306 |
| Tarrant Co |  | 266,375,000 | 15.45\% |  | 41,154,938 |
| Tarrant Co Hosp Dist |  | 16,135,000 | 15.45\% |  | 2,492,858 |
| Viridian Municipal Management District |  | 139,260,000 | 100.00\% |  | 139,260,000 |
| Total Net Overlapping Debt |  |  |  |  | 1,246,165,300 |
| Arlington, City of |  | 437,170,000 | 100.00\% |  | 436,790,000 |
| Total Direct and Overlapping Debt |  |  |  |  | ,682,955,300 |
| Total Direct and Overlapping Debt \% of AV |  |  |  |  | 6.49\% |
| Total Direct and Overlapping Debt Per Capita |  |  |  | \$ | 4,358 |

[^6]
## TAX-SUPPORTED CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

The City's Capital Improvement Program ("CIP") provides for multi-year improvements to the City's public facilities along with the means of financing these improvements. The City's CIP is prepared annually and primarily enabled by recent bond election results. The approved CIP is the result of a process that balances the need for public facilities against the fiscal capability of the City to provide for those needs. The City's tax-supported CIP for fiscal year 2019-2020 is approximately $\$ 61,382,336$. The proposed capital projects for the 2019-2020 fiscal year include funds for fire improvements, parks and recreation, public works and transportation.

## FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Basis of Accounting and Accounting Structure

The accounting records of the City are maintained on the modified accrual basis of accounting for the General Fund (defined herein), Special Revenue Funds, Capital Projects Funds and Trust and Agency Funds and on the accrual basis of accounting for the Enterprise Funds, and the Internal Service Funds. In general, under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recorded as received in cash except for material revenues considered to be both measurable and available to finance current year appropriations, which are recognized as revenue when earned. Expenditures are recorded in the period in which liabilities are incurred. Under the accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when liabilities are incurred without regard to receipts or disbursements of cash.

## Certificate of Achievement

The Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada (GFOA) awarded a Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting to the City of Arlington for its Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for the year ended April 30, 1966 and then annually from 1977 to 2003 and 2005 to 2017. The City has also received GFOA's Award for Distinguished Budget Presentation for fiscal years 1986 through 2018.

## ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

The basic financial statements are prepared in conformity with GAAP which requires the government-wide financial statements to be prepared using the accrual basis of accounting and the economic resources measurement focus. Government-wide financial statements do
not provide information by fund, but distinguish between the City's governmental activities and activities of its discretely presented component units on the statement of net assets and statement of activities. Significantly, the City's statement of net assets includes both non-current assets and non-current liabilities of the City. In addition, the government-wide statement of activities reflects depreciation expenses on the City's capital assets, including infrastructure.

In addition to the government-wide financial statements, the City has prepared fund financial statements, which continue to use the modified accrual basis of accounting and the current financial resources measurement focus for governmental funds. Accordingly, the accounting and financial reporting of the City's governmental funds is similar to that previously presented in the City's financial statements, although the format of financial statements has been modified by Statement No. 34. The accrual basis of accounting and the economic resources measurement focus is utilized by proprietary fund types and the pension trust fund. Under this method, revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded at the time liabilities are incurred.

GAAP also requires supplementary information presented as Management's Discussion and Analysis which includes an analytical overview of the City's financial activities. In addition, a budgetary comparison schedule is presented that compares the originally adopted and final General Fund budget with actual results

## Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting, as are the proprietary fund and fiduciary fund statements. Agency funds, however, report only assets and liabilities and therefore have no measurement focus. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

Government fund level financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collected within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the government considers revenue to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period. Expenditures are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting, except debt service expenditures, as well as expenditures related to compensated absences and claims and judgments, which are recorded only when the liability has matured and payment is due.

Ad valorem, franchise and sales tax revenues in the General Fund and ad valorem tax revenues recorded in the Debt Service Fund are recognized under the susceptible to accrual concept. The City has agreements with various entities in which a portion of the sales tax is rebated. The sales tax revenue is reported net of the rebate. Licenses and permits, charges for services, fines and forfeitures, contributions, and miscellaneous revenues are recorded as revenues when received in cash as the resulting receivable is not measurable. Investment earnings are recorded as earned since they are measurable and available. In applying the susceptible to accrual concept to intergovernmental revenues, the legal and contractual requirements of the numerous individual programs are used as guidance. Intergovernmental grant revenues are recognized when all eligibility requirements have been met. Additionally, funds received in advance for which all eligibility requirements have not been met are considered deferred revenue.

Business-type activities and all proprietary funds, and the pension trust fund are accounted for on a flow of economic resources measurement focus. With this measurement focus, all assets and liabilities associated with the operation of these funds are included on the balance sheet. Proprietary fund-type operating statements present increases (e.g., revenues) and decreases (e.g., expenses) in net total assets. Proprietary funds distinguish operating revenues and expenses from non-operating items. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services and producing and delivering goods in connection with a proprietary fund's principal ongoing operations. The principal operating revenues of the City's water and sewer fund are charges to customers for sales and services. Operating expenses for the enterprise fund and internal service funds include the cost of sales and services, administrative expenses, and depreciation on capital assets. All revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as non-operating revenues and expenses.

Private-sector standards of accounting and financial reporting issued prior to December 1, 1989, generally are followed in both the government-wide business-type activities and proprietary fund financial statements to the extent that those standards do not conflict with or contradict guidance of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. Governments also have the option of following subsequent private-sector guidance for their business-type activities and enterprise funds, subject to this same limitation. The government has elected not to follow subsequent private-sector guidance.

The following major funds are used by the City:

## 1. Governmental Funds:

The focus of governmental fund measurement (in the Fund Financial Statements) is upon determination of financial position and changes in financial position (sources, uses, and balances of financial resources) rather than upon net income. The following is a description of the governmental funds of the City:
a. General Fund accounts for several of the City's primary services (Public Safety, Public Works, Public Health, Public Welfare, Parks and Recreation, etc.) and is the primary operating unit of the City
b. Debt Service Fund accounts for the resources accumulated and payments made for principal and interest on longterm general obligation debt of governmental funds.
c. Venue Fund, a capital project fund, accounts for the planning, acquisition, establishment, development, and construction of the Dallas Cowboys Complex Development Project and the Texas Rangers Complex Development Project. Funds are provided primarily through bond sales and interest earnings.
d. Street Capital Projects Fund accounts for the purchase of rights of way and land, construction of streets and related facilities, and to account for various other projects related to street construction. Funds are provided primarily through bond sales, interest earnings, and impact fees.
e. Other Governmental Funds is a summarization of all of the nonmajor governmental funds.

## 2. Enterprise Funds:

The focus of Enterprise Fund measurement is upon determination of operating income, changes in net assets, financial position, and cash flows, which is similar to businesses. The City's Enterprise Funds are the Water and Sewer Fund and the Storm Water Utility Fund. The Water and Sewer Fund accounts for the administration, operation and maintenance of the water and sewer utility system, and the billing and collection activities. The Water and Sewer Fund also accounts for the accumulation of resources for, and the payment of, long-term debt principal and interest for revenue bonds and obligations under capital leases when due throughout the year. All costs are financed through charges made to utility customers with rates reviewed regularly and adjusted if necessary to ensure integrity of the Water and Sewer Fund. The City's solid waste function is contracted out. The billings for this function are done by the City as a conduit for the contractor. The fee for this service is accounted for in the Water and Sewer fund, while revenues from landfill fees are accounted for in the General Fund. The Storm Water Utility Fund accounts for the design, construction and maintenance of the City's storm water drainage systems. The Storm Water Utility Fund was previously set up as a capital project fund and was converted to an enterprise fund in Fiscal Year 2009.

## 3. Other Fund Types:

The City additionally reports for the following Fund types:
a. Internal Service Funds are used to account for the financing of goods or services provided by one department or agency to other departments or agencies of the City, generally on a cost reimbursement basis. These services include printing, mailing and duplicating; fleet services; self insurance; technology services; workers' compensation insurance: and group health Insurance.
b. Agency Funds are used to account for assets held by the City in an agency capacity for payroll related benefits, escheat property for the state, and other assets held for individuals, local law enforcement agencies and developers.
c. Pension Trust Funds are used to account for the accumulation of resources to be used for the retirement and disability benefit payments to qualified City employees and for thrift savings plans for City employees.

## GENERAL FUND REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES

The General Fund is the primary operating fund maintained by the City to account for revenue derived from City-wide ad valorem taxes, other local taxes, licenses, fees, permits, and certain other miscellaneous revenues. General Fund expenditures are the cost of general City government. The following is a discussion of the General Fund revenue structure and major classifications of General Fund expenditures.

## CERTAIN OPERATIONS OF THE GENERAL FUND

The General Fund is that accounting entity which is used to account for all transactions which are not accounted for in another fund and which, specifically, receives all revenues and records all expenditures relating to the ordinary operations of general government. Other major funds of the City are the Special Revenue Funds, the Capital Project Funds, the Enterprise Funds, and the Debt Service Funds.

Summaries for fiscal years 2015 to 2019 have been compiled from the audited financial statements included in the Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports of the City. These unaudited summaries should be read in conjunction with their related audited financial statements and notes. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2019, the General Fund had revenues more than expenditures and transfers by $\$ 3,457,000$ or $1.50 \%$ percent of General Fund revenues, leaving a General Fund balance at September 30, 2019, of $\$ 65,898,000$. The following table presents a comparison of the City's General Fund balance for fiscal years 2015 to 2019.

Table 7 - General Fund Revenue and Expenditure History (amounts in thousands)

|  | Fiscal Years Ended September 30, |  |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  | 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning General Fund Balance | \$ | 66,306 | \$ | 62,849 | \$ | 62,478 | \$ | 58,023 | \$ | 56,191 |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes |  | 175,985 |  | 162,989 |  | 153,463 |  | 143,564 |  | 136,722 |
| Franchise Fees |  | 24,921 |  | 25,166 |  | 24,859 |  | 25,435 |  | 26,477 |
| Service Charges |  | 9,743 |  | 6,686 |  | 5,680 |  | 6,320 |  | 5,359 |
| Interest |  | 2,556 |  | 2,148 |  | 1,825 |  | 1,705 |  | 1,754 |
| All Other |  | 33,097 |  | 34,231 |  | 32,815 |  | 81,601 |  | 31,269 |
| Total Revenues | \$ | 246,302 | \$ | 231,220 | \$ | 218,642 | \$ | 258,625 | \$ | 201,581 |
| Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | \$ | 251,338 | \$ | 237,273 | \$ | 227,375 | \$ | 216,279 | \$ | 208,798 |
| Net Expenditures Over (Under) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expenditures |  | $(5,036)$ |  | $(6,053)$ |  | $(8,733)$ |  | 42,346 |  | $(7,217)$ |
| Other Financing Sources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Issuance of Capital Leases |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Operating Transfers |  | 4,628 |  | 9,510 |  | 9,104 |  | $(37,890)$ |  | 9,049 |
| Ending General Fund Balance | \$ | 65,898 | \$ | 66,306 | \$ | 62,849 | \$ | 62,479 | \$ | 58,023 |
| General Fund Balance as a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of General Fund Expenditures |  | 26.22\% |  | 27.95\% |  | 27.64\% |  | 28.89\% |  | 27.79\% |

Source: Audited Financial Statements.
The following table shows the City's estimated revenues and budgeted expenditures, as reported in the adopted fiscal year 2020 Budget.
Table 8 - DEBT SERVICE FUND BUDGET
Fiscal Year 2020

| Beginning Balance | $\$ 2,025,286$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Property Tax Revenue | $51,132,947$ |
| Transfers In | - |
| Totailable for Debt Service | $53,158,233$ |
| Debt Service Expenditures | $(50,902,935)$ |
| Estimated Ending Fund Balance | $\$ 2,255,298$ |
|  |  |

Source: City of Arlington Finance Department

## TAX DATA

## State of Texas Tax Code

The following is a summary of certain provisions of State law as it relates to ad valorem taxation and is not intended to be complete. Prospective investors are encouraged to review Title I of the Texas Tax Code, as amended (the "Property Tax Code"), for identification of property subject to ad valorem taxation, property exempt or which may be exempted from ad valorem taxation if claimed, the appraisal of property for ad valorem tax purposes, and the procedures and limitations applicable to the levy and collection of ad valorem taxes.

Valuation of Taxable Property ... The Property Tax Code provides for countywide appraisal and equalization of taxable property values and establishes in each county of the State an appraisal district and an appraisal review board ( "Appraisal Review Board") responsible for appraising property for all taxing units within the county. The appraisal of property within the City is the responsibility of the Tarrant County Central Appraisal District (the "Appraisal District") with respect to City property which is a county-wide agency created under the Property Tax Code for that purpose. Except as generally described below, the Appraisal District is required to appraise all property within the Appraisal District on the basis of $100 \%$ of its market value and is prohibited from applying any assessment ratios. In determining market value of property, the Appraisal District is required to consider the cost method of appraisal, the income method of appraisal and the market data comparison method of appraisal, and use the method the chief appraiser of the Appraisal District considers most appropriate. The Property Tax Code requires appraisal districts to reappraise all property in its jurisdiction at least once every three years. A taxing unit may require annual review at its own expense, and is entitled to challenge the determination of appraised value of property within the taxing unit by petition filed with the Appraisal Review Board.

State law requires the appraised value of an owner's principal residence ("homestead" or "homesteads") to be based solely on the property's value as a homestead, regardless of whether residential use is considered to be the highest and best use of the property. State law further limits the appraised value of a homestead to the lesser of (1) the market value of the property or (2) $110 \%$ of the appraised value of the property for the preceding tax year plus the market value of all new improvements to the property (the " $10 \%$ Homestead Cap"). The $10 \%$ increase is cumulative, meaning the maximum increase is $10 \%$ times the number of years since the property was last appraised.

State law provides that eligible owners of both agricultural land and open-space land, including open-space land devoted to farm or ranch purposes or open-space land devoted to timber production, may elect to have such property appraised for property taxation on the basis of its productive capacity ("Productivity Value"). The same land may not be qualified as both agricultural and open-space land.

The appraisal values set by the Appraisal District are subject to review and change by the Appraisal Review Board. The appraisal rolls, as approved by the Appraisal Review Board, are used by taxing units, such as the City, in establishing their tax rolls and tax rates. See "TaX - City and Taxpayer Remedies."

State Mandated Homestead Exemptions. . . State law grants, with respect to each city in the State, various exemptions for disabled veterans and their families, surviving spouses of members of the armed services killed in action and surviving spouses of first responders killed or fatally wounded in the line of duty.

Local Option Homestead Exemptions . . . The governing body of a taxing unit, including a city, county, school district, or special district, at its option may grant: (1) an exemption of up to $20 \%$ of the appraised value of all homesteads (but not less than $\$ 5,000$ ) and (2) an additional exemption of at least $\$ 3,000$ of the appraised value of the homesteads of persons 65 years of age or older and the disabled. Each taxing unit decides if it will offer the local option homestead exemptions and at what percentage or dollar amount, as applicable. The exemption described in (2), above, may also be created, increased, decreased or repealed at an election called by the governing body of a taxing unit upon presentment of a petition for such creation, increase, decrease, or repeal of at least $20 \%$ of the number of qualified voters who voted in the preceding election of the taxing unit.

Local Option Freeze For The Elderly and Disabled .. . The governing body of a county, municipality or junior college district may, at its option, provide for a freeze on the total amount of ad valorem taxes levied on the homesteads of persons 65 years of age or older or of disabled persons above the amount of tax imposed in the year such residence qualified for such exemption. Also, upon voter initiative, an election may be held to determine by majority vote whether to establish such a freeze on ad valorem taxes. Once the freeze is established, the total amount of taxes imposed on such homesteads cannot be increased except for certain improvements, and such freeze cannot be repealed or rescinded..

Personal Property . . . Tangible personal property (furniture, machinery, supplies, inventories, etc.) used in the "production of income" is taxed based on the property's market value. Taxable personal property includes income-producing equipment and inventory. Intangibles such as goodwill, accounts receivable, and proprietary processes are not taxable. Tangible personal property not held or used for production of income, such as household goods, automobiles or light trucks, and boats, is exempt from ad valorem taxation unless the governing body of a taxing unit elects to tax such property.

Freeport And Goods-In-Transit Exemptions . . . Certain goods that are acquired in or imported into the State to be forwarded outside the State, and are detained in the State for 175 days or less for the purpose of assembly, storage, manufacturing, processing or fabrication ("Freeport Property") are exempt from ad valorem taxation unless a taxing unit took official action to tax Freeport Property before April 1, 1990 and has not subsequently taken official action to exempt Freeport Property. Decisions to continue taxing Freeport Property may
be reversed in the future; decisions to exempt Freeport Property are not subject to reversal. Certain goods that are acquired in or imported into the State to be forwarded to another location within or without the State, stored in a location that is not owned by the owner of the goods and are transported to another location within or without the State within 175 days ("Goods-in-Transit"), are generally exempt from ad valorem taxation; however, the Property Tax Code permits a taxing unit, on a local option basis, to tax Goods-in-Transit if the taxing unit takes official action after conducting a public hearing, before January 1 of the first tax year in which the taxing unit proposes to tax Goods-in-Transit. The City does tax Goods-in-Transit. Goods-in-Transit and Freeport Property do not include oil, natural gas or petroleum products, and Goods-in-Transit does not include aircraft or special inventories such as manufactured housing inventory, or a dealer's motor vehicle, boat, or heavy equipment inventory. A taxpayer may receive only one of the Goods-in-Transit or Freeport Property exemptions for items of personal property. On January 13, 1998, the Council repealed its ordinance taxing "Freeport" property, which has the effect of exempting "freeport" property from taxation effective January 1, 1999. This exemption is irrevocable under current State law.

Other Exempt Property . . . Other major categories of exempt property include property owned by the State or its political subdivisions if used for public purposes, property exempt by federal law, property used for pollution control, farm products owned by producers, property of nonprofit corporations used for scientific research or educational activities benefitting a college or university, designated historic sites, solar and wind-powered energy devices, and certain classes of intangible personal property.

Tax Increment Financing Zones . . . A city or county, by petition of the landowners or by action of its governing body, may create one or more tax increment reinvestment zones ("TIRZ" or "TIF") within its boundaries. At the time of the creation of the TIRZ, a "base value" for the real property in the TIRZ is established and the difference between any increase in the assessed valuation of taxable real property in the TIRZ in excess of the base value is known as the "tax increment". During the existence of the TIRZ, all or a portion of the taxes levied against the tax increment by a city or county, and all other overlapping taxing units that elected to participate, are restricted to paying only planned project and financing costs within the TIRZ and are not available for the payment of other obligations of such taxing units. See "TAX DATA - City Application of Property Tax Code" for descriptions of any TIRZ created in the City.

Tax Abatement Agreements . . . Taxing units may also enter into tax abatement agreements to encourage economic development. Under the agreements, a property owner agrees to construct certain improvements on its property. The taxing unit, in turn, agrees not to levy a tax on all or part of the increased value attributable to the improvements until the expiration of the agreement. The abatement agreement could last for a period of up to 10 years. See "- City Application of Property Tax Code" for descriptions of any of the City's tax abatement agreements.

Temporary Exemption For Qualified Property Damaged By A Disaster ... The Property Tax Code entitles the owner of certain qualified (i) tangible personal property used for the production of income, (ii) improvements to real property, and (iii) manufactured homes located in an area declared by the governor to be a disaster area following a disaster and is at least 15 percent damaged by the disaster, as determined by the chief appraiser, to an exemption from taxation of a portion of the appraised value of the property. The amount of the exemption ranges from 15 percent to 100 percent based upon the damage assessment rating assigned by the chief appraiser. Except in situations where the territory is declared a disaster on or after the date the taxing unit adopts a tax rate for the year in which the disaster declaration is issued, the governing body of the taxing unit is not required to take any action in order for the taxpayer to be eligible for the exemption. If a taxpayer qualifies for the exemption after the beginning of the tax year, the amount of the exemption is prorated based on the number of days left in the tax year following the day on which the governor declares the area to be a disaster area. For more information on the exemption, reference is made to Section 11.35 of the Tax Code. Section 11.35 of the Tax Code was enacted during the 2019 legislative session, and there is no historical judicial precedent for how the statute will be applied. Texas Attorney General Opinion KP0299, issued on April 13, 2020, concluded a court would likely find the Texas Legislature intended to limit the temporary tax exemption to apply to property physically harmed as a result of a declared disaster.

City And Taxpayer Remedies. . .Under certain circumstances, taxpayers and taxing units, including the City, may appeal the determinations of the Appraisal District by timely initiating a protest with the Appraisal Review Board. Additionally, taxing units such as the City may bring suit against the Appraisal District to compel compliance with the Property Tax Code. Beginning in the 2020 tax year, owners of certain property with a taxable value in excess of the current year "minimum eligibility amount", as determined by the State Comptroller, and situated in a county with a population of one million or more, may protest the determinations of an appraisal district directly to a three-member special panel of the appraisal review board, appointed by the chairman of the appraisal review board, consisting of highly qualified professionals in the field of property tax appraisal. The minimum eligibility amount is set at $\$ 50$ million for the 2020 tax year, and is adjusted annually by the State Comptroller to reflect the inflation rate.

The Property Tax Code sets forth notice and hearing procedures for certain tax rate increases by the City and provides for taxpayer referenda that could result in the repeal of certain tax increases (see "- Public Hearing and Maintenance and Operations Tax Rate Limitations"). The Property Tax Code also establishes a procedure for providing notice to property owners of reappraisals reflecting increased property value, appraisals which are higher than renditions, and appraisals of property not previously on an appraisal roll.

Levy and Collection of Taxes. . . The City is responsible for the collection of its taxes, unless it elects to transfer such functions to another governmental entity. Taxes are due October 1, or when billed, whichever comes later, and become delinquent after January 31 of the following year. A delinquent tax incurs a penalty of six percent ( $6 \%$ ) of the amount of the tax for the first calendar month it is delinquent, plus one percent ( $1 \%$ ) for each additional month or portion of a month the tax remains unpaid prior to July 1 of the year in which it becomes delinquent. If the tax is not paid by July 1 of the year in which it becomes delinquent, the tax incurs a total penalty of twelve percent ( $12 \%$ ) regardless of the number of months the tax has been delinquent and incurs an additional penalty of up to twenty percent ( $20 \%$ ) if imposed by the City. The delinquent tax also accrues interest at a rate of one percent ( $1 \%$ ) for each month or portion of a month it remains unpaid.

The Property Tax Code also makes provision for the split payment of taxes, discounts for early payment and the postponement of the delinquency date of taxes for certain taxpayers. Furthermore, the City may provide, on a local option basis, for the split payment, partial payment, and discounts for early payment of taxes under certain conditions. Currently, the City does not permit split payments, and discounts are not allowed. Notwithstanding the City's prohibition on split payments, taxpayers 65 years old or older are permitted by State law to pay taxes (without penalty and interest) on homesteads in four installments with the first due on or before January 31 of each year and the final installment due on or before July 31 .

City's Rights In The Event of Tax Delinquencies. . . Taxes levied by the City are a personal obligation of the owner of the property. On January 1 of each year, a tax lien attaches to property to secure the payment of all state and local taxes, penalties, and interest ultimately imposed for the year on the property. The lien exists in favor of each taxing unit, including the City, having power to tax the property. The City's tax lien is on a parity with tax liens of such other taxing units. A tax lien on real property takes priority over the claim of most creditors and other holders of liens on the property encumbered by the tax lien, whether or not the debt or lien existed before the attachment of the tax lien; however, whether a lien of the United States is on a parity with or takes priority over a tax lien of the City is determined by applicable federal law. Personal property, under certain circumstances, is subject to seizure and sale for the payment of delinquent taxes, penalty, and interest. At any time after taxes on property become delinquent, the City may file suit to foreclose the lien securing payment of the tax, to enforce personal liability for the tax, or both. In filing a suit to foreclose a tax lien on real property, the City must join other taxing units that have claims for delinquent taxes against all or part of the same property.

Collection of delinquent taxes may be adversely affected by the amount of taxes owed to other taxing units, adverse market conditions, taxpayer redemption rights, or bankruptcy proceedings which restrain the collection of a taxpayer's debt. Federal bankruptcy law provides that an automatic stay of actions by creditors and other entities, including governmental units, goes into effect with the filing of any petition in bankruptcy. The automatic stay prevents governmental units from foreclosing on property and prevents liens for post-petition taxes from attaching to property and obtaining secured creditor status unless, in either case, an order lifting the stay is obtained from the bankruptcy court. In many cases, post-petition taxes are paid as an administrative expense of the estate in bankruptcy or by order of the bankruptcy court.

## Penalties and Interest

| Charges for penalty and interest on the unpaid balance of delinquent taxes are made as follows: |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Month | Cumulative Penalty | Cumulative Interest | Total |
| February | $6 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| March | 7 | 2 | 9 |
| April | 8 | 4 | 11 |
| May | 9 | 5 | 13 |
| June | 10 | 6 | 15 |
| July | 12 |  | 18 |

After July, penalty remains at $12 \%$, and interest increases at the rate of $1 \%$ each month or portion of the month the tax remains unpaid. A delinquent tax continues to accrue interest as long as the tax remains unpaid, regardless of whether a judgment for the delinquent tax has been rendered. In addition, if an account is delinquent in July, an attorney's collection fee of up to $20 \%$ may be added to the total tax penalty and interest charge. Under certain circumstances, taxes which become delinquent on the homestead of a taxpayer 65 years old or older incur a penalty of $8 \%$ per annum with no additional penalties or interest assessed.

In general, property subject to the City's lien may be sold, in whole or in parcels, pursuant to court order to collect the amounts due. Federal law does not allow for the collection of penalty and interest against an estate in bankruptcy. Federal bankruptcy law provides that an automatic stay of action by creditors and other entities, including governmental units, goes into effect with the filing of any petition in bankruptcy. The automatic stay prevents governmental units from foreclosing on property and prevents liens for post-petition taxes from attaching to property and obtaining secured creditor status unless, in either case, an order lifting the stay is obtained from the bankruptcy court. In many cases post-petition taxes are paid as an administrative expense of the estate in bankruptcy or by order of the bankruptcy court.

Public Hearing And Maintenance and Operations Tax Rate Limitations.
The following terms as used in this section have the meanings provided below:
"adjusted" means lost values are not included in the calculation of the prior year's taxes and new values are not included in the current year's taxable values.
"de minimis rate" means the maintenance and operations tax rate that will produce the prior year's total maintenance and operations tax levy (adjusted) from the current year's values (adjusted), plus the rate that produces an additional $\$ 500,000$ in tax revenue when applied to the current year's taxable value, plus the debt service tax rate.
"no-new-revenue tax rate" means the combined maintenance and operations tax rate and debt service tax rate that will produce the prior year's total tax levy (adjusted) from the current year's total taxable values (adjusted).
"special taxing unit" means a city for which the maintenance and operations tax rate proposed for the current tax year is 2.5 cents or less per $\$ 100$ of taxable value.
"unused increment rate" means the cumulative difference between a city's voter-approval tax rate and its actual tax rate for each of the tax years 2020 through 2022, which may be applied to a city's tax rate in tax years 2021 through 2023 without impacting the voter-approval tax rate.
"voter-approval tax rate" means the maintenance and operations tax rate that will produce the prior year's total maintenance and operations tax levy (adjusted) from the current year's values (adjusted) multiplied by 1.035 , plus the debt service tax rate, plus the "unused increment rate".

The City's tax rate consists of two components: (1) a rate for funding of maintenance and operations expenditures in the current year (the "maintenance and operations tax rate"), and (2) a rate for funding debt service in the current year (the "debt service tax rate"). Under State law, the assessor for the City must submit an appraisal roll showing the total appraised, assessed, and taxable values of all property in the City to the City Council by August 1 or as soon as practicable thereafter.

A city must annually calculate its voter-approval tax rate and no-new-revenue tax rate in accordance with forms prescribed by the State Comptroller and provide notice of such rates to each owner of taxable property within the city and the county tax assessor-collector for each county in which all or part of the city is located. A city must adopt a tax rate before the later of September 30 or the 60th day after receipt of the certified appraisal roll, except that a tax rate that exceeds the voter-approval tax rate must be adopted not later than the 71st day before the next occurring November uniform election date. If a city fails to timely adopt a tax rate, the tax rate is statutorily set as the lower of the no-new-revenue tax rate for the current tax year or the tax rate adopted by the city for the preceding tax year.

As described below, the Property Tax Code provides that if a city adopts a tax rate that exceeds its voter-approval tax rate or, in certain cases, its de minimis rate, an election must be held to determine whether or not to reduce the adopted tax rate to the voter approval tax rate. A city may not adopt a tax rate that exceeds the lower of the voter-approval tax rate or the no-new-revenue tax rate until each appraisal district in which such city participates has delivered notice to each taxpayer of the estimated total amount of property taxes owed and the city has held a public hearing on the proposed tax increase.

For cities with a population of 30,000 or more as of the most recent federal decennial census, if the adopted tax rate for any tax year exceeds the voter-approval tax rate, that city must conduct an election on the next occurring November uniform election date to determine whether or not to reduce the adopted tax rate to the voter-approval tax rate.

For cities with a population less than 30,000 as of the most recent federal decennial census, if the adopted tax rate for any tax year exceeds the greater of (i) the voter-approval tax rate or (ii) the de minimis rate, the city must conduct an election on the next occurring November uniform election date to determine whether or not to reduce the adopted tax rate to the voter-approval tax rate. However, for any tax year during which a city has a population of less than 30,000 as of the most recent federal decennial census and does not qualify as a special taxing unit, if a city's adopted tax rate is equal to or less than the de minimis rate but greater than both (a) the no-new-revenue tax rate, multiplied by 1.08 , plus the debt service tax rate or (b) the city's voter approval tax rate, then a valid petition signed by at least three percent of the registered voters in the city would require that an election be held to determine whether or not to reduce the adopted tax rate to the voter-approval tax rate.

Any city located at least partly within an area declared a disaster area by the Governor of the State or the President of the United States during the current year may calculate its voter-approval tax rate using a 1.08 multiplier, instead of 1.035 , until the earlier of (i) the second tax year in which such city's total taxable appraised value exceeds the taxable appraised value on January 1 of the year the disaster occurred, or (ii) the third tax year after the tax year in which the disaster occurred.

State law provides cities and counties in the State the option of assessing a maximum one-half percent ( $1 / 2 \%$ ) sales and use tax on retail sales of taxable items for the purpose of reducing its ad valorem taxes, if approved by a majority of the voters in a local option election. If the additional sales and use tax for ad valorem tax reduction is approved and levied, the no-new-revenue tax rate and voter-approval tax rate must be reduced by the amount of the estimated sales tax revenues to be generated in the current tax year. The City does not collect the additional one-half percent sales tax. Taxable sales in the City are currently subject to the maximum $8.25 \%$ sales tax ( $1 \%$ of which is the City's portion) and the City has not implemented the additional sales tax.

The calculations of the no-new-revenue tax rate and voter-approval tax rate do not limit or impact the City's ability to set a debt service tax rate in each year sufficient to pay debt service on all of the City's tax-supported debt obligations, including the Obligations.

Reference is made to the Property Tax Code for definitive requirements for the levy and collection of ad valorem taxes and the calculation of the various defined tax rates.

## City Application of Property Tax Code. . .

The City grants an exemption of $20 \%$ of the market value of residence homesteads; the minimum exemption is $\$ 5,000$.

The City grants an additional exemption to the market value of the residence homestead of persons 65 years of age or older or disabled, of $\$ 60,000$; disabled veterans or the surviving spouse or children of a deceased veteran who died while on active duty in the armed forces are granted an exemption of $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 12,000$ dependent upon the amount of disability.

The City currently has three active Tax Increment Financing Zones (TIF):
The City Council adopted an ordinance on November 3, 1998, establishing a TIF (the "TIF Zone \#1") encompassing approximately 533 acres in the City's downtown area. TIF Zone \#1 took effect on January 1, 1999. The TIF Zone \#1 was extended in November 2018 and will terminate on December 31, 2038. The City Council can terminate TIF Zone \#1 at an earlier date by subsequent ordinance. The taxable value for the TIF for the fiscal year ended September 30,2019 was approximately $\$ 206,565,831$ more than its base year value.

The City Council adopted an ordinance on December 19, 2006, establishing a TIF (the TIF Zone \#5 Entertainment District") encompassing 2,187 acres generally defined by Lamar Boulevard to the north, the Union Pacific Railroad to the south, State Highway 360 to the east and Collins Street to the west. TIF Zone \#5 Entertainment District took effect on January 1, 2007. The TIF Zone \#5 was extended in December 2019 and will terminate on December 31, 2052.The City Council can terminate the TIF Zone \#5 at an earlier date by subsequent ordinance The taxable value for the TIF for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2019 was approximately $\$ 318,991,301$ more than its base year value.

The City Council adopted an ordinance on December 18, 2007, establishing a TIF (the "TIF Zone \#6") encompassing approximately 2,000 acres in the northeast quadrant of the City. TIF Zone \#6 took effect on January 1, 2007. The TIF Zone \#6 Project and Finance Plan was amended and restated in FY2017 to extend the term of the TIF from 30 years to 35 years. The TIF is to expire on December 31, 2041 or until the City has contributed $\$ 230,000,000$ in City tax increment, whichever occurs first, and will terminate on December 31, 2036. The taxable value for the TIF for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2019 was approximately $\$ 448,472,250$ more than its base year value.

The 2019-2020 tax roll reflects a $\$ 0$ the reduction in taxable valuation attributable to state-mandated homestead exemptions.
Chapter 311 of the Texas Tax Code allows the City Council to enter into tax abatement agreements with property owners within these zones. The abatement value loss on the Fiscal Year 2019 tax roll is $\$ 795,355,374$.

The 2019-2020 tax roll reflects a $\$ 533,949,136$ reduction in taxable valuation attributable to Freeport Property exemptions.
Section 23.83 of the Property Tax Code allows taxes to be deferred on property that is restricted to scenic use. Deferrals were first claimed under this section in 1990. The Fiscal Year 2019 tax roll reveals an exempt value of $\$ 8,344,115$ due to scenic deferrals

The 2019-2020 tax roll reflects a $\$ 12,378,085$ reduction in taxable valuation attributable to valuation by Productivity Value.
The 2019-2020 tax roll reflects a $\$ 4,040,576,645$ reduction in taxable valuation attributable to local option homestead exemptions.
The 2019-2020 tax roll reflects a $\$ 2,267,165,432$ reduction in taxable valuation attributable to the freeze on taxes for the elderly and disabled

The 2019-2020 tax roll reflects a $\$ 795,355,374$ reduction in taxable valuation attributable to tax abatement agreements.
A City election was approved on February 5, 2005, which adopted a homestead property tax limitation for disabled individuals and individuals 65 years of age or older. This limitation on the residential homesteads of qualifying property owners is defined under the Texas Property Tax Code, section 11.261 . The limitation cannot be repealed by any action of the City or through an election of the City under current State law. The homestead property tax ceiling limits the amount of taxes paid to the City based on the taxes paid in the first year that the property qualifies for the disabled exemption or the 65 years of age or older exemption. The limitation is a dollar amount and does not increase unless improvements (other than repairs or improvements required to comply with governmental requirements) are made to the residential homestead. For those property owners who qualified in 2005 for either exemption, the tax ceiling was set based on the taxes levied in September 2005 by the City. The tax ceiling carries forward to a surviving spouse age 55 or older of an individual who is 65 years of age or older. The City has 89,113 residential homestead properties in Fiscal Year 2019-2020 and 22,222 of these properties received an exemption for a disabled individual or individual 65 years of age or older.

Tarrant County bills and collects ad valorem taxes for the City pursuant to a contract. The City does not permit split payments, and discounts are not allowed. Notwithstanding the City's prohibition on split payments, taxpayers 65 years old or older are permitted by State law to pay taxes (without penalty and interest) on homesteads in four installments with the first due on or before January 31 of each year and the final installment due on or before July 31 .

## Tax Revenue

The following table shows the City's principal tax revenues by source for each of the last five fiscal years.

Table 9 - Tax Rate Distribution and Collection Ratios

| Fiscal Year | Estimated Net Taxable <br> Valuation ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | Tax Rate |  |  |  |  |  | Tax Levy |  | \% Collections |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | General Fund |  | Debt Service Fund Rate |  | Total Tax Rate |  |  |  | Current Year | Prior $\text { Years }^{(2)}$ |
| 2016 | \$ | 19,601,363,252 | \$ | 0.4460 | \$ | 0.2020 |  | 0.6480 | \$ | 127,016,834 | 97.43 | 98.47 |
| 2017 |  | 21,379,080,714 |  | 0.4538 |  | 0.1910 |  | 0.6448 |  | 137,852,312 | 96.61 | 97.63 |
| 2018 |  | 23,503,192,007 |  | 0.4409 |  | 0.1989 |  | 0.6398 |  | 150,373,422 | 96.76 | 97.80 |
| 2019 |  | 25,921,882,291 |  | 0.4428 |  | 0.1920 |  | 0.6348 |  | 164,552,109 | 95.90 | 96.70 |
| 2020 |  | 29,510,132,493 |  | 0.4467 |  | 0.1773 |  | 0.6240 |  | 184,143,227 | 95.60 | 95.80 |

[^7]Table 10 - Tax Base Distribution Fiscal Years 2015 to 2019

|  | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential | 64.2\% | 63.7\% | 62.8\% | 60.4\% | 59.3\% |
| Commercial, Industrial, Retail | 33.9\% | 34.3\% | 34.9\% | 35.8\% | 36.9\% |
| Mineral | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% |
| Undeveloped | 1.3\% | 1.6\% | 1.7\% | 1.8\% | 1.9\% |

Source: City of Arlington Finance Department
[Remainder of Page Left Blank Intentionally]

## Table 11-Top Ten Taxpayers ${ }^{(1)}$

| Taxpayer | Type of Business | FY 2020 <br> Taxable Assessed <br> Valuation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Motors LLC | Automobile Assembly | \$ | 292,887,859 |
| Arlington Highlands LLP | Shopping Center |  | 179,307,250 |
| Parks at Arlington LP | Shopping Center |  | 172,159,764 |
| Oncor Electric Delivery Co. LLC | Public Utility |  | 161,906,699 |
| Six Flags Fund II Ltd. | Amusement Park |  | 108,296,864 |
| Bedrock Holdings II LLC | Commercial |  | 96,380,000 |
| Pioneer Industrial LLC | Commercial |  | 78,952,886 |
| Columbia Medical Center | Medical Center |  | 77,826,183 |
| Park 20-360 Investors LLC | Real Estate |  | 76,611,944 |
| Viridian Holdings LP | Real Estate |  | 76,552,714 |
| Total |  | \$ | 1,320,882,163 |
| Top ten taxpayers as \% of total tax rolls |  |  | 5.10\% |
| Total tax roll |  | \$ | 25,921,882,291 |

[^8]
## Municipal Sales Tax

The City has adopted the provisions of Sections 321.101 and 321.103 of the Texas Tax Code, which grants the City the power to impose and levy a one percent sales tax for general purposes of the City. On September 14, 2002, an election to adopt an additional one-quarter cent city sales and use tax for municipal street maintenance as permitted under Chapter 327 of the Texas Tax Code was held and the additional one-quarter cent sales and use tax was approved. The additional one-quarter cent sales and use tax became effective on January 1, 2003. It was reapproved in May 2006, May 2010, May 2014 and May 2018.

On November 2, 2004, an election to adopt an additional one-half cent sales and use tax for the Dallas Cowboys Complex Development Project as permitted by Chapter 334 of the Texas Local Government Code was held and the additional one-half cent sales and use tax was approved. On November 6, 2016, an election was held to extend the one-half cent sales tax previously collected for the financing of the Dallas Cowboys Complex Development Project to be used to finance a new Rangers Baseball Complex, as permitted by Chapter 334 of the Texas Local Government Code. The election authorizing the extension of the one-half cent sales and use tax to finance the Rangers Baseball Complex in addition to the Dallas Cowboys Complex Development Project was approved by voters. The additional one-half cent sales and use tax became effective on April 1, 2005.

The Comptroller of Public Accounts of the State of Texas, after the deduction of a two percent service fee, currently remits monthly the City's portion of sales tax collections to the City. The statute provides the Comptroller must remit at least twice annually. Revenue from sales tax levied for general purposes of the City may not be pledged, under the applicable statutes, to the payment of debt service of the City's debt obligations.

Table 12 - Municipal Sales Tax History

| Fiscal Year | Sales Tax Receipts ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | Ad Valorem Tax Levy |  | Sales Tax as a \% of Tax Levy | Population <br> Estimate ${ }^{(2)}$ | Per Capita Sales Tax Collection |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015 | \$ | 56,351,761 | \$ | 122,509,363 | 46\% | 369,592 | \$ | 152 |
| 2016 |  | 58,895,721 |  | 127,016,834 | 46\% | 380,740 |  | 155 |
| 2017 |  | 60,447,625 |  | 138,536,443 | 44\% | 382,230 |  | 158 |
| 2018 |  | 62,875,224 |  | 150,373,422 | 42\% | 383,950 |  | 164 |
| 2019 |  | 66,983,242 |  | 164,552,109 | 41\% | 386,180 |  | 173 |

[^9]
## PENSION FUND

## Texas Municipal Retirement System

## A. Plan Description

The City provides pension benefits for all its full-time employees through a nontraditional, joint contributory, hybrid defined benefit plan in the state-wide Texas Municipal Retirement System (TMRS), one of 887 administered by TMRS, an agent, multiple-employer public employee retirement system. TMRS is an agency created by the State of Texas and administered in accordance with the TMRS Act, Subtitle G, Title 8, Texas Government Code (the TMRS Act) as an agent multiple-employer retirement system for municipal employees in the State of Texas. The TMRS Act places the general administration and management of the System with a six-member Board of Trustees. Although the Governor, with the advice and consent of the Senate, appoints the Board, TMRS is not fiscally dependent on the State of Texas. TMRS's defined benefit pension plan is a tax-qualified plan under Section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code. TMRS issues a publicly available comprehensive annual financial report (CAFR) that can be obtained at www.tmrs.com.

All eligible employees of the City are required to participate in TMRS.

## B. Benefits Provided

TMRS provides retirement, disability, and death benefits. Benefit provisions are adopted by the governing body of the City, within the options available in the state statutes governing TMRS.

At retirement, the benefit is calculated as if the sum of the employee's contributions, with interest, and the City- financed monetary credits with interest were used to purchase an annuity. Members may choose to receive their retirement benefit in one of seven payment options. Members may also choose to receive a portion of their benefit as a Partial Lump Sum Distribution in an amount equal to 12, 24, or 36 monthly payments, which cannot exceed $75 \%$ of the member's deposits and interest.

Members can retire at age 60 and above with 5 or more years of service or with 20 years of service regardless of age. A member is vested after 5 years. The plan provisions are adopted by the governing body of the City, within the options available in the state statutes governing TMRS. The contribution rate for the employees is $7 \%$, and the City matching ratio is currently 2 to 1 , both as adopted by the governing body of the City.

Initiated in 1998, the City provides on an annually repeating basis annuity increases for retirees, which are also referred to as cost of living adjustments (COLAS). Currently, that amount is equal to $50 \%$ of the change in the consumer price index (CPI). The amount of the COLA percentage can only be changed by a City-adopted ordinance.

At the December 31, 2018 valuation and measurement date, the following employees were covered by the benefit terms:

| Inactive employees or beneficiaries currently receiving | 1,793 |
| :--- | :--- |
| benefits |  |
| Inactive employees entitled to but not yet receiving benefits | 1,192 |
| Active Employees | $\underline{2,551}$ |
|  | 5,536 |

## C. Contributions

The contribution rates for employees in TMRS is $7 \%$ of employee gross earnings, and the city matching
percentages are $200 \%$, both as adopted by the governing body of the City. Under the state law governing TMRS, the contribution rate for each city is determined annually by the actuary, using the Entry Age Normal (EAN) actuarial cost method. The actuarially determined rate is the estimated amount necessary to finance the cost of benefits earned by employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded accrued liability.

Employees for the City of Arlington were required to contribute 7\% of their annual gross earnings during the fiscal year. The contribution rates for the City of Arlington were $15.94 \%$ and $15.96 \%$ in calendar years 2018 and 2019, respectively. The city's contributions to TMRS for the year ended September 30, 2019, was $\$ 29,093,440$ and was equal to the required contributions.

## D. Net Pension Liability

The City's Net Pension Liability (NPL) was measured as of December 31, 2018, and the Total Pension Liability (TPL) used to calculate the Net Pension Liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date.

Actuarial assumptions:
The Total Pension Liability in the December 31, 2018 actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions:

Inflation
Overall payroll growth
Investment Rate of Return

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2.50\% per year } \\
& 3.00 \% \text { per year } \\
& 6.75 \% \text {, net of pension plan investment expense, including } \\
& \text { inflation }
\end{aligned}
$$

Salary increases were based on a service-related table. Mortality rates for active members, retirees, and beneficiaries were based on the gender-distinct RP2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table with Blue Collar Adjustment, with male rates multiplied by $109 \%$ and female rates multiplied by $103 \%$. The rates are projected on a fully generational basis by scale BB to account for future mortality improvements. For disabled annuitants, the gender-distinct RP2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Tables with Blue Collar Adjustment are used with male rates multiplied by $109 \%$ and female rates multiplied by $103 \%$ with a 3 -year set-forward for both males and females. In addition, a $3 \%$ minimum mortality rate is applied to reflect the impairment for younger members who become disabled. The rates are projected on a fully generational basis by scale BB to account for future mortality improvements subject to the $3 \%$ floor.

Actuarial assumptions used in the December 31, 2018 valuation were based on the results of actuarial experience studies. The actuarial assumptions were developed primarily from the actuarial investigation of the experience of TMRS over the four year period from December 31, 2010 to December 31, 2014. They were adopted in 2015 and first used in the December 31, 2015 actuarial valuation. The post-retirement mortality assumption for healthy annuitants and Annuity Purchase Rate (APRs) are based on the Mortality Experience Investigation Study covering 2009 through 2011 and dated December 31, 2013. In conjunction with these changes first used in the December 31, 2013 valuation, the System adopted the Entry Age Normal actuarial cost method and a one-time change to the amortization policy. Plan assets are managed on a total return basis with an emphasis on both capital appreciation as well as the production of income in order to satisfy the short-term and long-term funding needs of TMRS.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which best estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation. In determining their best estimate of a recommended investment return assumption under the various alternative asset allocation portfolios, GRS focused on the area between (1) arithmetic mean (aggressive) without an adjustment for time (conservative) and (2) the geometric mean (conservative) with an adjustment for time (aggressive). The target allocation and best estimates of real rates of return for each major asset class in fiscal year 2019 are summarized in the following table:

| Asset Class | Target Allocation | Long-Term Expected <br> Real Rate of Return <br> (Arithmetic) |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Domestic Equity | $17.5 \%$ | $4.55 \%$ |
| International Equity | $17.5 \%$ | $6.35 \%$ |
| Core Fixed Income | $10.0 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ |
| Non-Core Fixed Income | $20.0 \%$ | $3.90 \%$ |
| Real Return | $10.0 \%$ | $3.80 \%$ |
| Real Estate | $10.0 \%$ | $4.50 \%$ |
| Absolute Return | $10.0 \%$ | $3.75 \%$ |
| Private Equity | $5.0 \%$ | $7.50 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ |  |

## Discount Rate:

The discount rate used to measure the Total Pension Liability was $6.75 \%$. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that employee and employer contributions will be made at the rates specified in statute. Based on that assumption, the pension plan's Fiduciary Net Position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current active and inactive employees. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the Total Pension Liability.

Changes in the Net Pension Liability

|  | Total Pension Liability (a) |  | Plan Fiduciary Net Position (b) |  | Net Pension Liability (a)-(b) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at 12/31/2017 | \$ | 1,189,337,480 | \$ | 1,081,094,845 | \$ | 108,242,635 |
| Changes for the year: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service Cost |  | 28,887,256 |  | - |  | 28,887,256 |
| Interest |  | 79,408,986 |  | - |  | 79,408,986 |
| Change of benefit terms |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Difference between expected and actual experience |  | 6,665,740 |  | - |  | 6,665,740 |
| Changes of assumptions |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Contributions-employer |  | - |  | 28,034,989 |  | $(28,034,989)$ |
| Contributions-employee |  | - |  | 12,429,434 |  | $(12,429,434)$ |
| Net investment income |  | - |  | $(32,369,787)$ |  | 32,369,787 |
| Benefit payments, including refunds |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| of employee contributions |  | $(54,703,360)$ |  | $(54,703,360)$ |  | - |
| Administrative expense |  | - |  | $(625,874)$ |  | 625,874 |
| Other changes |  | - |  | $(32,698)$ |  | 32,698 |
| Net changes |  | 60,258,622 |  | $(47,267,296)$ |  | 107,525,918 |
| Balance at 12/31/18 | \$ | 1,249,596,102 | \$ | 1,033,827,549 | \$ | 215,768,553 |

Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability
Net pension liability as a percentage of covered employee payroll
Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate
The following presents the net pension liability of the City, calculated using the discount rate of $6.75 \%$, as well as what the City's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (5.75\%) or 1-percentagepoint higher ( $7.75 \%$ ) than the current rate:

|  | Discount Rate <br> $(5.75 \%)$ | Discount Rate <br> $(6.75 \%)$ | Discount Rate <br> $(7.75 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| City's net pension liability | $\$ 390,793,354$ | $\$ 215,768,553$ | $\$ 72,240,019$ |

## Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position

Detailed information about the pension plan's Fiduciary Net Position is available in a separately-issued TMRS financial report. That report may be obtained on the internet at www.tmrs.com
E. Pension Expense and Deferred Outflows and Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

For the year ended September 30, 2019, the City recognized pension expense of $\$ 47,257,843$.

At September 30, 2019, the City reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

|  | Deferred <br> Outflow <br> Resources | Deferred <br> Inflow of <br> Resources |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Differences between expected and actual economic experience | $\$$ | - |
| Changes in actuarial assumptions |  | $\$ 2,606,202$ |
| Difference between projected and actual investment earnings | $108,061,889$ | - |
| Contributions subsequent to the measurement date | - | - |
| Total | $22,230,404$ | - |

$\$ 22,230,404$ reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability for the year ending September 30, 2020. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows and inflows of resources related to in pension expense as follows:

| Measurement Year <br> Ended December 31: |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2019 | $\$$ | $23,399,743$ |
| 2020 | $10,285,321$ |  |
| 2021 |  | $9,733,358$ |
| 2022 | $22,037,264$ |  |
| 2023 |  | - |
| Thereafter |  | - |
| Total | $\$$ | $65,455,686$ |

## Part-Time, Seasonal and Temporary Employees Deferred Income Plan

The Part-Time, Seasonal and Temporary Employees Deferred Income Plan (PSTDIP) provides a retirement benefit for those employees not eligible to participate in the Texas Municipal Retirement System. PSTDIP issues stand- alone financial statements that can be obtained from the City of Arlington at 101 S. Mesquite Street, Suite 800, Arlington, TX 76010.

## Plan Description

Plan administration. The City's Retirement Committee administers the Part-time, Seasonal and Temporary Employees Deferred Income Plan (PSTDIP) - a single-employer defined benefit pension plan that provides benefits for all part-time, seasonal and temporary employees. Management of the PSTDIP is vested in the City's Retirement Committee consists of an odd number of persons, but not less than three, that are determined and appointed by the City acting through City Council. The Committee includes the Director of Human Resources appointed as Chair, the Chief Financial Officer, and a representative of the City Manager's Office. The Committee meets on a quarterly basis and has final approval for all administrative actions.

Benefits. PSTDIP provides retirement, disability and death benefits for part-time, seasonal and temporary employees. Monthly retirement
benefits for plan members are calculated as the lesser of a) a life annuity with an actuarial equivalent value equal to 2.5 times employee contributions with interest, or b) average compensation times percentage of average pay times credited service not in excess of 30 . Average compensation is determined by dividing the sum of monthly compensation by the months of credited service earned prior to termination. Percentage of average pay ranges from 1.5 percent to 2.0 percent based on number of months of credited service. A plan member is eligible to retire upon attaining age 65. If an employee is terminated by reason of total and permanent disability, the employee will be eligible for a life only annuity in an amount actuarially equivalent to a lump sum payment equal to 2.5 times employee contributions with interest. With the approval of the Retirement Committee, the Disability Retirement Pension shall be paid as a lump sum in lieu of a life annuity. Death benefits are the same as for disability.

Contributions. The Retirement Committee establishes rates based on an actuarially determined rate recommended by an independent actuary. The actuarially determined rate is the estimated amount necessary to finance the cost of benefits earned by plan members during the year. The City is required to contribute the difference between the actuarially determined rate and the contribution rate of plan members. For the year ended June 30, 2019, the active member average contribution rate was 3.0 percent of annual pay and the City's average contribution rate was 2.6 percent of annual payroll. The City's contributions to the plan for the year ended September 30, 2019, was $\$ 82,293$ and was equal to the required contributions.

At the June 30, 2019 valuation and measurement date, the following employees were covered by the terms:

| Inactive employees or beneficiaries currently receiving benefits | 23 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Inactive employees entitled to but not yet receiving benefits | 3,528 |
| Active Employees | $\underline{778}$ |
| , 329 |  |

## Net Pension Liability

The City's Net Pension Liability (NPL) was measured as of June 30, 2019 and the Total Pension Liability (TPL) used to calculate the Net Pension Liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date.

Total Pension Liability (TPL) used to calculate the Net Pension Liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date.

## Actuarial assumptions

The Total Pension Liability in the June 30, 2019 actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions:

| Inflation | 2.50\% per year |
| :--- | :--- |
| Overall payroll growth | $3.00 \%$ per year |
| Discount Rate | $5.00 \%$ |

Mortality rates were based on the gender-distinct RP2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table with Blue Collar Adjustment, projected with Scale BB. Active rates were multiplied by $54.5 \%$ for males and $51.5 \%$ for females. Retiree rates were multiplied by $109 \%$ for males and $103 \%$ for females.

Discount Rate:

The discount rate used to measure the Total Pension Liability was $5.00 \%$.

## Changes in the Net Pension Asset

|  | Total Pension <br> Liability <br> (a) | Plan Fiduciary <br> Net Position <br> (b) | Net Pension <br> Liability <br> (a)-(b) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Balance at 6/30/2018 | $\$$ | $2,656,348$ | $\$$ |
| Changes for the year: |  |  | $\$ 19,601$ |
| $(163,253)$ |  |  |  |
| Service Cost | 194,554 | - | 194,554 |
| Interest | 134,220 | - | 134,220 |
| Change of benefit terms | - | - | - |
| Difference between expected and actual experienc | $(47,470)$ | - | $(47,470)$ |
| Changes of assumptions | - | - | - |
| Contributions-employer | - | 82,293 | $(82,293)$ |


| Contributions-employee | - | 109,711 | $(109,711)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Net investment income | - | 206,103 | $(206,103)$ |
| Benefit payments, including refunds |  |  |  |
| of employee contributions | $(138,464)$ | $(138,464)$ | - |
| Administrative expense | - | $(79,339)$ | 79,339 |
| Other changes | - | - | - |
| Net changes | 142,840 | 180,304 | $(37,464)$ |
| Balance at $\mathbf{0 6 / 3 0 / 1 9}$ | $\$ 2,799,188$ | $\$$ | $2,999,905$ |

## Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the net pension liability of the City, calculated using the discount rate of $5.00 \%$, as well as what the City's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (4.00\%) or 1-percentage-point higher ( $6.00 \%$ ) than the current rate:

|  | Discount Rate <br> $(4.00 \%)$ | Discount Rate <br> $(5.00 \%)$ | Discount Rate <br> $(6.00 \%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City's net pension liability | $\$ 63,031$ | $(\$ 200,717)$ | $(\$ 418,302)$ |

## Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position

The financial statements of the plan are presented below.

## City of Arlington, Texas <br> Part-time, Seasonal and Temporary <br> Employees Deferred Income Plan <br> Statement of Fiduciary Net Position June 30, 2019

## Assets

Cash and deposits
Receivables
Accrued Interest
Investments
Mutual funds - bonds
Mutual funds - equities
Total investments
Total assets

## Liabilities

Accrued expenses
Net position restricted for pensions
$\$ \quad 96,428$
14,159 -

| $2,243,792$ |
| ---: |
| 664,430 |
| $2,908,222$ |
| $\$ 3,018,809$ |

$\$ \quad 18,904$ $\$ 2,999,905$

## Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position <br> for the Year Ended June 30, 2019

## Additions

| Contributions: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Employer | \$ 82,293 |
| Employees | 109,711 |
| Total contributions | 192,004 |
| Net investment income |  |
| Interest and dividends | 19,630 |
| Net appreciation in fair value of investments | 186,473 |
| Total investments | 206,103 |
| Total additions | 398,107 |
| Deductions |  |
| Benefit payments | 138,464 |
| Administrative expenses | 79,339 |
| Total deductions | 217,803 |
| Net increase in net position | 180,304 |
| Net position restricted for pensions |  |
| Beginning of year | 2,819,601 |
| End of year | \$ 2,999,905 |

[^10]At September 30, 2019, the city reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

|  | Deferred <br> Outflow of <br> Resources | Deferred <br> Inflow of <br> Resources |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Differences between expected and actual economic experience | $\$-$ | $\$-$ |
| Changes in actuarial assumptions | - | - |
| Difference between projected and actual investment earnings | 52,392 | 52,614 |
| Contributions subsequent to the measurement date | 21,000 | - |
| Total | $\$ 73,392$ | $\$ 52,614$ |

$\$ 21,000$ reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability for the year ending September 30, 2020. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows and inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

| Measurement Year |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| Ended December 31: |  |
| 2020 | $\$$ |
| 2021 | 11,011 |
| 2022 | 1,516 |
| 2023 | 403 |
| 2024 | $(13,152)$ |
| Thereafter | - |
| Total | $\$$ |

## Thrift Savings Plan

All full-time City employees may participate in the Thrift Savings Plan (the "Thrift"), a single-employer defined contribution plan administered by the Retirement Committee at the City. The plan provisions and contribution savings are adopted and amended by the City Council, within the options available in the federal statutes governing Internal Revenue Code, section $401(\mathrm{k})$. This voluntary IRS Code $401(\mathrm{k})$ plan allows all full-time City employees to contribute between 1 percent to 10 percent of their salary with the City matching the first 6 percent of employee contributions at 50 cents to the dollar. Partial vesting of employer contributions begins after three years of participation with full vesting taking place after six years of participation. At September 30, 2019, the Thrift plan was fully funded and the fair market value of plan assets, including accrued interest, was $\$ 227,349,000$.

The City's total payroll during fiscal 2019 was $\$ 190,219,000$. The current year contribution was calculated based on a covered payroll of $\$ 131,764,000$, resulting in a required and actual employer contribution of $\$ 3,663,000$ and actual employee contributions of $\$ 9,191,000$. The employer contribution represents 2.75 percent of the covered payroll. The employee contribution represents approximately 7.11 percent of the covered payroll.

There were no material changes to the Thrift plan during fiscal 2019. There were no related-party transactions. The Thrift Plan does not issue separate stand-alone financial statements.

The Thrift Savings Plan does not issue separate GAAP financial reports. Its financial statements are presented below as of and for the year-ended September 30, 2019. (amounts in thousands):

ASSETS
Investments
Total Assets

|  | 227,412 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$$ | 227,412 |

LIABILITIES
Accounts Payable

| Retired Ci ty \Mg 401(k) plan payable |  | 63 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Liabilities | \$ | 63 |

## NET POSITION

| Held in trust for pension benefits | 227,349 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Assigned pension trust | - |
| Total Net Position | 227,349 |

## Changes in Net Position

Thrift Savings Plan
ADDITIONS

| Employer contributions | $\$ 3,727$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Employee contributions | 9,243 |
| Net appreciation in fair value of investments | 9,656 |
| Other additions | 100 |
|  | 22,726 |

DEDUCTIONS

| Benefits | 13,229 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Plan administration | 129 |
| Other deductions | 81 |
| Increase in Net Position | 13,439 |
| Net Position, October 1 | 9,287 |
| Net position, September 30 | 218,062 |
| Employee contributions | 227,349 |
| Net appreciation in fair value of investments | 9,243 |
| Other additions | 9,656 |
|  | 100 |

DEDUCTIONS
Benefits 13,229

Plan administration 129
Other deductions

| 81 |
| ---: |
| 13,439 |


| Increase in Net Position | 9,287 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Net Position, October 1 | 218,062 |
| Net position, September 30 | $\$ \quad 227,349$ |

City contributions for the above plans for the year ended September 30, 2019, are as follows (amounts in thousands):

| TMRS | $\$ 29,093$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| THRIFT | 3,727 |
| PTDIT | 91 |
|  | $\$ 32,911$ |

## 7. OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

## Disability Income Plan

Plan Description
Effective October 1, 1992, the City began providing active employees with disability insurance through a policy obtained from a commercial carrier. Previously, all City employees had participated in a Disability Income Plan (DIP), a single-employer other postemployment benefit disability plan, which had been funded by actuarially determined contributions. This plan had been accounted for in the DIP fund. Benefits to employees who were disabled while participating under the previous plan will continue to be paid from the remaining assets of the DIP fund, a fiduciary fund of the City.

Plan Description. DIP is a single-employer defined benefit disability income plan that covers the employees of the City. The plan originally provided in-service death benefits and long term disability benefits commencing upon disablement. The plan was amended to eliminate the in-service death benefit and to start disability payments at age 65 . The plan contemplates that long term disability benefits will be provided through a separate LTD insurance contract prior to age 65 . The retired life liability for current disabled employees (many of whom are under age 65) is retained under the plan.

The Disability Income Plan does not issue separate GAAP financial reports. Its financial statements are presented below as of and for the year-ended September 30, 2019. (amounts in thousands):

## ASSETS

| Cash and cash-like investments | \$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Investments: |  |
| U.S. Government securities | 31 |
| Common stock mutual funds | 770 |
| Balanced mutual funds | 256 |
| Participant borrowing | 272 |
| Total investments | 1329 |
| Total Assets | S 1.329 |
| LIABILITIES |  |
| Accounts payable | - |
| Total Liabilities | - |
| NET POSITION |  |
| Restricted for pensions | 1,329 |
| Total Net Position | \$ 1.329 |

## Changes in Net Position Disability Income Plan

| Additions |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Employer contributions | 77 |
| Other additions | 99 |
| Total Additions | 176 |
| Deductions |  |
| Benefits | 114 |
| Plan Administration | 13 |
| Total Deductions | 127 |
| Increase in Net Position | 49 |
| Net Position, October 1 | 1,280 |
| Net Position, September 30 | \$ 1,329 |

## Benefits Provided

The amount of monthly benefit payable to the employee is provided by $60 \%$ of basic earnings not less than $\$ 50$ less the sum of TMRS benefit plus worker's compensation plus social security benefit.

In September of 2012, the City amended the Disability Income Plan to limit benefit eligibility to:
a. Former employees who were receiving disability income from the trust as of September 18, 2012, and
b. Former employees who, as of September 18, 2012, were receiving benefits from the City's Long Term Disability (LTD) plan and were in active service prior to January 1, 1993.

Because the amendment closed the plan to any future disabled employees, there is no longer any liability attributable to the City's active employees.

At the December 31, 2018 valuation and measurement date, the following employees were covered by the benefit terms:
Retirees and Beneficiaries 10
Inactive, Nonretired Members 2
Active Members $\underline{0}$
12

## Contributions

The retirement committee of the City has the authority to establish and amend contribution requirements of the plan. The City's contribution is determined through an actuarial valuation. For the year ended September 30, 2019, the City contributed $\$ 77,000$ to the plan. Administrative costs of DIP are financed through investment earnings.

Net Disability Income Plan Liability
The City's Total Disability Income Plan Liability was measured as of December 31, 2018. Actuarial
assumptions:
The Total Disability Income Plan Liability in the December 31, 2018 actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions:
Actuarial Cost Method
Discount Rate
Inflation
Salary Increases
Cost of Living Adjustment

## Commencement of Plan Benefits

Mortality rates for disabled retirees was the RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Tables with Blue Collar Adjustment table for males and females with a 3 year-set forward; multiplied by $109 \%$ for males and $103 \%$ for females. The rates are projected on a fully generational basis by scale BB to account for future mortality improvements. In addition, there is a $3 \%$ minimum mortality probability to reflect impaired mortality for this group.

## Changes in the Total Disability Income Plan Liability

|  | Total OPEB <br> Liability <br> $(\mathrm{a})$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Balance at 12/31/2017 | $\mathbf{1 , 4 2 0 , 1 2 7}$ |
| Changes for the year: | - |
| Service Cost | 68,017 |
| Interest on total OPEB liability | - |
| Change of benefit terms | 40,256 |
| Difference between expected and actualexperienc | - |
| Changes of assumptions or other inputs | $(119,556)$ |
| Benefit Payments | $(11,283)$ |
| Net changes | $1,408,844$ |
| Total OPEB Liability - end of year |  |

Sensitivity of the Total OPEB Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate
Regarding the sensitivity of the net OPEB liability to changes in the Single Discount Rate, the following presents the plan's net OPEB liability, calculated using a discount rate of $5.00 \%$, as well as what the plan's net OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percent lower or one percent higher:

|  | Discount Rate <br> $(4.00 \%)$ | Discount Rate <br> $(5.00 \%)$ | Discount Rate <br> $(6.00 \%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City's total OPEB liability | $\$ 287,107$ | $\$ 162,693$ | $\$ 55,630$ |

At September 30, 2019, the city reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to disability income plan from the following sources:

|  | Deferred Inflows of Resources | Deferred Outflows of Resources |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Differences between expected and actual experience | \$ | \$ |
| Changes in assumptions and other inputs | - | - |
| Contributions subsequent to the measurement date | n/a | 59,255 |
| Excess Investment Returns | 50,597 | - |
| Total | \$50,597 | \$ 59,255 |

Deferred outflows and deferred inflows of resources, by year, to be recognized in future OPEB expense (excluding city-provided contributions made subsequent to the measurement date):

| Plan Year Ended <br> December 31: |  |
| ---: | :---: |
| 2019 | $\$$ |
| 2020 | 10,981 |
| 2021 | 10,981 |
| 2022 | 10,982 |
| 2023 | 17,653 |
| Thereafter | - |
| Total | $\$ 50,597$ |

## Retiree Health Insurance

The City of Arlington administers a single-employer self-funded health care plan. The plan provides post-retirement health care benefits to eligible retirees and their dependents.

To be eligible for retiree health insurance, an employee must be eligible to retire from the City of Arlington based upon the policies and requirements of the Texas Municipal Retirement System ("TMRS") and elect to retire at the time of separation from the City. If a retiree has coverage through another employer, they must waive the City retiree coverage until the employer-based coverage terminates. As of December 31, 2018, there were 243 retired employees who met this requirement.

An employee may retire from the City based on one of the following circumstances: (1) the employee becomes eligible and elects to retire under the Texas Municipal Retirement System (TMRS) after either 20 years of service credit at any age, or after a minimum of five years of service at age 60 ; (2) the employee becomes eligible and elects to retire under the provisions of TMRS relating to disability retirement.

## Benefits Provided

A Retiree may be eligible for insurance benefits that include: medical, dental, and vision benefits, regardless of the number of years worked for the City. However, to be eligible for a contribution from the City toward medical insurance, the Retiree must meet all of the following requirements:

- Be a minimum of age 50 and have a minimum of 10 years of full-time service with the City of Arlington and age plus years of service with the City must equal atleast 70 .
- Elect to receive their TMRS pension at the time of separation from the City of Arlington.
- Be hired/re-hired OR transferred to a Full-time status prior to January 1, 2006.


## Retiree Health Insurance City Contributions

The City's contribution toward Retiree health insurance premiums is based upon five criteria: Date of Hire, Re- hire, or Full-time Status; Years of Full-time Service with the City of Arlington; Age; Election of TMRS Pension; and Date of Retirement.

1. Retirees who were hired/re-hired or transferred into a full-time status prior to $1 / 1 / 2006$ have a City contribution based on their years of eligible service with the City. Retirees who were hired/re-hired or transferred into a full-time status after 1/1/2006 have no City contribution; however, they may elect to
pay the full cost and remain on the City's health plan.
2. Retirees who are TMRS eligible and elect a pension, are a minimum of age 50 and have 10 years of full- time service with the City of Arlington are eligible for a City contribution if hired, re-hired, or transferred into a full-time status prior to $1 / 1 / 2006$.
3. Retirees who are TMRS eligible, have elected a pension but have less than 10 years of full-time service with the City of Arlington are not eligible for the City contribution, but may elect insurance benefits and pay the full premium.
4. Retirees who retired prior to $1 / 1 / 2008$ have a City contribution toward their dependent's health coverage. Retirees who are retiring after $1 / 1 / 2008$ do not have a contribution toward their dependent's health care.
5. Effective January 1, 2014, the City's retiree contribution was changed to a flat rate based on date of retirement. The contribution for retirees over the age of 65 has $\$ 50$ of the contribution designated for Medicare pharmacy coverage.

Contributions The City Council through the budget process has the authority to establish and amend contribution requirements of the plan. Currently the plan is funded on a pay-as-you-go basis. The City's contributions for the year ended September 30, 2019 were \$5,871,000.

At the December 31, 2018 valuation and measurement date, the following employees were covered by the benefit terms:
Inactive employees or beneficiaries currently receiving benefits ..... 794
Inactive employees entitled to but not yet receiving benefits ..... 243
Active Employees ..... 2,4553,492
Net OPEB Liability

The City's Total OPEB Liability was measured as of December 31, 2018.

Actuarial assumptions:

The Total OPEB Liability in the December 31, 2018 actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions:

Actuarial Cost Method
Discount Rate
Inflation
Salary Increases
Demographic Assumptions

Mortality

Individual Entry-Age
3.71\%
2.50\% per year
$3.50 \%$ to $10.50 \%$, including inflation
Based on the experience study covering the four-year period
ending December 31, 2014 as conducted for the Texas Municipal Retirement System (TMRS).
For healthy retirees, the gender-distinct RP2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Tables with Blue Collar Adjustment are used with male rates multiplied by $109 \%$ and female rates multiplied by $103 \%$. The rates are projected on a fully generational basis by scale BB to account for future mortality improvements.

Health Care Trend Rates

Participation Rates

Pre-65: Initial rate of $7.20 \%$ declining to an ultimate rate of $4.25 \%$ after 15 years;
Post-65: Initial rate of $6.50 \%$ declining to an ultimate rate of $4.25 \%$ after 15 years
Following rates apply for retirees that are eligible for a subsidy
and retire between the ages of 50 and 65:
$50 \%$ for retirees with $10-14$ years of service; $60 \%$
for retirees with $15-19$ years of service; $70 \%$ for retirees with 20-24 years of service;
$80 \%$ for retirees with 25 or more years of service;
$95 \%$ for retirees that are eligible for a subsidy and retire after the age of 65; $20 \%$ for retirees that are not eligible for a subsidy from the City; $10 \%$ for retirees that are eligible for a subsidy and retire before the age of 50

Discount Rate:
The discount rate used to measure the Total OPEB Liability was changed from $3.31 \%$ as of December 31, 2017 to $3.71 \%$ as of December 31, 2018.

## Changes in the Total OPEB Liability

|  | Total OPEB <br> Liability <br> (a) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Balance at 12/31/2017 | $\$ 114,157,722$ |
| Changes for the year: | $2,288,267$ |
| Service Cost | $3,688,623$ |
| Interest on total OPEB liability | - |
| Change of benefit terms | $1,772,265$ |
| Difference between expected and actual experienc | $(2,475,508)$ |
| Changes of assumptions or other inputs | $(7,726,176)$ |
| Benefit Payments | $(2,452,529)$ |
| Net changes | $111,705,193$ |
| Total OPEB Liability - end of year |  |


| Covered-employee payroll | $\$ 161,558,413$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total OPEB liability as a percentage of covered payroll | $69.14 \%$ |

Sensitivity of the Total OPEB Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate
The following presents the net OPEB liability of the City, calculated using the discount rate of $3.71 \%$, as well as what the City's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower ( $2.71 \%$ ) or 1-percentage-point higher ( $4.71 \%$ ) than the current rate:

|  | Discount Rate <br> $(2.71 \%)$ | Discount Rate <br> $(3.71 \%)$ | Discount Rate <br> $(4.71 \%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City's total OPEB liability | $\$ 123,446,850$ | $\$ 111,705,193$ | $\$ 101,561,446$ |

Sensitivity of the Total OPEB Liability to Changes in the Healthcare Cost Trend Rate Assumption
The following presents the net OPEB liability of the City, calculated using the discount rate Regarding the sensitivity of the total OPEB liability to changes in the healthcare cost trend rates, the following presents the plan's total OPEB liability, calculated using the assumed trend rates as well as what the plan's total OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using a trend rate that is one percent lower or one percent higher:

|  | $1 \%$ Decrease | Current Healthcare <br> Cost Trend Rate <br> Assumption | $1 \%$ Increase |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City's total OPEB liability | $\$ 106,781,313$ | $\$ 111,705,193$ | $\$ 117,378,555$ |

At September 30, 2018, the city reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

|  | Deferred Inflows of Resources | Deferred Outflows of Resources |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Differences between expected and actual experience | - | - |
| Changes in assumptions and other inputs | 2,122,892 | 3,960,957 |
| Contributions subsequent to the measurement date | n/a | 3,939,980 |
| Total | \$2,122,892 | \$9,420,757 |

Deferred outflows and deferred inflows of resources, by year, to be recognized in future OPEB expense (excluding cityprovided contributions made subsequent to the measurement date):

| Year Ended December 31: |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| 2020 | $\$$ |
| 2021 | 686,154 |
| 2022 | 686,154 |
| 2023 | 686,154 |
| 2024 | 686,154 |
| Thereafter | $(72,885)$ |
| Total | $\$$ |

## Supplemental Death Benefits Plan

## Plan Description

Texas Municipal Retirement System ("TMRS") administers a single-employer defined benefit group-term life insurance plan known as the Supplemental Death Benefits Fund ("SDBF"). This is a voluntary program in which participating member cities may elect, by ordinance, to provide group-term life insurance coverage for their active members, including or not including retirees. The death benefit for active employees provides a lump-sum payment approximately equal to the employee's annual salary (calculated based on the employee's actual earnings, for the 12 -month period preceding the month of death). The death benefit for retirees is considered an other postemployment benefit ("OPEB") and is a fixed amount of $\$ 7,500$. As the SDBF covers both active and retiree participants, with no segregation of assets, the SDBF is considered to be an unfunded OPEB plan (i.e. no assets are accumulated in a trust).

The member city contributes to the SDBF at a contractually required rate as determined by an annual actuarial valuation. The rate is equal to the cost of providing one-year term life insurance. The funding policy for the SDBF program is to assure that adequate resources are available to meet all death benefit payments for the upcoming year. The intent is not to pre-fund retiree term life insurance during employees' entire careers.

## Benefits Provided

TMRS provides death benefits to retirees at a fixed amount of $\$ 7,500$. At the December 31, 2018 valuation and measurement date, the following employees were covered by the benefit terms:

| Inactive employees or beneficiaries currently receiving benefits | 1,444 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Inactive employees entitled to but not yet receiving benefits | 380 |
| Active Employees | $\underline{2,551}$ |
|  | 4,375 |

The total contribution rate is for $.15 \%$ of employee gross earnings, with $.04 \%$ of that rate being the retiree portion. The city's contributions to TMRS for the year ended September 30, 2019, were $\$ 73,630$.

Net OPEB Liability
The City's Total OPEB Liability was measured as of December 31, 2018 Actuarial
assumptions:
The Total OPEB Liability in the December 31, 2018 actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions:

| Inflation | $2.50 \%$ per year |
| :--- | :--- |
| Overall payroll growth | $3.50 \%-10.5 \%$ per year |
| Discount Rate | $3.71 \%$ |

Salary increases were based on a service-related table. Mortality rates for service retirees were based on the gender-distinct RP2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table with Blue Collar Adjustment, with male rates multiplied by $109 \%$ and female rates multiplied by $103 \%$. The rates are projected on a fully generational basis by scale BB to account for future mortality improvements. For disabled retirees, the gender-distinct RP2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Tables with Blue Collar Adjustment are used with male rates multiplied by $109 \%$ and female rates multiplied by $103 \%$ with a 3 -year set-forward for both males and females. The rates are projected on a fully generational basis with scale BB to account for future mortality improvements subject to the $3 \%$ floor. Actuarial assumptions used in the December 31, 2018, valuation were based on the results of actuarial experience studies. The experience study in TMRS was for the period January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2014. The post- retirement mortality assumption for healthy annuitants and Annuity Purchase Rate (APRs) are based on the Mortality Experience Investigation Study covering 2009 through 2011 and dated December 31, 2013.

Discount Rate:
The discount rate used to measure the Total OPEB Liability was 3.71\%

## Changes in the Total OPEB Liability

|  | Total OPEB <br> Liability <br> (a) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Balance at 12/31/2016 | $\$, 493,069$ |
| Changes for the year: |  |
| Service Cost | 284,079 |
| Interest on total OPEB liability | 284,647 |
| Change of benefit terms | - |
| Difference between expected and actual experiend | $(107,778)$ |
| Changes of assumptions or other inputs | $(633,002)$ |
| Benefit Payments | $(71,020)$ |
| Net changes | $(243,074)$ |
| Total OPEB Liability - end of year | $8,249,995$ |

Total OPEB liability as a percentage of covered payroll 4.65\%
Sensitivity of the Total OPEB Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the net OPEB liability of the City, calculated using the discount rate of $3.31 \%$, as well as what the City's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower ( $2.31 \%$ ) or 1-percentage-point higher ( $4.31 \%$ ) than the current rate:

|  | Discount Rate <br> $(2.71 \%)$ | Discount Rate <br> $(3.71 \%)$ | Discount Rate <br> $(4.71 \%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City's total OPEB liability | $\$ 9,965,271$ | $\$ 8,249,995$ | $\$ 6,926,499$ |

At September 30, 2019, the city reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

| Deferred <br> Inflows of <br> Resources | Deferred <br> Outflows of <br> Resources |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Differences between expected and actual experience | $\$(89,417)$ | $\$$ |
| Changes in assumptions and other inputs | $(52,941)$ | - |
| Contributions subsequent to the measurement date | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 56,244 |
| Total | $\$(142,358)$ | $\$ 56,244$ |

Deferred outflows and deferred inflows of resources, by year, to be recognized in future OPEB expense (excluding city-provided contributions made subsequent to the measurement date):

| Plan Year |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| Ended December 31: |  |
| 2019 | $\$$ |
| 2020 | $(4,177)$ |
| 2021 | $(4,177)$ |
| 2022 | $(4,177)$ |
| 2023 | $(109,037)$ |
| Thereafter | - |
| Total | $\$$ |

## CONTINUING DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION

In the Ordinances, the City made the following agreement for the benefit of the owners and beneficial owners of the Obligations. The City is required to observe the agreement for so long as it remains obligated to advance funds to pay the Obligations. Under the agreement, the City will be obligated to provide certain updated financial information and operating data annually, and timely notice of specified material events, to the Municipal Securities Rule Making Board (the "MSRB"). This information will be available free of charge via the Electronic Municipal Market Access ("EMMA") System at www.emma.msrb.org.

## Annual Reports

The City shall provide annually to the MSRB, (1) within six months after the end of each fiscal year of the City, financial information and operating data with respect to the City of the general type included in the final Official Statement, being information described in Tables 1 through 12, including financial statements of the City if audited financial statements of the City are then available, and (2) if not provided as part such financial information and operating data, audited financial statements of the City, within 12 months after the end of each fiscal year when and if available. Any financial statements so to be provided shall be (i) prepared in accordance with the accounting principles the accounting principles described in Exhibit B hereto or such other accounting principles as the City may be required to employ, from time to time, by State law or regulation, and (ii) audited, if the City commissions an audit of such statements and the audit is completed within the period during which they must be provided. If the audit of such financial statements is not complete within 12 months after any such fiscal year end, then the City shall file unaudited financial statements within such 12 -month period and audited financial statements for the applicable fiscal year, when and if the audit report on such statements becomes available.

The City may provide updated information in full text or may incorporate by reference certain other publicly available documents, as permitted by the Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 15c2-12 (the "Rule").

The City's current fiscal year end is September 30. Accordingly, it must provide updated information by March 31 in each year for the preceding year, unless the City changes its fiscal year. If the City changes its fiscal year, it will notify the MSRB of the change.

## Disclosure Event Notices

The City shall notify the MSRB, in a timely manner not in excess of ten (10) business days after the occurrence of the event, of any of the following events with respect to the Obligations: (1) Principal and interest payment delinquencies; (2) Non-payment related defaults, if material; (3) Unscheduled draws on debt service reserves reflecting financial difficulties; (4) Unscheduled draws on credit enhancements reflecting financial difficulties; (5) Substitution of credit or liquidity providers, or their failure to perform; (6) Adverse tax opinions, the issuance by the Internal Revenue Service of proposed or final determinations of taxability, Notices of Proposed Issue (IRS Form 5701-TEB) or other material notices or determinations with respect to the tax status of the Obligations, or other material events affecting the tax status of the Obligations; (7) modifications to rights of holders of the Obligations, if material; (8) Obligation calls, if material, and tender offers; (9) Defeasances; (10) Release, substitution, or sale of property securing repayment of the Obligations, if material; (11) Rating changes; (12) Bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership or similar event of the City; (13) The consummation of a merger, consolidation, or acquisition involving the City or the sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the City, other than in the ordinary course of business, the entry into a definitive agreement to undertake such an action or the termination of a definitive agreement relating to any such actions, other than pursuant to its terms, if material; (14) Appointment of a successor or additional Paying Agent/Registrar or change in the name of the Paying Agent/Registrar, if material; (15) Incurrence of a Financial Obligation of the City, if material, or agreement to covenants, events of default, remedies, priority rights, or other similar terms of a Financial Obligation of the City, any of which affect security holders, if material; and (16) Default, event of acceleration, termination event, modification of terms, or other similar events under the terms of a Financial Obligation of the City, any of which reflect financial difficulties. In addition, the City will provide timely notice of any failure by the City to provide annual financial information in accordance with their agreement described above under "Annual Reports."

For the purposes of the event identified in (12), the event is considered to occur when any of the following occur: the appointment of a receiver, fiscal agent, or similar officer for the City in a proceeding under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code or in any other proceeding under state or federal law in which a court or governmental authority has assumed jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the City, or if such jurisdiction has been assumed by leaving the existing governing body and officials or officers in possession but subject to the supervision and orders of a court or governmental authority, or the entry of an order confirming a plan of reorganization, arrangement, or liquidation by a court or governmental authority having supervision or jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the City, and the words used in clauses (15) and (16) in the immediately preceding paragraph and in the definition of Financial Obligation have the meanings ascribed to them in SEC Release No. 34-83885 dated August 20, 2018. The Ordinances define "Financial Obligation" as a (a) debt obligation; (b) derivative instrument entered into in connection with, or pledged as security or a source of payment for, an existing or planned debt obligation; or (c) guarantee of a debt obligation or any such derivative instrument; provided that "financial obligation" shall not include municipal securities (as defined in the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as to which a final official statement (as defined in the Rule) has been provided to the MSRB consistent with the Rule.

The City shall also provide to the MSRB, in an electronic format as prescribed by the MSRB, in a timely manner, notice of a failure by the City to provide required annual financial information and notices of material events. All documents provided to the MSRB pursuant to this section shall be accompanied by identifying information as prescribed by the MSRB.

The City shall also provide to the MSRB, in an electronic format as prescribed by the MSRB, in a timely manner, notice of a failure by the City to provide required annual financial information and notices of material events. All documents provided to the MSRB pursuant to this section shall be accompanied by identifying information as prescribed by the MSRB.

## Availability of Information from MSRB

The City has agreed to provide the foregoing information, only as described above to the MSRB. Investors will be able to access continuing disclosure information filed with the MSRB free of charge at www.emma.msrb.org.

## Limitations and Amendments

The City has agreed to update information and to provide notices of certain events only as described above. The City has not agreed to provide other information that may be relevant or material to a complete presentation of its financial results of operations, condition, or prospects or agreed to update any information that is provided, except as described above. The City makes no representation or warranty concerning such information or concerning its usefulness to a decision to invest in or sell Obligations at any future date. The City disclaims any contractual or tort liability for damages resulting in whole or in part from any breach of its continuing disclosure agreement or from any statement made pursuant to its agreement, although owners of Obligations may seek a writ of mandamus to compel the City to comply with its agreement.

The City may amend its continuing disclosure agreement from time to time to adapt to changed circumstances that arise from a change in legal requirements, a change in law, or a change in the identity, nature, status or type of operations of the City, if (i) the agreement, as amended, would have permitted an underwriter to purchase or sell the Obligations in the offering described herein in compliance with the Rule, taking into account any amendments or interpretations of the Rule to the date of such amendment, as well as such changed circumstances, and (ii) either (a) the owners of a majority in aggregate principal amount of the outstanding Obligations consent to the amendment or (b) any person unaffiliated with the City (such as nationally recognized bond counsel) determines that the amendment will not materially impair the interests of the owners and Beneficial Owners of the Obligations. If the City so amends the agreement, it has agreed to include with the next financial information and operating data provided in accordance with its agreement described above under "Annual Reports" an explanation, in narrative form, of the reasons for the amendment and of the impact of any change in the type of financial information and operating data so provided.

## Compliance with Prior Undertakings

The City issued its Water and Wastewater System Revenue Bonds, Series 2019D in a private placement sale with the Texas Water Development Board on September 20, 2019, but did not make an event filing with respect to the incurrence of a Financial Obligation pursuant to the Rule. An event filing was subsequently made with the MSRB on March 30, 2020.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

For additional information regarding this document please contact Mr. Mike Finley, Director of Finance, City of Arlington, Texas, at (817) 459-6100.

## TAX MATTERS

## The following discussion of certain federal income tax considerations is for general information only and is not tax advice. Each

 prospective purchaser of the Obligations should consult its own tax advisor as to the tax consequences of the acquisition, ownership and disposition of the Obligations.
## Tax Exemption

In the opinion of Bracewell LLP, Bond Counsel, under existing law, interest on the Obligations is excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes under section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), and is not a specific preference item for purposes of the alternative minimum tax.

The Code imposes a number of requirements that must be satisfied for interest on state or local obligations, such as the Obligations, to be excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes. These requirements include limitations on the use of bond proceeds and the source of repayment of bonds, limitations on the investment of bond proceeds prior to expenditure, a requirement that excess arbitrage earned on the investment of bond proceeds be paid periodically to the United States and a requirement that the issuer file an information report with
the Internal Revenue Service (the "Service"). The City has covenanted in the Ordinances that it will comply with these requirements.
Bond Counsel's opinion will assume continuing compliance with the covenants of the Ordinances pertaining to those sections of the Code that affect the excludability of interest on the Obligations from gross income for federal income tax purposes and, in addition, will rely on representations by the City, the City's Financial Advisor and the Initial Purchasers with respect to matters solely within the knowledge of the City, the City's Financial Advisor and the Initial Purchasers, respectively, which Bond Counsel has not independently verified. If the City fails to comply with the covenants in the Ordinances or if the foregoing representations are determined to be inaccurate or incomplete, interest on the Obligations could become includable in gross income from the date of delivery of the Obligations, regardless of the date on which the event causing such inclusion occurs. Except as stated above, Bond Counsel will express no opinion as to the amount of interest on the Obligations or any federal, state or local tax consequences resulting from the receipt or accrual of interest on, or acquisition, ownership or disposition of, the Obligations.

Bond Counsel's opinions are based on existing law, which is subject to change. Such opinions are further based on Bond Counsel's knowledge of facts as of the date thereof. Bond Counsel assumes no duty to update or supplement its opinions to reflect any facts or circumstances that may thereafter come to Bond Counsel's attention or to reflect any changes in any law that may thereafter occur or become effective. Moreover, Bond Counsel's opinions are not a guarantee of result and are not binding on the Service; rather, such opinions represent Bond Counsel's legal judgment based upon its review of existing law and in reliance upon the representations and covenants referenced above that it deems relevant to such opinions. The Service has an ongoing audit program to determine compliance with rules that relate to whether interest on state or local obligations is includable in gross income for federal income tax purposes. No assurance can be given as to whether or not the Service will commence an audit of the Obligations. If an audit is commenced, in accordance with its current published procedures the Service is likely to treat the City as the taxpayer and the Owners may not have a right to participate in such audit. Public awareness of any future audit of the Obligations could adversely affect the value and liquidity of the Obligations, regardless of the ultimate outcome of the audit.

## ADDITIONAL FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES

## Collateral Tax Consequences

Prospective purchasers of the Obligations should be aware that the ownership of tax-exempt obligations may result in collateral federal income tax consequences to financial institutions, life insurance and property and casualty insurance companies, certain S corporations with Subchapter C earnings and profits, individual recipients of Social Security or Railroad Retirement benefits, taxpayers who may be deemed to have incurred or continued indebtedness to purchase or carry tax-exempt obligations, low and middle income taxpayers otherwise qualifying for the health insurance premium assistance credit and individuals otherwise qualifying for the earned income tax credit. In addition, certain foreign corporations doing business in the United States may be subject to the "branch profits tax" on their effectively connected earnings and profits, including tax-exempt interest such as interest on the Obligations. These categories of prospective purchasers should consult their own tax advisors as to the applicability of these consequences. Prospective purchasers of the Obligations should also be aware that, under the Code, taxpayers are required to report on their returns the amount of tax-exempt interest, such as interest on the Obligations, received or accrued during the year.

## Tax Accounting Treatment of Original Issue Premium Obligations

The issue price of all of the Obligations exceeds the stated redemption price payable at maturity of such Obligations. Such Obligations (the "Premium Obligations") are considered for federal income tax purposes to have "bond premium" equal to the amount of such excess. The basis of a Premium Obligation in the hands of an initial owner is reduced by the amount of such excess that is amortized during the period such initial owner holds such Premium Obligation in determining gain or loss for federal income tax purposes. This reduction in basis will increase the amount of any gain or decrease the amount of any loss recognized for federal income tax purposes on the sale or other taxable disposition of a Premium Obligation by the initial owner. No corresponding deduction is allowed for federal income tax purposes for the reduction in basis resulting from amortizable bond premium. The amount of bond premium on a Premium Obligation that is amortizable each year (or shorter period in the event of a sale or disposition of a Premium Obligation) is determined using the yield to maturity on the Premium Obligation based on the initial offering price of such Premium Obligation.

The federal income tax consequences of the purchase, ownership and redemption, sale or other disposition of Premium Obligations that are not purchased in the initial offering at the initial offering price may be determined according to rules that differ from those described above. All owners of Premium Obligations should consult their own tax advisors with respect to the determination for federal, state, and local income tax purposes of amortized bond premium upon the redemption, sale or other disposition of a Premium Obligation and with respect to the federal, state, local, and foreign tax consequences of the purchase, ownership, and sale, redemption or other disposition of such Premium Obligations.

## Tax Legislative Changes

Current law may change so as to directly or indirectly reduce or eliminate the benefit of the excludability of interest on the Obligations from gross income for federal income tax purposes. Any proposed legislation, whether or not enacted, could also affect the value and liquidity of the Obligations. Prospective purchasers of the Obligations should consult with their own tax advisors with respect to any recently-enacted, proposed, pending or future legislation.

## OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

## Ratings

The Obligations are rated "Aa1" by Moody's Investors Service, Inc., "AAA" by S\&P and "AAA" by Fitch Ratings. The City's presently outstanding tax supported debt of the City has underlying ratings of "Aa1" by Moody's, "AAA" by S\&P and "AAA" by Fitch.

An explanation of the significance of such ratings may only be obtained from the rating agency furnishing the same. The City furnished to such rating agencies the information contained in this Official Statement and certain publicly available materials and information about the City. Generally, rating agencies base their ratings on such materials and information, as well as investigations, studies, and assumptions of the rating agencies. Such ratings may be changed at any time, and no assurance can be given that they will not be revised downward or withdrawn entirely by either or both of such rating agencies if, in the judgment of either or both, circumstances so warrant. Such circumstances may include, without limitation, changes in or unavailability of information relating to the City. Any such downward revision or withdrawal of either of such ratings may have an adverse effect on the market price of the Obligations.

## Litigation

The City is currently involved in several lawsuits in which some liability is possible. The City intends to defend itself vigorously against the lawsuits; however, no prediction can be made, as of the date hereof, with respect to the potential aggregate liability of the City for the claims or the final outcome of the lawsuits.

The City is currently involved with in an employment lawsuit regarding the termination of employment of a former Arlington police officer. The trial court previously ruled that the employee was subject to back pay award of $\$ 164,471$. However, that case was appealed, and a new arbitration was ordered. It is possible, although unlikely, that the City could be required to pay back pay from January 21,2011 to the time of a final court ruling.

The City is currently involved with litigation with an individual who was held in the Arlington Municipal Jail. The individual was held after attempting to run over an Arlington police officer. The police officer shot the individual in the leg. In addition to claiming excessive use of force, the individual asserts that he did not receive proper medical care. The case has currently been stayed by the federal court pending resolution of the appeal of the individual's state law criminal conviction.

The City is currently involved in litigation with the family of an individual who was fatally shot after he ran over an Arlington police officer during a traffic stop. The plaintiffs assert a civil rights claim alleging excessive use of force.

The City is currently involved in litigation with five plaintiffs who brought a civil rights claim against the City after the execution of a search warrant. The plaintiffs also sued an Arlington police officer. The officer's motion for summary judgment is pending before the federal district court for the Northern District of Texas. Discovery in the case is currently stayed pending a resolution of the officer's motion.

The City, along with more than forty-five other Texas cities, is involved with litigation regarding its prior operation of red light cameras. This lawsuit was dismissed by the trial court for lack of jurisdiction on April 16, 2018. The matter is currently on appeal. Although the lawsuit seeks $\$ 10,908,683$.

The City is involved with litigation regarding the implementation of civil service for firefighters working for the City. The 96 th Judicial District Court of Tarrant County, Texas previously denied the plaintiff's motion for temporary injunctive relief. The City filed motions for summary judgment on all claims. The plaintiffs seek changes to their employment benefits, seek to enforce promotions under the pre-civil service system, and allege violations of state law civil rights.

The City is involved with litigation with a property owner regarding the alleged flooding of her property by stormwater. The three acre property is valued by the Tarrant Appraisal District at $\$ 1,068,517$.

As of April 2, 2019, there are fifteen lawsuits pending against the City seeking monetary damages and/or injunctive relief. Two of the remaining lawsuits are brought in the Justice Courts with a maximum damage cap of $\$ 10,000$ each. Four of the cases assert state law tort claims which are subject to the Texas Tort Claims Act (TTCA). The TTCA limits liability for money damages to $\$ 250,000$ for each person and $\$ 500,000$ for each single occurrence for bodily injury and death. Further, the TTCA limits liability for property damage to $\$ 100,000.00$
for each single occurrence. See Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code, Section 101.023(c). One of the state law tort lawsuits is related to a construction project and is subject to full indemnity by a third party pursuant to a contractual agreement. In addition to the fifteen lawsuits, the City is engaged in three eminent domain lawsuits, in which the City is seeking to acquire property.

Various other claims and lawsuits are pending against the City. In the opinion of City management, the potential losses, in excess of Arlington's Self Insurance \& Risk Management Program limitations (see Note 13 of the City's audited basic financial statements in Appendix B hereto) of insurance coverage, if any, on all claims will not have a material adverse effect on the City's financial position as a whole.

## Infectious Disease Outbreak - COVID-19

The outbreak of COVID-19, a respiratory disease caused by a new strain of coronavirus, has been characterized as a pandemic by the World Health Organization and is currently affecting many parts of the world, including the United States and Texas. On January 31, 2020, Health and Human Services Secretary Alex M. Azar II declared a public health emergency (PHE) for the United States and on March 13, 2020, the President declared the outbreak of COVID-19 in the United States a national emergency. Subsequently, the President's Coronavirus Guidelines for America and the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention called upon Americans to take actions to slow the spread of COVID-19 in the United States.

On March 13, 2020, Texas Governor Greg Abbott declared a state of disaster for all counties in Texas. Pursuant to Chapter 418 of the Texas Government Code, the Governor has broad authority to respond to disasters, including taking action to suspend any regulatory statute prescribing the procedures for conduct of state business or any order or rule of a state agency that would in any way prevent, hinder, or delay necessary action in coping with this disaster. The Governor has issued a number of executive orders in response to the pandemic. These include, for example, the issuance on March 31, 2020 of Executive Order GA-14 which, among other things, encouraged minimization of social gatherings and in-person contact except where necessary to provide or obtain essential services as defined in State and federal guidance, and following federal health guidelines when providing or obtaining essential services; discouraged eating or drinking at bars, restaurants, and food courts, or visiting gyms or massage establishments, tattoo studios, piercing studios, or cosmetology salons; limited visits to nursing homes and long-term care facilities. On April 17, 2020 the Governor issued Executive Order GA-15. Executive Order GA-15 relates to the safe, strategic reopening of select services and activities in Texas. This order establishes a temporary "Retail-To-Go" model that allowed certain retail outlets in Texas to reopen beginning Friday, April 24. Under this model, reopened establishments are required to deliver items to customer's cars, homes, or other locations to minimize contact. Executive Order GA-15 also closed public and private schools and institutions of higher education for the remainder of the 2019-2020 school year. Governor Abbott allowed the most recent stay-at-home order to expire on April 30, and implemented a new phased plan which permits select businesses to open while following specific guidelines. Executive Order GA-18 permitted all retail stores, restaurants, movie theaters, malls, libraries, and museums to reopen, although they must limit their capacity to $25 \%$ of the listed occupancy. Executive Order GA-20 removed some travel restrictions beginning April 27. On May 5 Executive Order GA-21 further permitted cosmetology salons, hair salons, nail shops and barbers to reopen at restricted capacity, and was expanded by Executive Order GA-22 on May 7. Executive Order GA-21's second phase began on May 18 which further re-opened offices, manufacturing services, and gyms at restricted capacity. On May 18, Executive Order GA-23 implemented phase II of reopening, permitting schools to provide in-person summer classes and reopening establishments such as bars, camps, child-care centers, bowling alleys, and zoos, among others with strict capacity and safety guidelines. The order also permitted certain professional sports without spectators. The federal, State and local actions and policies under the disaster declarations are focused on limiting instances where the public can congregate or interact with each other, which affects the operation of cities.

In addition to the actions by the State and federal officials, Tarrant County local officials declared a local state of disaster within Tarrant County. The County issued an order, on March 13, requiring the majority of Tarrant County residents to "stay-at-home", except for certain essential activities and work to provide essential business and government services or perform essential public infrastructure construction, including housing. The Tarrant County "stay-at-home" order expired on April 30, 2020, and no further action has been taken by the County. Governor Abbott has expressed that if the State permits select businesses to open at an earlier time than permitted by a County order, the State guidelines would supersede any County or City action. As of the date of publication of this statement, neither Tarrant County nor the City have issued additional executive orders relating to COVID-19, and have posted the information related to the Governor's Report to Open Texas on their respective websites.

The City continues to monitor the spread of COVID-19 and is working with local, state, and national agencies to address the impact of COVID-19 upon the City. Please see the City's voluntary disclosure filing relating to the current impact of COVID-19 on the City and the City's response to such impact at https://emma.msrb.org/MarketActivity/ContinuingDisclosureDetails/SS1059765.

The outbreak of the disease has negatively affected travel, commerce, and financial markets globally, and is widely expected to continue negatively affecting economic growth and financial markets worldwide. These negative impacts may reduce or negatively affect the growth of property values within the City. See "AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXATION". The Obligations are secured by a limited ad valorem tax, and a reduction in property values may result in an increase in the ad valorem tax rate required to pay the Obligations as well as the City's share of operations and maintenance expenses payable from ad valorem taxes.

## Legal Matters

The City will furnish the Purchasers with a complete transcript of proceedings incident to the authorization and issuance of the Obligations, including the unqualified approving legal opinion of the Attorney General of the State of Texas to the effect that the Obligations are valid and legally binding obligations of the Issuer, and based upon examination of such transcript of proceedings, the approval of certain legal matters by Bond Counsel, to the effect that the Obligations are valid and legally binding obligations of the City and, subject to the qualifications set forth herein under "TAX MATTERS," the interest on the Obligations is excludable from the gross income of the owners thereof for federal income tax purposes under existing law. The customary closing papers, including a certificate to the effect that no litigation of any nature has been filed or is then pending to restrain the issuance and delivery of the Obligations, or which would affect the provision made for their payment or security, or in any manner questioning the validity of the Obligations will also be furnished. Such opinions will express no opinion with respect to the sufficiency of the security for or the marketability of the Obligations. Bond Counsel was not requested to participate, and did not take part, in the preparation of the Notice of Sale and Bidding Instructions, the Official Bid Form and the Official Statement, and such firm has not assumed any responsibility with respect thereto or undertaken independently to verify any of the information contained therein, except that, in its capacity as Bond Counsel, such firms have reviewed the information describing the Obligations in the Official Statement to verify that such description conforms to the provisions of the Ordinance. Such firm has not, however, independently verified any of the factual information contained in this Official Statement nor have they conducted an investigation of the affairs of the City for the purpose of passing upon the accuracy or completeness of this Official Statement. No person is entitled to rely upon such firm's limited participation as an assumption of responsibility for, or an expression of opinion of any kind with regard to the accuracy or completeness of any of the information contained herein. Certain legal matters will be passed upon by West \& Associates L.L.P. Dallas, Texas, Disclosure Counsel. The legal fees to be paid Bond Counsel and Disclosure Counsel for services rendered in connection with the issuance of the Obligations are contingent on the sale and delivery of the Obligations.

The various legal opinions to be delivered concurrently with the delivery of the Obligations express the professional judgment of the attorneys rendering the opinions as to the legal issues explicitly addressed therein. In rendering a legal opinion, the attorney does not become an insurer or guarantor of the expression of professional judgment, of the transaction opined upon, or of the future performance of the parties to the transaction. Nor does the rendering of an opinion guarantee the outcome of any legal dispute that may arise out of the transaction.

## Registration and Qualification

The sale of the Obligations has not been registered or qualified under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, in reliance upon exemptions provided therein; the Obligations have not been registered or qualified under the Securities Act of Texas in reliance upon various exemptions contained therein; nor have the Obligations been registered or qualified under the securities act of any jurisdiction. The City assumes no responsibility for registration or qualification of the Obligations under the securities laws of any jurisdiction in which the Obligations may be offered, sold, assigned, pledged, hypothecated, or otherwise transferred. This disclaimer of responsibility for registration or qualification for sale or other disposition of the Obligations shall not be construed as an interpretation of any kind with regard to the availability of any exemptions from securities registration or qualification provisions.

## Verification Of Arithmetical and Mathematical Computations

Causey Demgen \& Moore P.C. will deliver to the City, on or before the settlement date of the Bonds, its verification report indicating that it has verified, the mathematical accuracy of computations of the adequacy of the cash and the maturing principal of and interest on the Escrowed Securities, to pay, when due or upon early redemption, the principal of, interest on and related call premium requirements, if any, of the Refunded Obligations.

## Initial Purchaser of the Bonds

After requesting competitive bids for the Bonds, the City accepted the bid of Hilltop Securities Inc. (the "Bonds Initial Purchaser") to purchase the Bonds at the interest rates shown on page i of the Official Statement at a price of $111.92 \%$ of par. The Bonds Initial Purchaser can give no assurance that any trading market will be developed for the Bonds after their sale by the City to the Bonds Initial Purchaser. The City has no control over the price at which the Bonds are subsequently sold and the initial yield at which the Bonds will be priced and reoffered will be established by and will be the responsibility of the Bonds Initial Purchaser.

## Initial Purchaser of the Certificates

After requesting competitive bids for the Certificates, the City accepted the bid of Citigroup Global Markets Inc. (the "Certificates Initial Purchaser") to purchase the Certificates at the interest rates shown on page iii of the Official Statement at a price of $113.51 \%$ of par. The Certificates Initial Purchaser can give no assurance that any trading market will be developed for the Certificates after their sale by the City to the Certificates Initial Purchaser. The City has no control over the price at which the Certificates are subsequently sold and the initial yield at which the Certificates will be priced and reoffered will be established by and will be the responsibility of the Certificates Initial Purchaser.

## Financial Advisor

Estrada Hinojosa \& Company, Inc. is employed as Financial Advisor to the City in connection with the issuance of the Obligations. The Financial Advisor's fee for services rendered with respect to the sale of the Obligations is contingent upon the issuance and delivery of the Obligations. Estrada Hinojosa \& Company, Inc., in its capacity as Financial Advisor, does not assume any responsibility for the information, covenants and representations contained in any of the legal documents with respect to the federal income tax status of the Obligations, or the possible impact of any present, pending or future actions taken by any legislative or judicial bodies. The Financial Advisor to the City has provided the following sentence for inclusion in this Official Statement. The Financial Advisor has reviewed the information in this Official Statement in accordance with, and as part of, its responsibilities to the City and, as applicable, to investors under the federal securities laws as applied to the facts and circumstances of this transaction, but the Financial Advisor does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such information.

## Forward - Looking Statements

The statements contained in this Official Statement and in any other information provided by the City that are not purely historical are forward-looking statements, including statements regarding the City's expectations, hopes, intentions, or strategies regarding the future. Readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements included in this Official Statement are based on information available to the City on the date hereof, and the City assumes no obligations to update any such forward-looking statements. It is important to note that the City's actual results could differ materially from those in such forward-looking statements.

The forward-looking statements included herein are necessarily based on various assumptions and estimates and are inherently subject to various risks and uncertainties, including risks and uncertainties relating to the possible invalidity of the underlying assumptions and estimates and possible changes or developments in social, economic, business, industry, market, legal, and regulatory circumstances and conditions and actions taken or omitted to be taken by third parties, including customers, suppliers, business partners, and competitors, and legislative, judicial, and other governmental authorities and officials. Assumptions related to the foregoing involve judgments with respect to, among other things, future economic, competitive, and market conditions and future business decisions, all of which are difficult or impossible to predict accurately and many of which are beyond the control of the City. Any of such assumptions could be inaccurate and, therefore, there can be no assurance that the forward-looking statements included in this Official Statement will prove to be accurate.

## Certification of the Official Statement

At the time of payment for and delivery of the Obligations, the Purchasers of the Obligations will be furnished a certificate, executed by proper officers, acting in their official capacity, to the effect that to their knowledge and belief: (a) the descriptions and statements of or pertaining to the City contained in this Official Statement and any addenda, supplement or amendment thereto, for its Obligations, on the date of such Official Statement, on the date of sale of said Obligations and on the date of the delivery, were and are true and correct in all material respects; (b) insofar as the City and its affairs, including its financial affairs, are concerned, such Official Statement did not and does not contain an untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; (c) insofar as the descriptions and statements, including financial data, of or pertaining to entities other than the City and their activities contained in such Official Statement are concerned, such statements and data have been obtained from sources which the City believes to be reliable and the City has no reason to believe that they are untrue in any material respect; and (d) there has been no material adverse change in the financial condition of the City, since September 30, 2019, the date of the last audited financial statements of the City appearing in the Official Statement.

## Miscellaneous

The financial data and other information contained herein have been obtained from the City's records, audited financial statements and other sources which are believed to be reliable. There is no guarantee that any of the assumptions or estimates contained herein will be realized. All of the summaries of the statutes, documents and ordinances contained in the Official Statement are made subject to all of the provisions of such statutes, documents and ordinances. These summaries do not purport to be complete statements of such provisions and reference is made to such documents for further information. Reference is made to original sources in all respects.

The Ordinances authorizing the issuance of the Obligations also approve the form and content of this Official Statement, and any addenda, supplement or amendment thereto, and authorize its further use in the reoffering of the Obligations by the Initial Purchasers.

## SCHEDULE I

## SCHEDULE OF REFUNDED OBLIGATIONS

| Bond | Maturity Date | Interest Rate |  | Par <br> Amount | Call <br> Date | Call <br> Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Permanent Improvement and Refunding Bonds, Series 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8/15/2021 | 5.000\% | \$ | 230,000.00 | 8/15/2020 | 100.00 |
|  | 8/15/2022 | 5.000\% |  | 230,000.00 | 8/15/2020 | 100.00 |
|  | 8/15/2023 | 5.000\% |  | 230,000.00 | 8/15/2020 | 100.00 |
|  | 8/15/2024 | 5.000\% |  | 230,000.00 | 8/15/2020 | 100.00 |
|  | 8/15/2025 | 5.000\% |  | 225,000.00 | 8/15/2020 | 100.00 |
|  | 8/15/2026 | 5.000\% |  | 225,000.00 | 8/15/2020 | 100.00 |
|  | 8/15/2027 | 5.000\% |  | 215,000.00 | 8/15/2020 | 100.00 |
|  | 8/15/2028 | 4.000\% |  | 1,055,000.00 | 8/15/2020 | 100.00 |
|  | 8/15/2029 | 4.125\% |  | 1,055,000.00 | 8/15/2020 | 100.00 |
|  | 8/15/2030 | 4.250\% |  | 1,055,000.00 | 8/15/2020 | 100.00 |
|  |  |  |  | 4,750,000.00 |  |  |
| Permanent Improvement and Refunding Bonds, Series 2010A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8/15/2021 | 4.000\% | \$ | 2,060,000.00 | 8/15/2020 | 100.00 |
|  | 8/15/2022 | 4.000\% |  | 2,015,000.00 | 8/15/2020 | 100.00 |
|  | 8/15/2023 | 4.000\% |  | 800,000.00 | 8/15/2020 | 100.00 |
|  |  |  |  | 4,875,000.00 |  |  |
|  |  |  | \$ | 9,625,000.00 |  |  |

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank]

## APPENDIX A

GENERAL INFORMATION REGARDING THE CITY

## THE CITY OF ARLINGTON

## The City

The City is located in the eastern part of Tarrant County, equidistant between Dallas and Fort Worth on Interstate Highways 20 and 30, which are limited access highways. The City's location places it at the geographical center of the Dallas-Fort Worth metropolitan area. The land area of the City contained within its corporate boundaries is approximately 99.5 square miles.

The City was incorporated January 17, 1920, under the provisions of the Home Rule Amendment to the Texas State Constitution. The City provides the following services to the citizens of the City: public safety (police and fire), public works, public welfare, parks and recreation, public health, water and wastewater utilities, and general administrative services.

## General

The City operates under the Council-Manager form of government as established by its Charter. There is a nine member City Council (the "Council") vested with local legislative power. Three council members and the Mayor are elected "at large" and five council members are elected in five single member districts. All members of the Council are elected for terms of two years, with the elections being held in even/odd years for approximately half the seats. Councilmembers and the Mayor are each limited to three two-year terms. The Council elects both a Mayor Pro Tem and a Deputy Mayor Pro Tem from among its members.

## Mayor and City Council

Policy-making and supervisory functions are the responsibility of and are vested in the Council under provisions of the City Charter. Ordinance, resolutions and zoning decisions are presented at Council meetings at $6: 30 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. on the second and fourth Tuesday of each month. Council meetings are broadcast on the local cable public access station and webcast. A simple majority of the Council constitutes a quorum. The Mayor is required to vote on all matters considered by the Council, but has limited power to veto Council actions that can be overridden by simple majority action of the Council.

## Administration

The City Manager is the administrative head of the municipal government and carries out the policies of the Council. With the assistance of two Deputy City Managers and an Assistant City Manager, he coordinates the functions of the various municipal agencies and departments responsible for the delivery of services to residents. The City Manager is appointed by the Council and serves at the pleasure of the Council.

Excluding the positions and offices of the City Attorney, City Auditor and certain others whose appointments are reserved for Council action, the City Manager appoints and removes all City employees. The City Manager exercises control over all City departments and divisions and supervises their personnel; recommends Council legislative actions; advises Council on the City's financial conditions and needs; prepares and submits to Council the annual budget; and performs such duties required by Council.

## ECONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC FACTORS

## Population

## Population and Rates of Change <br> Arlington and the United States Selected Years

| Year | Arlington | Annual <br> Rate of <br> Change | United States | Annual <br> Rate of <br> Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1980 | 160,113 | 7.66\% | 226,545,805 | 1.15\% |
| 1990 | 261,721 | 6.35\% | 248,765,170 | 0.98\% |
| 2000 | 332,969 | 2.72\% | 281,421,906 | 1.31\% |
| 2010 | 365,438 | 0.98\% | 308,745,538 | 0.97\% |
| 2011 | 365,530 | 0.03\% | 312,759,230 | 1.30\% |
| 2012 | 365,860 | 0.09\% | 314,395,013 | 0.52\% |
| 2013 | 365,930 | 0.02\% | 316,128,839 | 0.55\% |
| 2014 | 369,508 | 0.98\% | 318,857,056 | 0.86\% |
| 2015 | 379,370 | 2.67\% | 321,418,820 | 0.80\% |
| 2016 | 380,740 | 0.36\% | 323,127,513 | 0.53\% |
| 2017 | 382,230 | 0.39\% | 326,474,013 | 1.04\% |
| 2018 | 383,950 | 0.45\% | 327,747,936 | 0.39\% |
| 2019 | 386,180 | 0.58\% | 328,886,114 | 0.35\% |

Source: U.S. Census and City of Arlington Finance Department.

## Employment

Employment date for the City, Texas, and the United States is shown below.

## Unemployment Rate Annual Average Rates 2016 to 2020

|  | January | January | January | January | January |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2020 | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 | 2016 |
| Arlington | 3.3\% | 4.8\% | 3.8\% | 4.2\% | 3.9\% |
| Texas | 3.8\% | 4.1\% | 4.2\% | 5.0\% | 4.4\% |
| United States | 4.0\% | 4.4\% | 4.5\% | 5.1\% | 5.3\% |

[^11]Arlington Major Employers ${ }^{(1)}$

| Employer |  | Type of Business | Number of <br> employees |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Arlington Independent School District |  | Public Education | 8,200 |
| University of Texas at Arlington | Higher Education | 5,300 |  |
| General Motors | Automobile Assembly | 4,484 |  |
| Texas Health Resources | Medical | 4,063 |  |
| Six Flags Over Texas | Amusement Park | 3,800 |  |
| The Parks Mall at Arlington | Retail | 3,500 |  |
| GM Financial | Automobile Financing | 3,300 |  |
| City of Arlington | Municipality | 2,487 |  |
| J.P. Morgan Chase | Banking Services |  | 1,965 |
| Texas Rangers Baseball Club | Major League Baseball | 1,881 |  |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{3 8 , 9 8 0}$ |  |

${ }^{(1)}$ Arlington Chamber of Commerce. Includes part-time and peak seasonal employees.

## Building Permits

|  | 2019 |  |  | 2018 |  |  | 2017 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Permits | Declared Value |  | Permits | Declared Value |  | Permits | Declared Value |  |
| New Single Family | 794 | \$ | 196,695,469 | 566 | \$ | 143,824,017 | 524 | \$ | 89,162,382 |
| New Multifamily | 17 |  | 84,688,224 | 21 |  | 98,308,576 | 3 |  | 35,824,000 |
| New Commercial | 169 |  | 264,916,391 | 116 |  | 981,290,295 | 97 |  | 142,276,696 |
| Other (Residential and Commercial) | 8,749 |  | 362,113,580 | 8,783 |  | 392,518,994 | 7,185 |  | 641,251,810 |
| Grand Total | 9,729 | \$ | 908,413,664 | 9,486 | \$ | 1,615,941,882 | 7,809 | \$ | 908,514,888 |
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March 3, 2020

To the Honorable Mayor, Members of Governing Council and Citizens of the City of Arlington (City):

State law requires that every general purpose government publish, within six months of the close of the fiscal year, a complete set of audited financial statements. This Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) is published to fulfill that requirement for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2019.

Management assumes full responsibility for the completeness and reliability of the information contained in this report, based upon a comprehensive framework of internal control that is established for this purpose. Because the cost of internal control should not exceed anticipated benefits, the objective is to provide reasonable, rather than absolute, assurance that the financial statements are free of any material misstatements.

Grant Thornton, LLP has issued an unqualified "clean" opinion on the City's financial statements for the year ended September 30, 2019. The independent auditor's report is located at the front of the financial section of this report.

Management's discussion and analysis (MD\&A) immediately follows the independent auditor's report and provides a narrative introduction, overview, and analysis of the basic financial statements. The MD\&A complements this letter of transmittal and should be read in conjunction with it.

## City Profile: Overview and Governance

Arlington was incorporated in 1884 and is located in the center of Dallas/Fort Worth/Arlington metro area, 8 miles south of DFW International Airport. The City operates under home rule charter as a Council-Manager government. The Mayor and eight City Council Members (Council) are vested with local legislative authority. The Mayor and three Council Members are elected citywide and five Council Members are elected by district. In November 2018, voters approved the City Charter term-limit amendment which provides that no person shall:
$\checkmark \quad$ Serve as Mayor for more than three elected terms
$\checkmark \quad$ Serve as a Council Member for more than three elected terms
$\checkmark$ Serve for more than twelve years in combination as a Council member and Mayor

Elected officials serve staggered two-year terms. Mayor and City Council Member details and terms are listed on page xiv of this report. The City Manager is appointed by the Mayor and Council as the chief executive of the municipal government. The Council also appoints members to certain boards, commissions, and authorities, as it deems necessary for the operation of the City.

Arlington provides a full range of municipal services including police and fire protection, water and wastewater utility services, construction and maintenance of highways, streets and infrastructure, and recreational activities and cultural events.

The City includes seven discretely presented component units. These are discussed in the MD\&A and the notes to the financial statements.

## Financial Planning and Policies

Arlington is proactive in managing its budget and financial position. Legislative budgetary control is at the fund level. Some of the tools used to manage City finances include a multi-year financial plan, capital budget, and annual budget. The City has comprehensive financial policies, which are evaluated annually. These policies are reported on as part of each annual budget. The City strives to take an innovative approach to management. City staff works to identify areas for improvement in processes and policies, and management focuses on developing strong relationships with local and regional partners in
nonprofit and for-profit industries. City services and programs are developed according to community and citizen needs in conjunction with an evaluation of long-term sustainability. City of Arlington management and staff are committed to maintaining a high quality of life for residents of Arlington.

## Long Term Financial Planning

The Arlington economy is broad and diverse. While growth in the Texas economy has slowed slightly, economic growth in the Dallas-Fort Worth metroplex remains robust. The top five major employers listed below make up approximately $12 \%$ of the total employment of the city. Some of the major employers in the city include the following:

| EMPLOYER | BUSINESS TYPE | NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Arlington Independent School District | Public Education | 8,200 |
| University of Texas at Arlington | Higher Education | 5,300 |
| General Motors Company | Automobile Assembly | 4,484 |
| Texas Health Resources | Healthcare | 4,063 |
| Six Flags Over Texas | Amusement Park | 3,800 |

Major taxpayers include General Motors Company, Arlington Highlands, Parks at Arlington and Oncor Electric Delivery; making up approximately $\$ 806 \mathrm{M}, 3.10 \%$ of the City's assessed value.

Arlington has proactively managed its financial condition over the years, with a built-in conservative bias. City leaders continue to carefully manage its financial condition and will continue to take feasible steps within their control to preserve Arlington's general obligation bond ratings of Aa1 from Moody's Investors Service, AAA from Standard and Poor's and AAA from Fitch.

The FY 2019-20 budget was developed with delivery of core services in mind. Managed savings, restructuring, and ongoing efficiency initiatives have enabled staff to deliver the same high level of service at the lowest possible cost. Arlington prides itself on providing an elevated level of service at the lowest cost possible. The City is continuously looking for new ways to innovate, to save, and to provide the highest value to our residents.

Council has identified the following key priorities for the upcoming year:


The 2019 budget focused on these priorities and maintains the City's core value of quality and cost-effective service delivery. In 2019 (tax year 2018), the property tax rate is 63.48 cents. The new 2019 rate is .50 cents lower than the previous rate of 63.98 cents (tax year 2017), which was the rate in effect for the previous year.

## Major Initiatives/Highlights

## Entertainment District

## Texas Rangers Major League Baseball Team/Globe Life Field and Globe Life Park

During fiscal year 2019, construction continued on the new stadium, Globe Life Field. The existing stadium, Globe Life Park is being partially repurposed into a home for a new XFL football team called the Renegades in 2020. Games will be televised on major television networks. The Texas Soccer Club will also play at Globe Life Park beginning in 2020. The team will call the stadium home for the next three years. The final game at Globe Life Park was held on September $29^{\text {th }}$. Six Flags Entertainment Corporation announced that its new global corporate headquarters will move into the offices at Globe Life Park after the Texas Rangers transition to Globe Life Field.

## Texas Live!

Texas Live!, which is located between Texas Rangers' Globe Life Park and the Dallas Cowboys' AT\&T Stadium, features over 100,000 square feet of premier dining and entertainment space, a 5,000 person capacity outdoor event pavilion; a fullservice 300 -room convention hotel, and a 35,000 square foot meeting/convention facility. Restaurants and entertainment venues include Live! Arena, PBR Texas, Guy Fiero's Taco Joint, Sports and Social Arlington, Miller Tavern and Beer Garden, Lockhart Smoke House, Pudge's Pizza, and Troy's. In July, Texas Live! announced its partnership with Balcones Distilling as the official Texas-whiskey provider.

## Live! by Loews

The flagship Live! by Loews hotel and convention center at Texas Live! opened in August 2019. The hotel is the first of its kind in the country, providing guests an unprecedented upscale experience that blends sports and entertainment with firstclass hospitality and superior amenities. The hotel boasts five restaurants and lounges, 35,000 square feet of indoor and outdoor event space, a tower terrace, event lawn, outdoor infinity pool and private rooftop terrace; and unparalleled access to Texas Live!.

## Esports Stadium

The City of Arlington's $\$ 10$ million esports stadium opened in the Entertainment District in November 2018. The 100,000 square-foot stadium, with seating for up to 2,000 spectators, is the largest and most flexible esports stadium in the country. SportTechie has selected Esports Stadium Arlington as its Outstanding Venue for 2018. In July 2019 it was announced the Esports Awards ceremony will be held in Arlington for the next three years. This is the first time the ceremony will be held in the United States.

## AT\&T Stadium

The stadium annually hosts the Cotton Bowl, as well as numerous other special events. AT\&T Stadium will host the Big 12 Football Championship through 2021. It was announced in early 2019 the Mexican National Soccer Team U.S Tour will come to the stadium through 2022. In March 2019, Errol Spence Jr. defeated Mikey Garcia at the stadium to retain his welterweight belt. In May, the three-day KAABOO festival was held in Arlington. It was billed as a music and lifestyle event. In August, the AdvoCare Classic was held at the stadium. In 2020, the Professional Bull Riders 2020 Global Cup competition will be held in the stadium.

## National Medal of Honor Museum

The National Medal of Honor Museum Foundation announced that Arlington has been selected as the site for the future National Medal of Honor Museum. Planned for construction near Globe Life Park and AT\&T Stadium, the first national museum of its kind, will open to the public in 2024.

## Other notable 2019 accomplishments include:

- General Motors/GM Financial

General Motors announced an additional $\$ 20$ million investment to upgrade the conveyors in preparation for the launch of GM's all-new full-size SUV's. GM has invested more than \$1.4 billion in Arlington Assembly since 2015 to build a new paint shop and for body shop and general assembly area upgrades.

- Interstate 30/State Highway 360 Interchange Project

Work continues on the Interstate 30/SH 360 Interchange Project in Arlington. The project is designed to reduce traffic congestion on both high-volume highways and improve safety for the public and is scheduled for completion in 2021.

## - Arlington Tomorrow Foundation

This foundation was created to ensure financial resources which are acquired from the City's natural gas drilling activities today benefit Arlington and its citizens for generations to come. The interest earned annually from the endowment is awarded as grants to local non-profits and governmental entities to benefit citizens and enhance the natural surroundings and lifestyle of Arlington. In 2019, the Foundation approved over $\$ 1.6$ million for projects building safe and strong neighborhoods and promoting quality leisure, learning, and culture activities.

- L3 Technologies

L3 Technologies opened its newly expanded multi-purpose training center. It provides world-leading simulation and instruction for both military and commercial pilots and state-of-the-art classroom facilities.

- Medical City Arlington

Medical City Arlington has been designated a Level II Trauma Center, making it the first and only hospital in Arlington to achieve this classification.

## - Texas Health Arlington Memorial

Texas Health Arlington Memorial Hospital celebrated 60 years of service to Arlington and the surrounding communities.

## Awards and Acknowledgements

The GFOA awarded a Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting to the City of Arlington for its CAFR for the year ended September 30, 2018. This was the 41st year the City has earned this prestigious award. A Certificate of Achievement is valid for a period of one year. The City believes the current CAFR continues to meet the certificate requirements and will be submitting the document to the GFOA to determine ongoing eligibility for the certificate.

The GFOA also awarded to the City of Arlington a Certificate for Outstanding Achievement in Popular Annual Financial Reporting for the year ended September 30, 2018. This was the tenth year the City applied for and received this prestigious award. The Certificate is valid for a period of one year. The City will submit a Popular Annual Financial Report which meets requirements for the award again this year.

The GFOA awarded the City a Distinguished Budget Presentation Award for the FY 2019 budget. The City has earned this award for the past 33 years and expects to earn this award again for the upcoming FY 2020 budget.

The City has also received awards and accreditations for departments including:

- Transparency Stars for Finances-Awarded by Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts. Arlington was third local government entity in the state to receive all five transparency stars.
- Commission for Accreditation of Park and Recreation Agencies (CAPRA)
- National Gold Medal Winner for excellence in the field of Park and Recreation Management
- Commission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies (CALEA)
- Emergency Management Accreditation Program (EMAP) Accredited
- American Public Works Association (APWA) Accredited Agency
- ProLiteracy Accreditation
- Association of Metropolitan Water Agencies (AMWA) Gold Award winner for exceptional utility performance
- Achievement of Excellence in Procurement

The preparation of this report would not have been possible without the skill, effort, and dedication of the entire staff of the Finance Department. We would like to express appreciation to all members of the Finance Department, especially Amy Trevino, Kristi Janes, Deloise Edwards, Joy Geppert, Daryl Holder, Cynthia Moss, Joan Wilson, Linda Beavers, Ethan Klos, Mark Davies, Lisa Williams, Trina Taylor, Alex Agnor, Karen Irwin, and Deven Mercer, who assisted and contributed to the preparation of this report. Credit is also de to the mayor and the Council for their unfailing support for maintaining the highest standards of professionalism in the management of the City's finances.

Respectfully submitted,


Trey Yelverton
City Manager


Mike Finley, CGFO
Finance Director/CFO

Local Economic Indicators

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Property Tax Base (in thousands)* | (tax year 2018) | (tax year 2017) | (tax year 2016) |
|  | $2,919,937$ | $2,802,576$ | $2,719,198$ |
| Personal | $23,148,756$ | $20,923,195$ | $18,694,707$ |
| Real Estate | 146,407 | 119,677 | 134,832 |
| Mineral Lease Properties | 815 | 636 | 708 |
| Agricultural Properties | $26,215,915$ | $23,846,084$ | $21,549,445$ |
| Total |  |  |  |
| Property Tax Rate | 0.4428 | 0.4409 | 0.4538 |
| $\quad$ Operations | 0.1920 | 0.1989 | 0.1910 |
| Debt Service | 0.6348 | 0.6398 | 0.6448 |
| $\quad$ Total |  |  |  |
| Sales Tax (in thousands) | 118,724 | 109,645 | 105,532 |
| $\quad$ Collections | $8.28 \%$ | $4.07 \%$ | $2.70 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Annual Growth | 386,180 | 383,950 | 382,230 |
| Population | 1,160 | 1,108 | 1,046 |
| General Obligation Debt per Capita | 214,599 | 213,284 | 207,936 |
| Labor Force | $3.40 \%$ | $3.52 \%$ | $3.40 \%$ |
| Unemployment Rate | 823 | 566 | 523 |
| Housing starts permits issued | 114 |  | 188 |
| Foreclosure (residential and commercial) |  | 225 |  |
| Occupancy Rates | $89.90 \%$ | $90.60 \%$ | $91.80 \%$ |
| Office | $94.90 \%$ | $95.90 \%$ | $92.70 \%$ |
| Industrial | $93.70 \%$ | $95.10 \%$ | $95.20 \%$ |
| Retail |  |  |  |

*Certified appraisal Roll; does not include properties under protest or supplemental information.



## City of Arlington Organization Chart



Rev. 7.19

Government Finance Officers Association

# Certificate of <br> Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting <br> Presented to <br> <br> City of Arlington <br> <br> City of Arlington Texas 

 Texas}

For its Comprehensive Annual
Financial Report for the
Fiscal Year Ended

September 30, 2018
Chuitophen P. Movill
Executive Director/CEO

## City of Arlington, Texas

 City CouncilJeff Williams<br>Mayor

Term expires May 2021
Victoria Farrar-Myers, District 7 At Large
Deputy Mayor Pro Tempore
Term expires May 2020
Helen Moise, District 1
Term expires May 2020
Sheri Capehart, District 2
Term expires May 2020
Marvin Sutton, District 3
Term expires May 2021
Andrew Piel, District 4
Term expires May 2021
Dr. Ignacio Nunez, District 5
Term expires May 2021
Robert Shepard, District 6 At Large
Term expires May 2020
Dr. Barbara Odom-Wesley, District 8 At Large
Term expires May 2021

## GRANT THORNTON LLP

1717 Main Street, Suite 1800
Dallas, TX 75201-4667

D 214.561.2300
F 214.561.2370
GRANTTHORNTON.COM
LINKD.IN/ GRANTTHORNTONUS
TWITTER.COM/GRANTTHORNTONUS

# REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS 

The Honorable Mayor, City Council, and City Manager<br>The City of Arlington, Texas

## Report on the financial statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Arlington, Texas (the "City") as of and for the year ended September 30, 2019, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

## Management's responsibility for the financial statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

## Auditor's responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We did not audit the financial statements of the Arlington Housing Authority, the Arlington Convention and Visitors Bureau, Inc., or the Arlington Tourism Public Improvement District (the "component units"), discretely presented component units, which statements reflect $9 \%, 7 \%$, and $88 \%$ of assets, net position, and revenues, respectively, of the aggregate discretely presented component units. Those statements were audited by other auditors, whose reports have been furnished to us, and our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for those component units, is based solely on the reports of the other auditors. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement. The financial statements of the Arlington Convention and Visitors Bureau, Inc. and the Arlington Tourism Public Improvement District were not audited in accordance with Government Auditing Standards.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the City's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

## Opinions

In our opinion, based on our audit and the reports of the other auditors, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Arlington, Texas as of September 30, 2019, and the respective changes in financial position and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## Other matters

## Required supplementary information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the Management's Discussion and Analysis on pages 5 through 16, the Budgetary Comparison Schedule - General Fund, the Schedule of Changes in the City's Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios - TMRS, the Schedule of the City's Contributions - TMRS, the Schedule of Changes in the City's Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios - Part-Time, Seasonal and Temporary Employees Deferred Income Trust Plan, the Schedule of the City's Contributions - Part Time, Seasonal and Temporary Employees Deferred Income Trust Plan, the Schedule of Funding Progress - Disability Income Plan, the Schedule of the City's Contributions - Disability Income Plan, the Schedule of Funding Progress - Postemployment Healthcare Plan, the Schedule of the City's Contributions - Postemployment Healthcare Plan, the Schedule of Changes in the City's Net Supplemental Death Benefits - TMRS, and the Schedule of Contributions - Supplemental Death Benefit TMRS on pages 87 through 100, be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a required part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial
statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. This required supplementary information is the responsibility of management. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. These limited procedures consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

## Supplementary information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. The combining financial statements, individual fund budgetary comparison schedules, and the schedules of capital assets used in the operation of governmental funds listed in the table of contents are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures. These additional procedures included comparing and reconciling the information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

## Other information

The introductory section and statistical section are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it.

## Other reporting required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report, dated March 3, 2020, on our consideration of the City's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.
Bunt Thounton LLP
Dallas, Texas
March 3, 2020

## CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS

## Management's Discussion and Analysis For the Year Ended September 30, 2019 (Unaudited)

As management of the City of Arlington (City), we offer readers of the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report this narrative overview and analysis of the financial activities of the City for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2019. We encourage readers to consider the information presented here in conjunction with additional information furnished in the letter of transmittal, which can be found beginning on page vii of this report.

## FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

- The assets and deferred outflows of resources of the City exceeded its liabilities at the close of the most recent fiscal year by $\$ 2.38 \mathrm{~B}$ (net position). Of this amount, $\$ 80.2 \mathrm{M}$ represents unrestricted net position, which may be used to meet the government's ongoing obligations to citizens and creditors in accordance with the City's fund designation and fiscal policies.
- The City's total net position increased $\$ 450 \mathrm{M}$ during the current period primarily due to increases to net investment in capital assets and the amount restricted for debt service.
- At the close of the current fiscal year, the City's governmental funds reported combined ending fund balances of $\$ 345 \mathrm{M}$, a decrease of $\$ 225 \mathrm{M}$ in comparison with the prior year. Within this total, $\$ 194 \mathrm{M}$ is restricted for specific legal requirements and $\$ 150 \mathrm{M}$ has been committed or assigned to specific types of expenditures. The remaining unassigned fund balance in the general fund and can be used for any lawful purpose.
- At the end of the current fiscal year, unrestricted fund balance (the total of the committed, assigned, and unassigned components of fund balance) for the general fund was $\$ 151 \mathrm{M}$, or approximately $60 \%$ of total general fund expenditures.
- The City's total outstanding long-term debt of $\$ 1.36 \mathrm{~B}$ increased $\$ 116 \mathrm{M}$ during the year. Debt issues in 2019 include $\$ 55.9 \mathrm{M}$ in Permanent Improvement Bonds, $\$ 5.4 \mathrm{M}$ in Combination Tax and Revenue Certificate of Obligation Bonds, $\$ 41.9 \mathrm{M}$ in Water and Wastewater System Revenue bonds, $\$ 6.8 \mathrm{M}$ in Municipal Drainage Utility System Revenue Bonds, and $\$ 83.9 \mathrm{M}$ bonds related to the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) Clean and Drinking Water Programs. Bond principal payments for 2019 total $\$ 78 \mathrm{M}$ on existing obligations. Exclusive of special venue debt, City of Arlington debt is allocated $54 \%$ for general government, with the remaining $46 \%$ to water, wastewater, and storm water activities.


## OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The discussion and analysis provided here is intended to serve as an introduction to the City's basic financial statements. The City's basic financial statements are comprised of three components: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) fund financial statements and 3) notes to the financial statements. This is the portion of the CAFR on which the auditors express an opinion. The report also includes supplementary information intended to furnish additional detail to support the basic financial statements themselves.

## Government-wide financial statements

The government-wide financial statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview the City's finances, in a manner similar to a private-sector business.

The Statement of Net Position presents financial information on all of the City's assets, liabilities, and deferred inflows/outflows of resources, with the difference reported as net position. Over time, increases or decreases in net position may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position is improving or deteriorating.

The Statement of Activities presents information showing how the City's net position changed during the most recent fiscal year. All changes in net position are reported when the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Thus, revenues and expenses are reported in this statement
for some items that will result in cash flows in future fiscal periods (e.g., uncollected taxes and earned but unused compensated absences).

Both of the government-wide financial statements distinguish between functions of the City that are principally supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues (governmental activities) from functions that are intended to recover all or a significant portion of their costs through user fees and charges (business-type activities). In the aforementioned statements, the City's business is divided into three types of activities:

- Governmental Activities - Functions of the City that are principally supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues are reported here including general government, public safety, public works, public health, parks and recreation, public welfare, convention and event services and interest and fiscal charges. Property taxes, sales taxes, and franchise fees provide the majority of funding for these activities, with the addition of charges for services, grants and contributions.
- Business-type Activities - Functions that are intended to recover all or a significant portion of their costs through user fees and charges are reported here. The City's water and sewer system and storm water utilities are reported here.
- Component Units - For fiscal year 2019, the City includes seven discretely presented component units in its report - Arlington Housing Authority (AHA), Arlington Convention and Visitors Bureau (ACVB), Arlington Housing Finance Corporation (AHFC), Arlington Tomorrow Foundation (ATF), Arlington Economic Development Corporation (no activity), the Arlington Convention Center Development Corporation (ACCDC) and Arlington Tourism Public Improvement District (ATPID).

The government-wide financial statements can be found on pages 17-21 of this report.

## Fund Financial Statements

A fund is a grouping of related accounts that is used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives. The City, like other state and local governments, uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. All of the funds of the City can be divided into three categories: governmental funds, proprietary funds, and fiduciary funds.

## Governmental Funds

Governmental funds are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. However, unlike the government-wide financial statements, governmental fund financial statements focus on near-term inflows and outflows of spendable resources, as well as on balances of spendable resources available at the end of the fiscal year. Such information may be useful in assessing a government's near-term financing requirements.

Because the focus of governmental funds is narrower than that of the government-wide financial statements, it is useful to compare the information presented for governmental funds with similar information presented for governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the government's near-term financing decisions. Both the governmental fund balance sheet and the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances provide a reconciliation to facilitate this comparison between governmental funds and governmental activities.

The City maintains twenty-one individual governmental funds. Information is presented separately in the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet and in the Governmental Funds Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances for the General Fund, Debt Service Fund, and the Streets Capital Projects Fund, all of which are considered to be major funds. Data from the other governmental funds are combined into a single, aggregate, nonmajor fund presentation. Individual fund data for each of these nonmajor governmental funds is provided in the form of combining statements elsewhere in the report.

The City adopts an annual appropriated budget for its general fund. A budgetary comparison schedule has been provided for the general fund to demonstrate compliance with this budget.

The basic governmental fund financial statements can be found beginning on page 22 of this report.

## Proprietary Funds

The City maintains two types of proprietary funds. Enterprise funds are used to report the same functions presented as business-type activities in the government-wide financial statements. The City uses enterprise funds to account for its water and sewer and storm water utilities operations. The City uses its internal service funds to account for its fleet services and self-insurance functions. Proprietary funds provide the same type of information as the government-wide financial statements, only in more detail. The proprietary fund financial statements provide separate information for the Water and Sewer and Storm Water Utilities funds. All internal service funds are combined into a single aggregated presentation in the proprietary fund financial statements. Individual fund data for internal service funds is provided in the form of combining statements elsewhere in the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

The basic proprietary fund financial statements can be found beginning on page 26 of this report.

## Fiduciary Funds

Fiduciary funds are used to account for resources held for the benefit of parties outside of the government. Fiduciary funds are not reported in the government-wide financial statements because the resources of those funds are not available to support the City's own programs. The City is the trustee, or fiduciary, for several funds, including the Part-Time Deferred Income Trust, Thrift Savings Plan, and Disability Income Plan, as well as certain amounts held on behalf of developers, property owners and others. All of the City's fiduciary activities are reported in separate Statements of Fiduciary Net Position and Changes in Fiduciary Net Position.

The fiduciary fund financial statements can be found beginning on page 30 of this report.

## NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The notes provide additional information that is necessary to acquire a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements. The notes to the financial statements can be found beginning on page 33 of this report.

## OTHER INFORMATION

In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, this report also presents required supplementary information concerning the City's progress in funding its obligation to provide pension and OPEB benefits to its employees. Required supplementary information can be found on page 87 of this report.

The combining statements referred to earlier in connection with nonmajor governmental funds and internal service funds are presented immediately following the required supplementary information. Combining and individual fund statements and schedules can be found on pages 101-137 of this report.

## Government-wide Overall Financial Analysis

As noted earlier, net position may serve over time as a useful indicator of a government's financial position. The City's combined net position was $\$ 2.38 \mathrm{~B}$ as of September 30, 2019. The largest portion of the City's net position \$2.12B ( $89 \%$ ) reflects its investments in capital assets (e.g. land, building, equipment, improvements, and infrastructure), less any related debt used to acquire those assets that is still outstanding. The City uses these capital assets to provide service to citizens. Accordingly, these assets are not available for future spending. They do, however, represent an obligation on the part of the City to maintain these assets into the future.

## City of Arlington's Net Position

(Amounts Expressed in Millions)

| Current and other assets | Governmental Activities |  |  |  | Business-type Activities |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 |  |  | 2019 | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  |
|  | \$ | 688 | \$ | 436 | \$ | 253 | \$ | 362 | \$ | 941 | \$ | 798 |
| Capital assets |  | 1,863 |  | 2,534 |  | 814 |  | 850 |  | 2,677 |  | 3,384 |
| Total assets |  | 2,551 |  | 2,970 |  | 1,067 |  | 1,212 |  | 3,618 |  | 4,182 |
| Total deferred outflows of resources |  | 79 |  | 144 |  | 6 |  | 11 |  | 85 |  | 155 |
| Long-term liabilities outstanding |  | 1,344 |  | 1,460 |  | 292 |  | 399 |  | 1,636 |  | 1,859 |
| Other liabilities |  | 51 |  | 28 |  | 19 |  | 19 |  | 70 |  | 47 |
| Total liabilities |  | 1,395 |  | 1,488 |  | 311 |  | 418 |  | 1,706 |  | 1,906 |
| Total deferred inflows of resources |  | 62 |  | 47 |  | 4 |  | 3 |  | 66 |  | 50 |
| Net position: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net investment in capital assets |  | 881 |  | 1,463 |  | 694 |  | 653 |  | 1,576 |  | 2,116 |
| Restricted |  | 50 |  | 66 |  | 39 |  | 119 |  | 89 |  | 185 |
| Unrestricted |  | 242 |  | 50 |  | 24 |  | 30 |  | 266 |  | 80 |
| Total net position | \$ | 1,173 | \$ | 1,579 | \$ | 757 | \$ | 802 | \$ | 1,931 | \$ | 2,381 |

Although the City's investment in its capital assets is reported net of related debt, it should be noted that the resources needed to repay this debt must be provided from other sources, since the capital assets themselves cannot be used to liquidate these liabilities.

An additional portion of the City's net position of $\$ 185 \mathrm{M}$ (7.8\%) represents resources that are subject to external restriction on how they may be used. The remaining balance of unrestricted net position of $\$ 80 \mathrm{M}(3.4 \%)$ may be used to meet the government's ongoing obligations to citizens and creditors.

At the end of the current fiscal year, the City reports positive balances in all reported categories of net position, both for the governmental and business-type activities. Overall net position increased $\$ 450 \mathrm{M}$ from the prior fiscal year.

City of Arlington's Net Position (amounts expressed in millions) September 30, 2018 and 2019


## Governmental Activities

The City's general revenues increased compared to the prior year, increasing overall by 4\%. Sales, property tax revenue and interest earnings accounted for much of the increase this year compared to last.

Property tax collections were up from the prior year by about $\$ 10.8 \mathrm{M}$ and Arlington once again saw growth in assessed valuation. The residential property values increased by $9.2 \%$ and commercial property values increased by $9.6 \%$ compared to the prior year. The City anticipates property values to increase with continued state of economic conditions. The property tax rate for 2019 was set at $\$ 0.6348$ per $\$ 100$ assessed valuation; no change from $\$ 0.6348$ in the prior year.

# General Revenue Comparison (in thousands) September 30, 2018 and 2019 



Sales tax revenue increased by $\$ 9.1 \mathrm{M}$, up $8.3 \%$ from the prior year. Consumer confidence continued to strengthen during the year, as demonstrated by increased revenues. Strong sales tax collections in 2019 indicate that Arlington is a thriving community. Utility franchise fee collections decreased slightly by $1 \%$ in 2019, primarily due to similar revenue levels for cable, electrical and gas utilities compared to the prior year.

Charges for services increased $\$ 5.2 \mathrm{M}$ with most of the increase resulting from the increase in business-type activities revenues related to water usage. Capital grants and contributions increased by $\$ 406 \mathrm{M}$ due to capital contributions related to the new Rangers ballpark. Operating grants and contributions decreased $\$ 4.5 \mathrm{M}$ compared to the prior year due to decreased grant income and transfer amounts. Interest income increased by $\$ 5.7 \mathrm{M}$ due to improved market conditions compared to the prior year.

Overall, expenses decreased approximately $\$ 11.9 \mathrm{M}(2 \%)$ from the prior year. Decreases are primarily attributed to decreased capital outlay in the prior year compared to the current year. Public welfare expenses decreased primarily due to there not being a one-time large sum expense compared to the prior year. Interest and fiscal charges increased due to higher interest and increase in bond related expenses.

## City of Arlington's Changes in Net Position

## (Amounts expressed in thousands)

|  | Governmental Activities |  | Business-type Activities |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Program Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charges for services | \$ 60,266 | \$ 64,652 | \$ 172,342 | \$ 173,203 | \$ 232,608 | \$ 237,855 |
| Operating grants and contributions | 20,345 | 15,849 | - | - | 20,345 | 15,849 |
| Capital grants and contributions | 4,544 | 410,903 | 5,662 | 4,978 | 10,206 | 415,881 |
| General Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes | 277,431 | 300,702 | - | - | 277,431 | 300,702 |
| Utility franchise fees | 25,166 | 24,921 | - | - | 25,166 | 24,921 |
| Interest income | 9,276 | 11,329 | 988 | 4,676 | 10,264 | 16,005 |
| Other | 4,741 | 8,568 | - | - | 4,741 | 8,568 |
| Total revenues | 401,769 | 836,924 | 178,992 | 182,857 | 580,761 | 1,019,781 |
| Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General government | 81,032 | 82,037 | - | - | 81,032 | 82,037 |
| Public Safety | 167,064 | 187,839 | - | - | 167,064 | 187,839 |
| Public Works | 65,482 | 75,818 | - | - | 65,482 | 75,818 |
| Public Health | 3,067 | 3,610 | - | - | 3,067 | 3,610 |
| Parks and recreation | 35,599 | 37,161 | - | - | 35,599 | 37,161 |
| Public welfare | 68,964 | 11,132 | - | - | 68,964 | 11,132 |
| Convention and event services | 16,439 | 15,416 | - | - | 16,439 | 15,416 |
| Interest and fiscal charges | 29,247 | 37,378 | - | - | 29,247 | 37,378 |
| Water, sewer and storm water | - | - | 115,122 | 119,752 | 115,122 | 119,752 |
| Total expenses | 466,894 | 450,391 | 115,122 | 119,752 | 582,016 | 570,143 |
| Increase in net position before transfers | $(65,125)$ | 386,533 | 63,870 | 63,105 | $(1,255)$ | 449,638 |
| Transfers and capital contributions | 34,611 | 18,769 | $(34,611)$ | $(18,769)$ | - | - |
| Increase (decrease) in net position | $(30,514)$ | 405,302 | 29,259 | 44,336 | $(1,255)$ | 449,638 |
| Net Position, October 1 | 1,203,804 | 1,173,566 | 728,206 | 757,465 | 1,932,010 | 1,931,031 |
| Impact of accounting adjustment | 276 |  |  |  | 276 |  |
| Net Position, September 30 | \$ 1,173,566 | \$ 1,578,868 | \$ 757,465 | \$ 801,801 | \$ 1,931,031 | \$ 2,380,669 |

## Business-type Activities

During the current fiscal year, net position for business-type activities increased \$44M from the prior fiscal year for an ending balance of $\$ 802 \mathrm{M}$. The increase in overall net position of business-type activities is the result of the increased amount restricted for debt service. The revenue increase of $\$ 4 \mathrm{M}$ in business-type activities (Water and Wastewater/Storm Water Utility) is a result of an increase in water sales and sewer service revenues reported in service charges and interest for the current year. Increases in expenses were primarily for the cost of purchasing water and sewage treatment.

## CAPITAL ASSET AND DEBT ADMINISTRATION

## Capital Assets

The City's net investment in capital assets for its governmental and business-type activities as of September 30, 2019, amounts to $\$ 3.4 B$ (net of accumulated depreciation). This investment in capital assets includes land, buildings, machinery, equipment, vehicles, park facilities, roads, highways, bridges, water treatment plants, and stadiums. The total increase in capital assets for the current fiscal year was $\$ 707 \mathrm{M}$. This is a $26 \%$ increase over the prior fiscal year. Footnote 5 in the notes to the financial statements provides more detailed information regarding the City's capital asset activity.

## City of Arlington's Capital Assets (in thousands)

|  | Governmental activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Business-type activities |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |  |
| Land | 229,614 | $\$ 243,968$ | $\$ 22,657$ | $\$ 23,002$ | $\$ 252,271$ | $\$ 266,970$ |  |  |
| Buildings and improvements | 967,301 | 910,454 | 1,194 | 2,626 | 968,495 | 913,080 |  |  |
| Machinery and equipment | 27,248 | 56,904 | 478 | 328 | 27,726 | 57,232 |  |  |
| Construction in progress | 399,759 | $1,022,432$ | 121,099 | 98,003 | 520,858 | $1,120,435$ |  |  |
| Infrastructure | 239,139 | 300,901 | - | - | 239,139 | 300,901 |  |  |
| Drainage system | - | - | 72,275 | 82,102 | 72,275 | 82,102 |  |  |
| Water and sewer system | - | - | 596,735 | 644,019 | 596,735 | 644,019 |  |  |
| Totals | $\$ 1,863,061$ | $\$ 2,534,659$ | $\$ 814,438$ | $\$ 850,080$ | $\$ 2,677,499$ | $\$ 3,384,739$ |  |  |

Major capital asset events during the current fiscal year included the following:

- Private developer capital contributions of $\$ 5.0 \mathrm{M}$ to the City's water and sewer infrastructure in connection with various residential and commercial developments
- Water and sewer system capital improvements and expansion of $\$ 39.9 \mathrm{M}$
- Storm drainage system capital improvements and expansion of $\$ 10.8 \mathrm{M}$
- Street construction projects capital outlay totaling $\$ 28.1 \mathrm{M}$
- Improvements to parks and recreation facilities of $\$ 21.6 \mathrm{M}$

The City's governmental activities infrastructure investment, including accumulated depreciation, breaks down as


Buildings and
follows (in thousands): Improvements, \$910,454

| Asset | Book Value |  | Accumulated Depreciation |  |  | Net Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sidewalks | \$ | 69,388 | \$ | $(64,571)$ | \$ | 4,817 |
| Streetlights |  | 19,202 |  | $(13,058)$ |  | 6,144 |
| Streets |  | 861,090 |  | $(593,381)$ |  | 267,709 |
| Bridges |  | 43,200 |  | $(29,587)$ |  | 13,613 |
| Signal Lights |  | 19,861 |  | $(11,243)$ |  | 8,618 |
|  | \$ | 1,012,741 | \$ | $(711,840)$ | \$ | 300,901 |

The City's business-type activities infrastructure investment, including accumulated depreciation, breaks down as follows (in thousands):


|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Accumulated } \\ \text { Asset }\end{array}$ |  |  |  | Book Value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Depreciation |  |  |  |  |  |$]$ Net Value

## Debt

At the end of the current fiscal year, the City had total bonded debt outstanding of \$1.36B, an increase of \$116M from 2018. The increase in total debt is due to new debt issuances.

*Secured by City Tax Base
**Secured by Water and Sewer or Drainage Revenue

## City of Arlington's Outstanding Debt

(in thousands, net of depreciation)

| General obligation bonds (backed by the City) | Governmental Activities |  |  | Business-type Activities |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 |  | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 |
|  | \$347,770 | \$ | 367,405 | \$ | \$ | \$ 347,770 | \$ 367,405 |
| Combination tax and revenue certificates of obligation (backed by the City) | 60,595 |  | 61,085 | - | - | 60,595 | 61,085 |
| Special tax revenue bonds | 570,625 |  | 570,375 | - | - | 570,625 | 570,375 |
| Revenue bonds (backed by fee revenues) | - |  | - | 263,935 | 359,830 | 263,935 | 359,830 |
| Total | \$978,990 | \$ | 998,865 | \$ 263,935 | \$359,830 | \$1,242,925 | \$1,358,695 |

During the current fiscal year, the City issued $\$ 55.9 \mathrm{M}$ in Permanent Improvement Bonds, $\$ 5.4 \mathrm{M}$ in Combination Tax and Revenue Certificate of Obligation Bonds, $\$ 41.9 \mathrm{M}$ in Water and Wastewater System Revenue bonds, $\$ 6.8 \mathrm{M}$ in Municipal Drainage Utility System Revenue Bonds, and $\$ 83.9 \mathrm{M}$ bonds related to the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) Clean and Drinking Water Programs. Footnote 8 in the notes to the financial statements provides more detailed information regarding the City's long-term debt activity.

In 2019, the City's debt ratings were as follows by type and agency:

## City GO/CO

WWS Revenue
Storm Water Revenue
Venue (Sales Tax/HOT/STMV) Underlying
Venue (Sales Tax/HOT/STMV) Insurance ^
Stadium (Ticket and Parking Tax) *

| Fitch | S\&P | Moodys |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AAA | AAA | Aa1 |
| AAA | AAA | Aa1 |
| AAA | AAA | Aa1 |
| AA+ | A+ | A1 |
|  | AA $(2018 A \& C)$ | A3 (2018C) |
| NR | NR | NR |

^ Series 2018A insured by Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp (AGM)
$\wedge$ Series 2018C insured by Build America Mutual Assurance Company (BAM)

* AMBAC insured / AMBAC no longer rated

General bonded debt per capita increased from \$1,108 in 2018 to \$1,160 in 2019.

The City is permitted by Article XI, Section 5, of the State of Texas Constitution to levy taxes up to \$2.50 per \$100 assessed valuation for general governmental services including the payment of principal and interest on general obligation long-term debt. The current ratio of net bonded debt to assessed value of all taxable property is $1.73 \%$.

The City maintains a self-insurance program for bodily injury, property damage, personal injury, advertising injury, regulatory injury and worker's compensation. Claims for worker's compensation over $\$ 750,000$ per occurrence are covered by a private insurance company. Claim liabilities are actuarially determined and take into consideration claim experience, adjustment expenses, economic, and other factors which can vary considerably from year to year. Total estimated claims liability at September 30, 2019 was $\$ 9.4 \mathrm{M}$.

## THE CITY'S FUNDS

The governmental funds of the City reported a combined fund balance of $\$ 344.9 \mathrm{M}$. The General Fund balance was $\$ 65.9 \mathrm{M}$, which was slightly less than the prior year. The Debt Service fund balance increased $\$ 14.8 \mathrm{M}$, ending the year with $\$ 56 \mathrm{M}$. The increase was a result of the additional debt issuances during the fiscal year. Other changes in fund balances noted include:

- Street Capital Projects fund balance increased in fiscal year 2019, up from $\$ 63 \mathrm{M}$ to $\$ 88 \mathrm{M}$. The $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$ increase in fund balance in primarily due to debt issuance of $\$ 48 \mathrm{M}$, offset by $\$ 28 \mathrm{M}$ spent on capital outlay and contribution and interest revenues of $\$ 4 \mathrm{M}$.
- The City's water and sewer fund net position of $\$ 681 \mathrm{M}$ increased by $\$ 33 \mathrm{M}$ in the current year. The increase in net position is primarily due to operating revenues exceeding expenses by $\$ 46 \mathrm{M}$, capital contributions of $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}$ and transfers out of $\$ 18 \mathrm{M}$.
- The Storm Water Utility fund saw an increase of $\$ 11.3 \mathrm{M}$ to net position in 2019. Storm water fee revenues exceeded fund expenses by $\$ 12 \mathrm{M}$ and transfers out of $\$ 1 \mathrm{M}$ were completed, increasing net position to $\$ 125 \mathrm{M}$ at the end of the fiscal year.


## GENERAL FUND BUDGET HIGHLIGHTS

During fiscal year 2019, there was one operating budget amendment in the General Fund to increase appropriations for one fire training academy.

Actual expenditures on a budgetary basis of $\$ 280.6 \mathrm{M}$ were less than budgeted expenditures of $\$ 284.5 \mathrm{M}$. Savings were in general government and parks and recreation categories.

Revenues on a budgetary basis were higher than the budgeted amount of $\$ 270 \mathrm{M}$ by $\$ 3.6 \mathrm{M}$. Licenses and permits, taxes, fines and forfeitures, and other revenues were higher than budgeted and are attributable to the variance. Utility franchise fees and service charges were down due to decreases in telephone utility and data service charge revenues.

## ECONOMIC FACTORS AND FISCAL YEAR 2020

Each year, the City Council identifies community priorities that guide how to allocate the City's resources. The annual Budget and Business Plan are developed to address the City Council's adopted priorities. Economic development continues to be a priority in order to capitalize on development throughout the region. The City must continue to look for ways to innovate, provide the highest value possible to residents, respond to challenges, and plan for the future. The 2020 Budget also focuses on public safety funding, opening and maintaining City facilities. General Fund property tax revenues increased to $\$ 106 \mathrm{M}$ and sales taxes were strong in 2019. Key budget priorities in 2020 are:

- Enhance regional mobility
- Support youth and families
- Champion great neighborhoods
- Invest in our economy
- Put technology to work

The City's total General Fund revenues and transfers for 2020 are budgeted at $\$ 270 M$, and total General Fund expenditures are expected to be $\$ 265 \mathrm{M}$, a net increase of $\$ 10.1 \mathrm{M}$ over 2019.

The General Fund's largest single revenue source is property taxes. This revenue represents $44.1 \%$ of the General Fund budget. The property tax rate for 2020 is $\$ 0.6240$ per $\$ 100$ valuation, the fourth time it has decreased since 2001. The tax rate is split out into two categories, operations and maintenance, $\$ 0.4467$ per $\$ 100$ valuation, to the

General Fund, and interest and sinking, $\$ 0.17730$ per $\$ 100$ valuation, for debt service. The General Fund property tax revenue for 2019 is estimated to be $\$ 119 \mathrm{M}$, up $\$ 12.7 \mathrm{M}$ ( $12 \%$ ) from last year's estimate.

The City's portion of the local 8 cent sales tax rate is one and three-quarter cents. The General Fund receives one cent, one-quarter cent is dedicated to the Street Maintenance Fund, and one-half cent provides for debt service for venue debt. Sales tax revenue for the General Fund for fiscal year 2020 is estimated at $\$ 68.4 \mathrm{M}$, an increase of \$3.9M from 2019 estimates.

The City's Water and Sewer Fund accounts for approximately $30 \%$ of the City's revenue. The mission of the Water Utilities Department is to provide a continuous supply of high-quality drinking water and ensure safe disposal of wastewater in a responsive, cost-effective manner while continuing to improve service to citizens and planning for future needs. The largest revenue sources for the Water and Sewer Fund is water sales and wastewater treatment budgeted at $\$ 79.6 \mathrm{M}$ and $\$ 73.1 \mathrm{M}$ respectively for FY 2020 . The City maintains a rate structure designed to ensure that each category of service is self-supporting.

Details of the City of Arlington Fiscal Year 2020 Operating Budget can be accessed on the City's website: http://www.arlington-tx.gov/budget/.

## REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the City's finances for all those with an interest in the government's finances and to show the City's fiscal accountability. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or requests for additional financial information should be addressed to Amy Trevino, Controller (amy.trevino@arlingtontx.gov), in the Finance Department, at the City of Arlington, 101 S. Mesquite St., Suite 800, Arlington, TX 76010. The City is also an active member of MSRB's Electronic Municipal Market Access (EMMA), which keeps the Arlington CAFR on file. Additionally, the CAFR can be found on the City's website at http://www.arlington-tx.gov/finance/financial-reports/.

CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS

## STATEMENT OF NET POSITION

AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2019
(AMOUNTS EXPRESSED IN THOUSANDS)

|  | Primary Government |  |  |  |  |  | Component Units |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Governmental Activities |  | Business-type Activities |  | Total |  |  |  |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash-like investments | \$ | 342,078 | \$ | 34,204 | \$ | 376,282 | \$ | 21,191 |
| Investments |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 64,200 |
| Land held for resale |  | 7,653 |  | - |  | 7,653 |  | - |
| Receivables (net of allowance for uncollectibles): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes |  | 4,776 |  | - |  | 4,776 |  | - |
| Sales taxes |  | 20,468 |  | - |  | 20,468 |  | - |
| Trade accounts |  | 21 |  | 13,307 |  | 13,328 |  |  |
| Franchise fees |  | 6,227 |  | - |  | 6,227 |  | - |
| Unbilled trade accounts |  | - |  | 10,718 |  | 10,718 |  | - |
| Special assessments |  | 123 |  | - |  | 123 |  | - |
| Accrued interest |  | 3,083 |  | 53 |  | 3,136 |  | 440 |
| Ballpark lease |  | 7,587 |  | - |  | 7,587 |  | - |
| Settlement agreement |  | 3,934 |  | - |  | 3,934 |  | - |
| Other |  | 5,001 |  | 3 |  | 5,004 |  | 1,066 |
| Internal balances |  | 4,461 |  | $(4,461)$ |  | - |  |  |
| Due from other governments |  | 5,624 |  | - |  | 5,624 |  | - |
| Inventory of supplies |  | 1,828 |  | 1,054 |  | 2,882 |  | - |
| Prepaid expenses |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 120 |
| Restricted assets- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bond contingency- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investments |  | - |  | 29,593 |  | 29,593 |  | - |
| Capital construction- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investments |  | - |  | 179,593 |  | 179,593 |  | - |
| Escrow |  | - |  | 91,612 |  | 91,612 |  | - |
| Meter deposits- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Closure/Post-closure trust fund |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investments |  | 22,671 |  | - |  | 22,671 |  | - |
| Capital Assets- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land |  | 243,968 |  | 23,002 |  | 266,970 |  | 2,204 |
| Buildings and improvements |  | 1,347,385 |  | 4,337 |  | 1,351,722 |  | 563 |
| Water and sewer system |  | - |  | 995,118 |  | 995,118 |  | - |
| Machinery and equipment |  | 133,904 |  | 12,474 |  | 146,378 |  | 1,121 |
| Infrastructure |  | 1,012,741 |  | - |  | 1,012,741 |  | - |
| Drainage systems |  | - |  | 128,794 |  | 128,794 |  | - |
| Construction in progress |  | 1,022,432 |  | 98,003 |  | 1,120,435 |  | - |
| Accumulated depreciation |  | (1,225,771) |  | $(411,648)$ |  | $(1,637,419)$ |  | $(1,299)$ |
| Total Assets |  | 2,970,194 |  | 1,212,441 |  | 4,182,635 |  | 89,606 |
| DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deferred loss on debt refunding |  | 12,389 |  | 1,698 |  | 14,087 |  | - |
| Deferred outflow-contributions pension |  | 20,806 |  | 1,445 |  | 22,251 |  | - |
| Deferred outflow-contributions OPEB |  | 3,796 |  | 260 |  | 4,056 |  | - |
| Deferred outflow-actuarial assumption changes pension |  | 101,091 |  | 7,024 |  | 108,115 |  | - |
| Deferred outflow-actuarial assumption changes OPEB |  | 5,638 |  | 387 |  | 6,025 |  | - |
| Total Assets and Deferred Outflows of Resources |  | 3,113,914 |  | 1,223,255 |  | 4,337,169 |  | 89,606 |

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS

## STATEMENT OF NET POSITION

AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2019
(AMOUNTS EXPRESSED IN THOUSANDS)

|  | Primary Government |  |  |  |  |  | ComponentUnits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Governmental Activities |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Business-type } \\ & \text { Activities } \end{aligned}$ |  | Total |  |  |  |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | \$ | 20,031 | \$ | 5,649 | \$ | 25,680 | \$ | 3,404 |
| Retainage payable |  | 2,353 |  | - |  | 2,353 |  | - |
| Accrued interest |  | 5,490 |  | - |  | 5,490 |  | - |
| Payable from restricted assets- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities |  | - |  | 2,426 |  | 2,426 |  | - |
| Retainage payable |  |  |  | 1,435 |  | 1,435 |  | - |
| Accrued interest |  |  |  | 3,008 |  | 3,008 |  | - |
| Meter deposits |  | - |  | 6,685 |  | 6,685 |  | - |
| Non-current liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Due within one year: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated claims payable |  | 4,094 |  | - |  | 4,094 |  | - |
| General obligation and certificates of obligation debt |  | 35,920 |  | - |  | 35,920 |  | - |
| Accrued compensated absences |  | 1,327 |  | 112 |  | 1,439 |  | - |
| Revenue bonds |  | - |  | 25,345 |  | 25,345 |  | - |
| Capital lease obligation |  | 1,094 |  | - |  | 1,094 |  | - |
| Due in more than one year: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated claims payable |  | 5,284 |  | - |  | 5,284 |  | - |
| Rebatable arbitrage payable |  | - |  | 169 |  | 169 |  | - |
| Total other post-employment benefit liability |  | 112,320 |  | 7,797 |  | 120,117 |  | - |
| General obligation and certificates of obligation debt |  | 417,458 |  | - |  | 417,458 |  | - |
| Special tax revenue debt |  | 620,388 |  | - |  | 620,388 |  | - |
| Landfill closure accrued liabilities |  | 22,671 |  | - |  | 22,671 |  | - |
| Estimated pollution remediation |  | 476 |  | - |  | 476 |  | - |
| Accrued compensated absences |  | 32,504 |  | 2,358 |  | 34,862 |  | - |
| Revenue bonds |  | - |  | 349,498 |  | 349,498 |  | - |
| Net pension liability |  | 201,544 |  | 14,025 |  | 215,569 |  | - |
| Capital lease obligation |  | 4,637 |  | - |  | 4,637 |  | - |
| Total Liabilities |  | 1,487,591 |  | 418,507 |  | 1,906,098 |  | 3,404 |
| DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deferred inflow - pension |  | 39,890 |  | 2,769 |  | 42,659 |  | - |
| Deferred inflow - lease \& settlement agreements |  | 4,985 |  | - |  | 4,985 |  | 346 |
| Deferred inflow - OPEB |  | 2,580 |  | 178 |  | 2,758 |  | - |
| Total Liabilities and Deferred Inflows of Resources |  | 1,535,046 |  | 421,454 |  | 1,956,500 |  | 3,750 |
| NET POSITION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net investment in capital assets |  | 1,462,715 |  | 653,396 |  | 2,116,111 |  | 2,589 |
| Restricted for debt service |  | 55,976 |  | 118,649 |  | 174,625 |  | - |
| Restricted for use of impact fees |  | 9,766 |  | - |  | 9,766 |  | - |
| Restricted for housing assistance |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 170 |
| Restricted for Convention \& Visitor's Bureau Operations |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 300 |
| Restricted for endowments |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 77,130 |
| Unrestricted |  | 50,411 |  | 29,756 |  | 80,167 |  | 5,667 |
| Total Net Position | \$ | 1,578,868 | \$ | 801,801 | \$ | 2,380,669 | \$ | 85,856 |

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.


CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS

## STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES

FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2019
(AMOUNTS EXPRESSED IN THOUSANDS)

| Functions/Programs | Expenses |  | Program Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Charges for Services |  | OperatingGrants andContributions |  |  |  |
| Primary Government: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Governmental Activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General government | \$ | 82,037 | \$ | 29,217 | \$ | 71 | \$ | 71 |
| Public safety |  | 187,839 |  | 15,337 |  | 7,181 |  | - |
| Public works |  | 75,818 |  | 2,309 |  | 50 |  | 3,965 |
| Public health |  | 3,610 |  | 4,025 |  | - |  | - |
| Parks and recreation |  | 37,161 |  | 11,206 |  | 86 |  | 406,867 |
| Public welfare |  | 11,132 |  | 262 |  | 8,461 |  | - |
| Convention and event services |  | 15,416 |  | 2,296 |  | - |  | - |
| Interest and fiscal charges |  | 37,378 |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Total Governmental Activities |  | 450,391 |  | 64,652 |  | 15,849 |  | 410,903 |
| Business-Type Activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water and sewer |  | 113,341 |  | 155,189 |  | - |  | 4,978 |
| Storm water utility |  | 6,411 |  | 18,014 |  | - |  | - |
| Total Business-Type Activities |  | 119,752 |  | 173,203 |  | - |  | 4,978 |
| Total Primary Government |  | 570,143 | \$ | 237,855 | \$ | 15,849 | \$ | 415,881 |
| Component Units: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arlington Housing Authority | \$ | 31,996 | \$ | - | \$ | 31,223 | \$ | - |
| Arlington Convention and Visitors Bureau |  | 6,644 |  | 6,692 |  | 500 |  | - |
| Arlington Tomorrow Foundation |  | 2,055 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Arlington Housing Finance Corporation |  | 44 |  | 66 |  | - |  | - |
| Arlington Tourism Public Improvement District |  | 3,634 |  | 2,580 |  | 721 |  | - |
| Arlington Convention Center Development Corp |  | 41 |  | - |  | 34 |  | - |
| Arlington Economic Development Corp |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Component Units | \$ | 44,414 | \$ | 9,338 | \$ | 32,478 | \$ | - |

[^13]The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.
*Footnote 1.Q. provides additional information regarding restatement of net position.

Net (Expense) Revenue and Changes in Net Position

| Primary Government |  |  |  |  |  | Component Units |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Governmental Activities |  | Business-type Activities |  | Total |  |  |  |
| \$ | $(52,678)$ | \$ | - | \$ | $(52,678)$ | \$ | - |
|  | $(165,321)$ |  | - |  | $(165,321)$ |  | - |
|  | $(69,494)$ |  | - |  | $(69,494)$ |  | - |
|  | 415 |  | - |  | 415 |  | - |
|  | 380,998 |  | - |  | 380,998 |  | - |
|  | $(2,409)$ |  | - |  | $(2,409)$ |  | - |
|  | $(13,120)$ |  | - |  | $(13,120)$ |  | - |
|  | $(37,378)$ |  | - |  | $(37,378)$ |  | - |
|  | 41,013 |  | - |  | 41,013 |  | - |
|  | - |  | 46,826 |  | 46,826 |  | - |
|  | - |  | 11,603 |  | 11,603 |  | - |
|  | - |  | 58,429 |  | 58,429 |  | - |
| \$ | 41,013 | \$ | 58,429 | \$ | 99,442 | \$ | - |
| \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | (773) |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 548 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | $(2,055)$ |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 22 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | (333) |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | (7) |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | $(2,598)$ |
|  | 154,606 |  | - |  | 154,606 |  | - |
|  | 118,724 |  | - |  | 118,724 |  | - |
|  | 365 |  | - |  | 365 |  | - |
|  | 2,225 |  | - |  | 2,225 |  | - |
|  | 80 |  | - |  | 80 |  | - |
|  | 12,233 |  | - |  | 12,233 |  | - |
|  | 12,469 |  | - |  | 12,469 |  | - |
|  | 24,921 |  | - |  | 24,921 |  | - |
|  | 11,329 |  | 3,014 |  | 14,343 |  | 6,037 |
|  | 3,351 |  | 1,662 |  | 5,013 |  | (132) |
|  | 5,217 |  | - |  | 5,217 |  | 258 |
|  | 18,769 |  | $(18,769)$ |  | - |  | - |
|  | 364,289 |  | $(14,093)$ |  | 350,196 |  | 6,163 |
|  | 405,302 |  | 44,336 |  | 449,638 |  | 3,565 |
|  | 1,173,566 |  | 757,465 |  | 1,931,031 |  | 82,291 |
| \$ | 1,578,868 | \$ | 801,801 | \$ | 2,380,669 | \$ | 85,856 |

## CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS

## BALANCE SHEET

## GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2019

## (AMOUNTS EXPRESSED IN THOUSANDS)

|  | General |  | Debt Service |  | Street <br> Capital <br> Projects |  | Ballpark Venue |  | Other Nonmajor Funds |  | Total Governmental Funds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash-like investments | \$ | 50,078 | \$ | 49,073 | \$ | 90,652 | \$ | - | \$ | 130,752 | \$ | 320,555 |
| Land held for resale |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 7,653 |  | 7,653 |
| Receivables (net of allowance for uncollectibles) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes |  | 3,481 |  | 348 |  | - |  | - |  | 947 |  | 4,776 |
| Sales taxes |  | 11,696 |  | 5,848 |  | - |  | - |  | 2,924 |  | 20,468 |
| Franchise fees |  | 6,227 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 6,227 |
| Special assessments |  | - |  | - |  | 123 |  | - |  | - |  | 123 |
| Accrued interest |  | 2,273 |  | 707 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 2,980 |
| Lease and settlement agreements |  | 11,521 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 11,521 |
| Other |  | 4,238 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 556 |  | 4,794 |
| Due from other funds |  | 4,152 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 4,152 |
| Due from other governments |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 5,624 |  | 5,624 |
| Inventory of supplies, at cost |  | 1,587 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 241 |  | 1,828 |
| Total Assets | \$ | 95,253 | \$ | 55,976 | \$ | 90,775 | \$ | - | \$ | 148,697 | \$ | 390,701 |


| LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES AND FUND BALANCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | \$ | 10,262 | \$ | - | \$ | 2,136 | \$ | - | \$ | 7,149 | \$ | 19,547 |
| Retainage payable |  | - |  | - |  | 981 |  |  |  | 1,372 |  | 2,353 |
| Unearned Revenue |  | 1,767 |  | - |  | 123 |  | - |  | 458 |  | 2,348 |
| Due to other funds |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 4,152 |  | 4,152 |
| Total Liabilities |  | 12,029 |  |  |  | 3,240 |  |  |  | 13,131 |  | 28,400 |
| Deferred inflows of resources: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes |  | 3,290 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 3,290 |
| Landfill lease |  | 2,515 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 2,515 |
| Gas lease |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 122 |  | 122 |
| Lease and settlement agreements |  | 11,521 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 11,521 |
| Total Deferred Inflows of Resources |  | 17,326 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 122 |  | 17,448 |
| Fund Balances: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonspendable: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inventory |  | 1,587 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 241 |  | 1,828 |
| Prepaids |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Restricted for: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt service |  | - |  | 55,976 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 55,976 |
| Capital projects |  | - |  | - |  | 87,535 |  | - |  | 24,510 |  | 112,045 |
| Special revenue |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 25,351 |  | 25,351 |
| Committed to: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital projects |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 57,829 |  | 57,829 |
| Special revenue |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 26,051 |  | 26,051 |
| Assigned to: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Working capital |  | 22,151 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 22,151 |
| Subsequent years' expenditures |  | 15,107 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 15,107 |
| Compensated absences |  | 1,363 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,363 |
| Other post employment benefits |  | 1,718 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,718 |
| Future initiatives |  | 17,151 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,059 |  | 18,210 |
| Dispatch |  | 717 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 717 |
| Information technology |  | 173 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 173 |
| Business Continuity |  | 4,062 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 4,062 |
| Park performance |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 403 |  | 403 |
| Unassigned |  | 1,869 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,869 |
| Total Fund Balances |  | 65,898 |  | 55,976 |  | 87,535 |  | - |  | 135,444 |  | 344,853 |
| Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources and Fund Balances | \$ | 95,253 | \$ | 55,976 | \$ | 90,775 | \$ |  | \$ | 148,697 | \$ | 390,701 |

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS
RECONCILIATION OF THE BALANCE SHEET TO THE
STATEMENT OF NET POSITION OF GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES
AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2019 (AMOUNTS EXPRESSED IN THOUSANDS)

Total fund balance per balance sheet

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because:

Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and, therefore, are not reported in the funds (excluding \$15,211 recorded in the internal service funds).

Landfill Closure/Post Closure

Other long-term assets are not available to pay for current-period expenditures and, therefore, are deferred in the funds.

|  | Fund Deferred Inflows |  | Net Position Deferred Inflows |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Taxes | \$ | 3,290 | \$ | - |
| Landfill |  | 2,515 |  | 2,515 |
| Grant revenue |  | 192 |  | 2,418 |
| Ballpark lease |  | 7,587 |  | - |
| Ballpark Settlement |  | 3,934 |  |  |
| Unearned |  | 2,226 |  | - |
|  |  | 19,744 |  | 4,933 |

Internal service funds are used by management to charge the cost of fleet services, knowledge services, risk management, workers' compensation and group health to individual funds. The assets and liabilities of the internal service funds are included in governmental activities in the statement of net position.

Long-term liabilities, including bonds payable, arbitrage and compensated absences, are not due and payable in the current period and therefore, are not reported in the funds (excluding $\$ 10,477$ recorded in the internal service funds).

| Bonds payable |  | \$ (998,865) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Premium general obligation debt |  | $(76,411)$ |
| Discount on bonds |  | 1,510 |
| Deferred outflow of resources (refunding) |  | 12,389 |
| Accrued interest payable |  | $(5,490)$ |
| Estimated pollution remediation |  | (476) |
| Landfill Closure/Post Closure |  | $(22,671)$ |
| Compensated absences |  | $(33,831)$ |
| TMRS: |  |  |
| Net pension liability | \$ $(201,544)$ |  |
| Deferred inflow-actuarial gain | \$ $(39,890)$ |  |
| Deferred outflow-assumption changes | 101,091 |  |
| Deferred outflow-contributions | 20,806 | $(119,537)$ |
| Other Post Employment Benefits (OPEB) |  |  |
| Total OPEB Liability | $(112,320)$ |  |
| Deferred inflow-OPEB expected/actual | $(2,580)$ |  |
| Deferred outflow-assumption changes | 5,638 |  |
| Deferred outflow-contributions | 3,796 | $(105,466)$ |
| Estimated claims |  | (656) |
| Capital Leases |  | $(5,731)$ |

Net position of governmental activities

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2019
(AMOUNTS EXPRESSED IN THOUSANDS)

|  | General |  | Debt Service |  | Streets Capital Projects |  | Ballpark Venue |  | Other Nonmajor Funds |  | Total Governmental Funds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes | \$ | 175,985 | \$ | 86,279 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 39,136 | \$ | 301,400 |
| Licenses and permits |  | 9,955 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 9,955 |
| Utility franchise fees |  | 24,921 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 24,921 |
| Fines and forfeitures |  | 10,853 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 10,853 |
| Leases, rents and concessions |  | 9,172 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 2,500 |  | 11,672 |
| Service charges |  | 9,743 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 15,330 |  | 25,073 |
| Interest revenue |  | 2,556 |  | 4,233 |  | 1,434 |  | - |  | 2,648 |  | 10,871 |
| Net increase (decrease) in fair value of investments |  | 993 |  | 16 |  | 836 |  | - |  | 1,438 |  | 3,283 |
| Contributions |  | 1,999 |  | - |  | 2,037 |  |  |  | - |  | 410,903 |
| Intergovernmental revenues |  | 71 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 15,778 |  | 15,849 |
| Gas lease royalty |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 7,000 |  | 7,000 |
| Gas lease other |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 99 |  | 99 |
| Other |  | 54 |  | - |  | 1 |  | - |  | 3,159 |  | 3,214 |
| Total Revenues |  | 246,302 |  | 90,528 |  | 4,308 |  |  |  | 87,088 |  | 835,093 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General government |  | 44,881 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 4,599 |  | 49,480 |
| Public safety |  | 163,660 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 9,037 |  | 172,697 |
| Public works |  | 23,541 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 32,016 |  | 55,557 |
| Public health |  | 2,256 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,169 |  | 3,425 |
| Public welfare |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 10,033 |  | 10,033 |
| Parks and recreation |  | 17,000 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 12,757 |  | 29,757 |
| Convention and event services |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 15,110 |  | 15,110 |
| Capital outlay |  | - |  | - |  | 28,147 |  |  |  | 26,742 |  | 721,573 |
| Debt service- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Principal retirement |  | - |  | 35,605 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 35,605 |
| Interest and fiscal charges |  | - |  | 42,751 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 42,751 |
| Total Expenditures |  | 251,338 |  | 78,356 |  | 28,147 |  |  |  | 111,463 |  | 1,135,988 |
| Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures |  | $(5,036)$ |  | 12,172 |  | $(23,839)$ |  |  |  | $(24,375)$ |  | $(300,895)$ |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Issuance of bonds |  | - |  | - |  | 48,150 |  | - |  | 7,300 |  | 55,450 |
| Issuance of certificates of obligation |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 5,370 |  | 5,370 |
| Refunding Bond Principal |  | - |  | $(5,862)$ |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | $(5,862)$ |
| Bond premium |  | - |  | 5,874 |  | - |  | - |  | 562 |  | 6,436 |
| Transfers in |  | 22,387 |  | 2,555 |  | - |  | - |  | 24,663 |  | 49,605 |
| Transfers out |  | $(17,759)$ |  | - |  | (183) |  | - |  | $(17,484)$ |  | $(35,426)$ |
| Total Other Financing Sources and Uses |  | 4,628 |  | 2,567 |  | 47,967 |  | - |  | 20,411 |  | 75,573 |
| Net Change in Fund Balances |  | (408) |  | 14,739 |  | 24,128 |  |  |  | $(3,964)$ |  | $(225,322)$ |
| Fund Balances, October 1, |  | 66,306 |  | 41,237 |  | 63,407 |  |  |  | 139,408 |  | 570,175 |
| Fund Balances, September 30 | \$ | 65,898 | \$ | 55,976 | \$ | 87,535 | \$ |  | \$ | 135,444 | \$ | 344,853 |

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

## CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS <br> RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2019 (AMOUNTS EXPRESSED IN THOUSANDS)

## Net change in fund balance - total governmental funds

Amounts reported tor governmental activities in the statement of activities are difterent because:

Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities, the cost of those assets is capitalized and allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense. This is the amount of capital assets recorded in the current period.

Depreciation on capital assets is reported in the statement of activities but does not require the use of current financial resources. Therefore, depreciation is not reported as expenditures in the governmental funds.

Revenues in the statement of activities that do not provide current financial recources are not reported as revenues in the funds.

The issuance of long-term debt (e.g., bonds, leases) provides current financial resources to governmental funds, while repayment of the principal of long-term debt consumes the current financial resources of governmental funds. Neither transaction, however, has any effect on net position. Also, governmental funds report the effect of issuance costs, premiums, discounts, and similar items when debt is first issued, whereas these amounts are deferred and amortized in the statement of activities. This amount is the net effect of these differences in the treatment of long-term debt and related items.

| Issuance of certificates of obligation | $(5,370)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Repayment of general obligation debt | 40,945 |
| Proceeds from issuance of bonds | $(61,228)$ |
| Amortization of bond premium | 4,715 |
| Repayment of capital lease | $\mathbf{1 , 0 6 9}$ |

Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial resources and, therefore, are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds.

Compensated absences
Accrued interest expense
Estimated pollution remediation
Net pension liability
Net OPEB liability
Estimated salary expense
Internal service funds are used by management to charge the costs of fleet management and management information systems, property liability loss, health claims and offices services to individual funds. The net expenses of certain activities of internal service funds is reported within governmental activities.

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS
STATEMENT OF NET POSITION
PROPRIETARY FUNDS
AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2019
(AMOUNTS EXPRESSED IN THOUSANDS)
Business-type
Activities
Enterprise Funds

|  |  | Governmental <br> Activities- <br> Internal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water and <br> Sewer | Storm Water <br> Utility <br> Service <br> Funds |  |

ASSETS
Current Assets:

| Cash and cash-like investments | \$ | 32,062 | \$ | 2,142 | \$ | 34,204 | \$ | 21,523 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Receivables (net of allowances for uncollectibles): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade accounts |  | 11,974 |  | 1,333 |  | 13,307 |  | 21 |
| Accrued Interest |  | 53 |  | - |  | 53 |  | 103 |
| Unbilled trade accounts |  | 9,878 |  | 840 |  | 10,718 |  | - |
| Other |  | 3 |  | - |  | 3 |  | 207 |
| Inventory of supplies, at cost |  | 1,054 |  | - |  | 1,054 |  | - |
| Subtotal |  | 55,024 |  | 4,315 |  | 59,339 |  | 21,854 |
| Restricted Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bond contingency-cash and cash-like investments |  | 14,504 |  | 395 |  | 14,899 |  | - |
| Capital construction-cash and cash-like investments |  | 46,818 |  | 10,652 |  | 57,470 |  | - |
| Total Current Assets |  | 116,346 |  | 15,362 |  | 131,708 |  | 21,854 |
| Non-Current Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Restricted Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bond contingency- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investments |  | 13,855 |  | 839 |  | 14,694 |  | - |
| Capital construction- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investments |  | 99,488 |  | 22,635 |  | 122,123 |  | - |
| Escrow |  | 91,612 |  | - |  | 91,612 |  | - |
| Meter deposit investments |  | 6,685 |  | - |  | 6,685 |  | - |
| Capital Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land |  | 7,663 |  | 15,339 |  | 23,002 |  | - |
| Buildings and improvements |  | 4,337 |  | - |  | 4,337 |  | 467 |
| Water and sewer system |  | 995,118 |  | - |  | 995,118 |  | - |
| Machinery and equipment |  | 12,451 |  | 23 |  | 12,474 |  | 51,806 |
| Drainage system |  | - |  | 128,794 |  | 128,794 |  | - |
| Construction-in-progress |  | 70,489 |  | 27,514 |  | 98,003 |  | - |
| Accumulated depreciation |  | $(364,933)$ |  | $(46,715)$ |  | $(411,648)$ |  | $(37,062)$ |
| Total Capital Assets Net of Accumulated Depreciation |  | 725,125 |  | 124,955 |  | 850,080 |  | 15,211 |
| Total Noncurrent Assets |  | 936,765 |  | 148,429 |  | 1,085,194 |  | 15,211 |
| Total Assets |  | 1,053,111 |  | 163,791 |  | 1,216,902 |  | 37,065 |
| Deferred Outflows of Resources: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deferred charges on debt refunding |  | 1,698 |  | - |  | 1,698 |  | - |
| Deferred outflow - contributions pension |  | 1,445 |  | - |  | 1,445 |  | - |
| Deferred outflow - invest/actuarial pension |  | 7,024 |  | - |  | 7,024 |  | - |
| Deferred outflow - OPEB benefits/contrib |  | 260 |  | - |  | 260 |  | - |
| Deferred outflow - OPEB invest/actuarial |  | 387 |  | - |  | 387 |  | - |
| Total Assets and Deferred Outflows of Resources | \$ | 1,063,925 | \$ | 163,791 | \$ | 1,227,716 |  | 37,065 |

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.
(continued)

CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS
STATEMENT OF NET POSITION
PROPRIETARY FUNDS
AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2019
(AMOUNTS EXPRESSED IN THOUSANDS)

| Business-type |
| :---: |
| Activities |
| Enterprise Funds |


|  | Water and Sewer |  | Storm Water Utility |  | Total |  | Governmental ActivitiesInternal Service Funds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LIABILITIES $\quad$ - Sew - Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | \$ | 4,834 | \$ | 815 | \$ | 5,649 | \$ | 484 |
| Accrued compensated absences |  | 93 |  | 19 |  | 112 |  | - |
| Revenue bonds payable from unrestricted assets |  | 8,496 |  | 2,345 |  | 10,841 |  | - |
| Current Liabilities Payable From |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities |  | 2,426 |  | - |  | 2,426 |  | - |
| Retainage payable |  | 1,192 |  | 243 |  | 1,435 |  | - |
| Accrued interest |  | 2,556 |  | 452 |  | 3,008 |  | - |
| Estimated claims payable |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 3,438 |
| Revenue bonds payable from restricted assets |  | 14,504 |  | - |  | 14,504 |  | - |
| Meter deposits |  | 6,685 |  | - |  | 6,685 |  | - |
| Total Current Liabilities |  | 40,786 |  | 3,874 |  | 44,660 |  | 3,922 |
| Noncurrent Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated claims payable |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 5,284 |
| Rebatable arbitrage payable |  | 169 |  | - |  | 169 |  | - |
| Compensated absences |  | 2,096 |  | 262 |  | 2,358 |  | - |
| Revenue bonds payable from unrestricted assets |  | 314,873 |  | 34,625 |  | 349,498 |  | - |
| Net pension liability |  | 14,025 |  | - |  | 14,025 |  | - |
| OPEB liability |  | 7,797 |  | - |  | 7,797 |  | - |
| Total Noncurrent Liabilities |  | 338,960 |  | 34,887 |  | 373,847 |  | 5,284 |
| Total Liabilities |  | 379,746 |  | 38,761 |  | 418,507 |  | 9,206 |
| Deferred Inflows of Resources: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deferred inflow - OPEB benefits/contributions |  | 178 |  | - |  | 178 |  | - |
| Deferred inflow - investment/actuarial pension |  | 2,769 |  | - |  | 2,769 |  | - |
| Total Liabilities and Deferred Inflows of Resources |  | 382,693 |  | 38,761 |  | 421,454 |  | 9,206 |
| NET POSITION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net investment in capital assets |  | 532,367 |  | 121,029 |  | 653,396 |  | 15,211 |
| Restricted for debt service |  | 117,415 |  | 1,234 |  | 118,649 |  | - |
| Unrestricted |  | 31,450 |  | 2,767 |  | 34,217 |  | 12,648 |
| Total Net Position | \$ | 681,232 | \$ | 125,030 | \$ | 806,262 | \$ | 27,859 |

Reconciliation to government-wide statements of net position:
Adjustment to reflect the consolidation of internal service fund activities related to enterprise funds
Net position of business-type activities

|  | $(4,461)$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\$ \quad 801,801$ |  |

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES, AND CHANGES IN FUND NET POSITION
PROPRIETARY FUNDS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2019
(AMOUNTS EXPRESSED IN THOUSANDS)

|  | Business-type Activities Enterprise Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Water and Sewer |  | Storm Water Utility |  | Total |  | Governmental <br> ActivitiesInternal <br> Service Funds |  |
| Operating Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water sales | \$ | 75,933 | \$ | - | \$ | 75,933 | \$ | - |
| Sewer service |  | 70,492 |  | - |  | 70,492 |  |  |
| Storm water fee - commercial |  | - |  | 8,463 |  | 8,463 |  | - |
| Storm water fee - residential |  | - |  | 9,551 |  | 9,551 |  | - |
| Service charges |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 30,916 |
| Sundry |  | 8,764 |  | - |  | 8,764 |  | - |
| Total Operating Revenues |  | 155,189 |  | 18,014 |  | 173,203 |  | 30,916 |
| Operating Expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchase of water |  | 19,781 |  | - |  | 19,781 |  | - |
| Purchase of sewage treatment |  | 36,780 |  | - |  | 36,780 |  | - |
| Salaries and wages |  | 13,502 |  | 2,105 |  | 15,607 |  | 46 |
| Employees' retirement |  | 3,332 |  | 338 |  | 3,670 |  | 9 |
| Supplies |  | 3,338 |  | 61 |  | 3,399 |  | 2,106 |
| Maintenance and repairs |  | 4,198 |  | 349 |  | 4,547 |  | 298 |
| Utilities |  | 2,330 |  | 22 |  | 2,352 |  | 53 |
| Claims (net of adjustments) |  | 21 |  | - |  | 21 |  | 25,749 |
| Legal and professional |  | 347 |  | - |  | 347 |  | 193 |
| Depreciation |  | 19,052 |  | 2,477 |  | 21,529 |  | 4,113 |
| Miscellaneous services |  | 4,124 |  | 722 |  | 4,846 |  | 3,169 |
| Total Operating Expenses |  | 106,805 |  | 6,074 |  | 112,879 |  | 35,736 |
| Operating Income (Loss) |  | 48,384 |  | 11,940 |  | 60,324 |  | $(4,820)$ |
| Nonoperating Revenues (Expenses): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest revenue |  | 2,649 |  | 365 |  | 3,014 |  | 403 |
| Net increase in the fair value of investments |  | 1,424 |  | 238 |  | 1,662 |  | 68 |
| Miscellaneous Revenue |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 851 |
| Gain on sale of assets |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 249 |
| Interest expense and fiscal charges |  | $(6,203)$ |  | (337) |  | $(6,540)$ |  | - |
| Total Nonoperating Revenues (Expenses) |  | $(2,130)$ |  | 266 |  | $(1,864)$ |  | 1,571 |
| Income (loss) before transfers and contributions |  | 46,254 |  | 12,206 |  | 58,460 |  | $(3,249)$ |
| Contributions in aid of construction |  | 4,978 |  | - |  | 4,978 |  | - |
| Transfers in |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 5,300 |
| Transfers out |  | $(17,865)$ |  | (904) |  | $(18,769)$ |  | (710) |
| Change in Net Position |  | 33,367 |  | 11,302 |  | 44,669 |  | 1,341 |
| Total Net Position, October 1 |  | 647,865 |  | 113,728 |  | 761,593 |  | 26,518 |
| Total Net Position, September 30 | \$ | 681,232 | \$ | 125,030 | \$ | 806,262 | \$ | 27,859 |
| Net change in net position - total proprietary funds |  |  |  |  | \$ | 44,669 |  |  |
| Adjustment to reflect the consolidation of intern fund activities related to enterprise funds | se |  |  |  |  | (333) |  |  |
| Change in net position of business-type activities |  |  |  |  | \$ | 44,336 |  |  |

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

## PROPRIETARY FUNDS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2019
(AMOUNTS EXPRESSED IN THOUSANDS)

|  |  | Business-type Activities- |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Enterprise Funds |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

## CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY NET POSITION FIDUCIARY FUNDS <br> AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2019 <br> (AMOUNTS EXPRESSED IN THOUSANDS)

|  | Pension Trust Funds |  | Agency Funds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash-like investments | \$ | 113 | \$ | 7,492 |
| Investments |  |  |  |  |
| Investment retired city mgr 401(k) plan |  | 63 |  |  |
| Money market fund |  | 39,758 |  | - |
| Corporate bonds |  | 2,633 |  | - |
| Fixed income mutual bond funds |  | 19,606 |  |  |
| Common stock mutual bond funds |  | 110,904 |  | - |
| Balanced mutual funds |  | 45,329 |  | - |
| Participant borrowing |  | 5,232 |  | - |
| Self directed brokerage accounts |  | 8,185 |  |  |
| Total Investments |  | 231,710 |  |  |
| Total Assets | \$ | 231,823 | \$ | 7,492 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | \$ | - | \$ | 7,492 |
| Retired city mgr 401(k) plan payable |  | 63 | \$ | - |
| Total Liabilities | \$ | 63 | \$ | 7,492 |
| NET POSITION |  |  |  |  |
| Restricted for pensions | \$ | 231,760 |  |  |
| Assigned pension trust |  | - |  |  |
| Total Net Position | \$ | 231,760 |  |  |

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

# CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS <br> STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FIDUCIARY NET POSITION <br> FIDUCIARY FUNDS 

FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2019
(AMOUNTS EXPRESSED IN THOUSANDS)
PensionTrust
Funds
ADDITIONS
Employer contributions ..... \$ ..... 3,895
Employee contributions ..... 9,353
Net appreciation in fair value of investments ..... 9,880
Other additions ..... 199
Total Additions ..... 23,327
DEDUCTIONS
Benefits ..... 13,479
Plan administration ..... 198
Other deductions ..... 81
Total Deductions ..... 13,758
Increase in Net Position ..... 9,569
Net Position, October 1 ..... 222,191
Net Position, September 30 ..... 231,760

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.


## CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS

## NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## SEPTEMBER 30, 2019

## I. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

The City of Arlington, Texas (the "City") was incorporated April 19, 1884 and the city charter was adopted January 17, 1920, under the provisions of the Home Rule Amendment to the State Constitution. The City operates under a Council Manager form of government and provides the following services to the citizens of the City: public safety (police and fire), public works, public welfare, parks and recreation, public health, water and sewer utilities, and general administrative services.

The accompanying financial statements of the City include all funds and component units. The financial statements of the City have been prepared to conform to generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) as applicable to state and local governments. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard-setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles. The more significant accounting and reporting policies and practices used by the City are described below.

## A. Financial Statement Presentation

The basic financial statements are prepared in conformity with GAAP which requires the government-wide financial statements to be prepared using the accrual basis of accounting and the economic resources measurement focus. Government-wide financial statements do not provide information by fund, but distinguish between the City's governmental activities, business-type activities and activities of its discretely presented component units on the statement of net position and statement of activities. Significantly, the City's statement of net position includes both non-current assets and non-current liabilities of the City. In addition, the governmentwide statement of activities reflects depreciation expenses on the City's capital assets, including infrastructure.

In addition to the government-wide financial statements, the City has prepared fund financial statements, which use the modified accrual basis of accounting and the current financial resources measurement focus for governmental funds. The accrual basis of accounting and the economic resources measurement focus is utilized by proprietary fund types and the pension trust fund.

GAAP also requires supplementary information presented as Management's Discussion and Analysis which includes an analytical overview of the City's financial activities. A budgetary comparison schedule is presented that compares the originally adopted and final General Fund budget with actual results, and supplementary information for pension and other post-employment benefit retirement plans are provided, as required, in the Required Supplementary Information section.

## B. Reporting Entity

The City is governed by an elected mayor and eight-member council. As required by GAAP, these financial statements present the City (the primary government) and its component units, entities for which the government is considered to be financially accountable.

GASB Statement No. 61. The Financial Reporting Entity, defines component units as legally separate entities that meet any one of the following tests:

- The City appoints the voting majority of the board of the component unit and:
- Is able to impose its will on the component unit and/or
- Is in a relationship of financial benefit or burden with the component unit
- The component unit is both:
- fiscally dependent upon the City, and
- there is a financial benefit or burden.
- The financial statements of the City would be misleading if data from the component unit were omitted.

The financial statements of the component units may be discretely presented in a separate column from the primary government or blended with the financial statements of the primary government. The financial statements of the following component units have been "discretely presented" in the accompanying report because (i) their governing boards are not substantially the same as the governing body of the City, or (ii) the component unit provides services entirely or almost entirely to the citizenry and not the City.

## Arlington Housing Authority

The Arlington Housing Authority (the "AHA") provides low income housing assistance within the City. The AHA's board of commissioners is appointed by the Mayor. The AHA's management is designated by the City. The employees who are responsible for daily operations of the AHA are City employees. The City has financial accountability over the AHA's activities. The audited financial statements of the AHA are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Separate AHA component unit financial statements can be obtained from the AHA at 501 W . Sanford Street, Suite 20, Arlington, Texas 76010.

Arlington Convention and Visitors Bureau, Inc.
The Arlington Convention and Visitors Bureau, Inc. (the "ACVB") promotes tourism within the City. The ACVB's board of directors is appointed by the City Council. The primary source of revenue for the ACVB is a professional services support contract with the City; therefore, the City has financial accountability over the ACVB's activities. Separate ACVB component unit financial statements can be obtained from the ACVB at 1905 E. Randol Mill Road, Arlington, Texas 76011.

## Arlington Tomorrow Foundation

The Arlington Tomorrow Foundation (ATF) oversees an endowment fund with a corpus of $\$ 66.8$ million created by natural gas revenues to be used for the benefit of the Arlington community. The City Council acts as the board of directors. The ATF's management is designated by the City, and City employees are responsible for the daily activities of the ATF; accordingly, the City has financial accountability over ATF's activities. Separate ATF component unit financial statements are not prepared.

## Arlington Housing Finance Corporation

The Arlington Housing Finance Corporation (the "AHFC") provides financial assistance to low income, multi-family residences and single-family homebuyers within the City. The AHFC's board of directors is appointed by the City Council. The AHFC's management is designated by the City, and City employees are responsible for the daily activities of the AHFC; accordingly, the City has financial accountability over AHFC's activities. Separate AHFC component unit financial statements are not prepared.

## Arlington Convention Center Development Corporation

Arlington Convention Center Development Corporation (the "ACCDC") was formed to encourage and assist with planning, designing, constructing and maintaining a convention center complex, sports facility or hotel facility. The City Council serves as the board of directors. Separate ACCDC component unit financial statements are not prepared.

## Arlington Economic Development Corporation

The Arlington Economic Development Corporation was formed in 2015 for the purpose of undertaking projects that contribute to the quality of life and economic growth. The board of directors is made up of the mayor, three council members, and three citizens. Separate Arlington Economic Development Corporation component unit financial statements are not prepared.

## Arlington Tourism Public Improvement District

The Arlington Tourism Public Improvement District (ATPID) was created in fiscal year 2017 to improve convention and group hotel bookings and hotel room night consumption in the City. Funds are provided through a $2 \%$ tax applied to hotels with 75 or more rooms within the designated district within the City. A board consisting of participating ATPID hotel/motel members direct the use of all funds generated. The City authorized the creation of the district and must approve a budget annually. The board (ATPID) has contracted with the City to collect the funds, and with ACVB to administer the programs and use the funds. Separate component unit financial statements can be obtained from the ACVB at 1905 E. Randol Mill Road, Arlington, Texas 76011.

## C. Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements

The basic financial statements include both government-wide (based on the City as a whole) and fund financial statements. The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities) report information on all of the non-fiduciary activities of the primary government and its component units. For the most part, the effect of inter-fund activity has been removed from these statements. The exception is that inter-fund services provided and used are not eliminated. Governmental activities, which normally are supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues, are reported separately from business-type activities, which rely significantly on fees and charges for support. Likewise, the primary government is reported separately from certain legally separate component units for which the primary government is financially accountable.

The government-wide statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a functional category (Public Safety, Public Works, etc.) or segment are offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with specific function or segment. Program revenues include: 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function or segment, 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational requirements of a particular function or segment and 3) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as general revenues. All franchise fees are based on gross receipts and are included in general revenues.

The net cost by function is normally covered by general revenue (property and sales taxes, franchise fees, intergovernmental revenues, interest income, etc.).

Separate fund-based financial statements are provided for governmental funds, proprietary funds, and fiduciary funds, even though the latter are excluded from the government-wide financial statements. Major individual governmental funds and major individual enterprise funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements. The major governmental funds are the General Fund, Debt Service Fund, Street Capital Projects Fund, and Ballpark Venue Fund. The enterprise funds are made up of the Water and Sewer Utility and Storm Water Utility funds. GAAP sets forth minimum criteria (percentage of assets, liabilities, deferrals, revenues or expenditures/expenses of either fund category for the governmental and enterprise combined) for the determination of major funds. The nonmajor funds are combined in a column in the fund financial statements. The nonmajor funds are detailed in the combining section of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

Internal Service Funds, which provide services primarily to other funds of the government, are presented in summary form as part of the proprietary fund financial statements. Financial statements of internal service funds
are allocated between the governmental and business-type activities column when presented at the governmentwide level. To the extent possible, the costs of these services are reflected in the appropriate functional activity (Public Safety, Public Works, etc.).

The City's fiduciary funds are presented in the fund financial statements by type. Since by definition these assets are being held for the benefit of a third party (other local governments, individuals, pension participants, etc.) and cannot be used to address activities or obligations of the government, these funds are not incorporated into the government-wide statements.

The government-wide focus is more on the sustainability of the City as an entity and the change in aggregate financial position resulting from the activities of the fiscal period. The focus of the fund financial statements is on the major individual funds of the governmental and business-type categories, as well as the fiduciary funds, (by category). Each presentation provides valuable information that can be analyzed and compared to enhance the usefulness of the information.

## D. Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting, as are the proprietary funds and fiduciary fund statements. Agency funds, however, report only assets and liabilities and therefore have no measurement focus. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

Government fund level financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collected within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the government considers taxes and other revenue to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period, while grants typically are received within 90 days. Expenditures are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting, except debt service expenditures, as well as expenditures related to compensated absences and claims and judgments, which are recorded only when the liability has matured, and payment is due.

Ad valorem, franchise and sales tax revenues in the General Fund and ad valorem tax revenues recorded in the Debt Service Fund are recognized under the susceptible to accrual concept. The City has agreements with various entities in which a portion of the sales tax is rebated. The sales tax revenue is reported net of the rebate. Licenses and permits, charges for services, fines and forfeitures, contributions, and miscellaneous revenues are recorded as revenues when received in cash as the resulting receivable is not measurable. Investment earnings are recorded as earned since they are measurable and available. In applying the susceptible to accrual concept to intergovernmental revenues, the legal and contractual requirements of the numerous individual programs are used as guidance. Intergovernmental grant revenues are recognized when all eligibility requirements have been met. Additionally, funds received in advance for which all eligibility requirements have not been met are considered unearned revenue.

Business-type activities and all proprietary funds, and the pension trust fund are accounted for on a flow of economic resources measurement focus. With this measurement focus, all assets and liabilities associated with the operation of these funds are included on the balance sheet. Proprietary fund-type operating statements present increases (e.g., revenues) and decreases (e.g., expenses) in net total position. Proprietary funds distinguish operating revenues and expenses from non-operating items. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services and producing and delivering goods in connection with a proprietary fund's principal ongoing operations. The principal operating revenues of the City's water and sewer fund and storm water utility fund are charges to customers for sales and services. Operating expenses for the enterprise funds and internal service funds include the cost of sales and services, administrative expenses, and depreciation
on capital assets. All revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as non-operating revenues and expenses.

The following major funds are reported by the City:

## 1. Governmental Funds:

The focus of Governmental fund measurement (in the Fund Financial Statements) is upon determination of financial position and changes in financial position (sources, uses, and balances of financial resources) rather than upon net income. The following is a description of the Governmental Funds of the City:
a. General Fund accounts for several of the City's primary services (Public Safety, Public Works, Public Health, Public Welfare, Parks and Recreation, etc.) and is the primary operating unit of the City. Debt Service Fund accounts for the resources accumulated and payments made for principal and interest on long-term general obligation debt of governmental funds.
b. Street Capital Projects Fund accounts for the purchase of rights of way and land, construction of streets and related facilities, and to account for various other projects related to street construction. Funds are provided primarily through bond sales, interest earnings, and impact fees.
c. Ballpark Venue Fund accounts for the costs associated with the building of the new Ballpark Venue for the Texas Rangers Baseball Club.
d. Other Governmental Funds is a summarization of all of the nonmajor governmental funds, including capital project and special revenue funds.

## 2. Enterprise Funds:

The focus of Enterprise Fund measurement is upon determination of operating income, changes in net position, financial position, and cash flows, which is similar to businesses. The City's Enterprise Funds are the Water and Sewer Utility Fund and the Storm Water Utility Fund. The Water and Sewer Utility Fund accounts for the administration, operation and maintenance of the water and sewer utility system, as well as billing and collection activities. The Fund also accounts for the accumulation of resources for, and the payment of, long-term debt principal and interest for revenue bonds and obligations under capital leases when due throughout the year. All costs are financed through charges made to utility customers with rates reviewed regularly and adjusted if necessary, to ensure integrity of the Fund. The City's solid waste function is contracted out. The billings for this function are done by the City as a conduit for the contractor. The fee for this service is accounted for in the Water Utility Fund, while revenues from solid waste franchise fees and landfill royalties are accounted for in the General Fund. The Storm Water Utility Fund accounts for the design, construction and maintenance of the City's storm water drainage systems.

## 3. Other Fund Types:

The City additionally reports the following fund types:
a. Internal Service Funds are used to account for the financing of goods or services provided by one department or agency to other departments or agencies of the City, generally on a cost reimbursement basis. These services include fleet services; self-insurance; workers' compensation insurance; and group health insurance.
b. Agency Funds are used to account for assets held by the City in an agency capacity for payroll related benefits, escheat property for the state, and other assets held for individuals, local law enforcement agencies and developers.
c. Pension Trust Funds are used to account for the accumulation of resources to be used for the retirement and disability benefit payments to qualified City employees and for thrift savings plans for City employees.

## E. Cash, Cash-like Investments and Investments

To facilitate cash management, the operating cash of certain funds and component units is pooled into a cash management pool for the purpose of increasing income through combined investment activities. This cash and investment pool is available for use by all funds and component units except the Trust Funds and the AHA, which maintain separate investments. Each fund's portion of this pool is allocated through its cash and cash-like investment account on the balance sheet. In addition, certain other investments are separately held by several of the City's funds. Interest is allocated on a monthly basis to all funds in the investment pool based on their average balance at the end of each month. Interest earned by separate investments is credited to the respective funds.

For purposes of the statement of cash flows, the City considers all unrestricted investments included in its cash management pool to be cash-like investments as these balances are used essentially as demand deposit accounts by the individual funds. Investments included in the cash management pool which are restricted for use are reported as investments. Additionally, certificates of deposit and temporary investments held separately from the City's cash management pool and which are purchased with original maturities at the time of purchase of three months or less are reported as cash-like investments.

The City elects to exclude investments with an original maturity of one year or less from date of purchase from fair value reporting. These investments are reported at amortized cost.

Texas statutes authorize the City to invest in obligations of the U.S. Treasury, agencies and instrumentalities, fully collateralized certificates of deposit, repurchase agreements, commercial paper, and direct obligations of cities within the state of Texas. The City is also authorized to invest in direct obligations of the state of Texas or its agencies, obligations of states, agencies, counties, and other political subdivisions, money market mutual funds, prime bankers' acceptances, and reverse repurchase agreement.

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 31, investments with maturities greater than one year at time of purchase are recorded at fair value based on quoted market prices. Fair value is the amount at which a financial instrument could be exchanged in a transaction between willing parties.

The City implemented GASB Statement No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application in its September 30, 2016 financial statements. The City's investments were categorized as Level 2 only and there were no Level 1 or Level 3 investments.

## F. Inventories and Prepaid Items

Inventories are valued at cost. Cost is determined using the first-in, first-out method. Inventory consists of expendable supplies held for consumption. Inventories are capitalized under the consumption method, whereby expenditures are capitalized as inventory until used.

In governmental funds, prepaid items are accounted for using the purchases method. Under this method prepaid items are treated as expenditures when purchased rather than accounted for as an asset.

## G. Capital Assets

Capital assets purchased or acquired are carried at historical cost or estimated historical cost. Donated capital assets, donated works of art and similar items, and capital assets received in a services concession agreement are recorded at acquisition value. Public domain (infrastructure) capital assets consisting of roads, bridges, curbs and gutters, streets and sidewalks, drainage systems and lighting systems have been recorded at estimated historical cost. Capital assets are defined by the government as assets with an initial, individual cost of more than $\$ 5,000$ and an estimated useful life in excess of one year.

Major outlays for capital assets and improvements are capitalized as projects are completed. Interest incurred during the construction phase of capital assets of business-type activities is included as part of the capitalized value of the assets constructed. Net revenue bond interest cost incurred during construction periods is capitalized.

Capital assets of the primary government, as well as the component units, are depreciated using the straightline method over the following estimated useful lives:

| Assets | Years |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | Buildings |
| Improvements other than buildings | $20-40$ |
| Equipment | $4-10$ |
| Drainage improvements | $35-50$ |
| Meters | 10 |
| Streets | $20-25$ |
| Storm/sanitary sewer | 50 |
| System infrastructure | $20-50$ |

## H. Capitalization of Interest

The City capitalizes interest costs for business-type activities only, net of related interest earned, from the date of the borrowing until the projects acquired with those funds are ready for their intended use. During 2019, $\$ 830,175$ of interest cost, net of $\$ 1,129,012$ interest earned, was capitalized as capital assets in the Water and Sewer Fund as part of the costs of constructing various projects. Interest expensed (net of capitalized interest) and interest earned in fiscal 2019 for the Water and Sewer Fund amounted to approximately $\$ 6,203,000$ and $\$ 2,649,000$, respectively. In the Storm Water Utility Fund $\$ 646,295$ of interest cost, net of $\$ 248,865$ interest earned, was capitalized as capital assets as part of the costs of constructing various projects. Interest earned in fiscal 2019 for the Storm Water Utility Fund amounted to approximately $\$ 365,000$ and interest expensed (net of capitalized interest) was $\$ 337,000$.

## I. Arbitrage Liability

The City accrues a liability for an amount of arbitrage rebate resulting from investing low-yielding, tax-exempt bond proceeds in higher-yielding, taxable securities. Such investment activities can result in interest revenue exceeding interest cost. The arbitrage liability is payable to the federal government every five years; however, the City calculates and records its arbitrage liability annually. The arbitrage liability is recorded as a liability in the government-wide and proprietary fund types, as applicable, on the accrual basis and as a reduction of interest income on the invested debt proceeds.

## J. Pensions

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability, pension related deferred outflows and inflows of resources, and pension expense, City specific information about its Fiduciary Net Position in the Texas Municipal Retirement System (TMRS) and additions to/deductions from the City's Fiduciary Net Position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by TMRS. For this purpose, plan contributions are recognized in the period that compensation is reported for the employee, which is when contributions are legally due. Benefit payments and refunds are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value. Information regarding the City's Total Pension Liability is obtained from TMRS through a report prepared for the City by TMRS consulting actuary, Gabriel Roeder Smith \& Company, in compliance with Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 68, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions.

Beginning in fiscal year 2015, and in accordance with GASB 68 and 71, the City's net pension liability is recorded on the face of the financial statements. The City elected to allocate the net pension liability among governmental and business type activities based on measurement year contribution percentages. The City elected to absorb fund allocations of less than $1.25 \%$ of total contributions to Governmental activities. Component units' contributions total $0.96 \%$ of total contributions and are not allocated separately, due to the threshold percentage. The estimated amount of net pension liability included in governmental activities for component units is $\$ 2.071 \mathrm{M}$. Detailed pension information is discussed in footnote 6.

## K. Compensated Absences

The City's employees earn vacation leave for each month of work performed. The accrual rate increases with years of service up to a maximum of 20 days per year for 15 years of service and over. On specified anniversary dates, additional days are credited, up to certain amounts, according to length of service. Accrued vacation is paid to non-civil service employees upon termination of employment for employees who have completed at least six months of continuous service. Civil service employees lose any unused vacation.

The City's employees accumulate 1.25 days of sick leave per month with a maximum accrual of 150 days ( 90 for civil service). The full amount of accumulated sick pay up to 120 days maximum is paid if termination is through retirement or death.

Accumulated vacation and sick leave is accrued when incurred in the government-wide and proprietary fund financial statements. A liability for these amounts is reported in governmental funds only if they have matured, for example, as a result of employee resignations and retirements. The General Fund is usually used to liquidate the liability for governmental activities' compensated absences.

## L. Long-term Obligations

In the government-wide financial statements, and proprietary fund types in the fund financial statements, longterm debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities in the applicable governmental activities, business-type activities, or proprietary fund type statement of net position. Bond premiums and discounts are deferred and amortized over the life of the bonds using the effective interest method. Bonds payable are reported net of the applicable bond premium or discount.

In the fund financial statements, governmental fund types recognize bond premiums and discounts, as well as bond issuance costs, as other financing sources or uses or expenditures at the time of the debt issuance. The face amount of debt issued is reported as other financing sources. Premiums received on debt issuances are reported as other financing sources while discounts on debt issuances are reported as other financing uses. Issuance costs, whether or not withheld from the actual debt proceeds received, are reported as debt service expenditures.

## M. Nature and Purpose of Classifications of Fund Equity

Governmental Funds fund balances classified as restricted are balances with constraints placed on the use of resources by creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments. Fund balances classified as committed can only be used for specific purposes pursuant to constraints imposed by the City Council through an ordinance. Assigned fund balances are constrained by the intent to be used for specific purposes, but do not meet the criteria to be classified as restricted or committed. The City Council has, by resolution 11-361 dated September 27, 2011 adopting the fund balance policy, authorized the City Manager or his designee to assign fund balance to a specific purpose.

The City may fund outlays for a particular purpose from both restricted and unrestricted (the total of committed, assigned, and unassigned) fund balance. In order to calculate the amounts reported as restricted, committed, assigned, and unassigned fund balance in the governmental fund financial statements, a flow assumption must be made about the order in which resources are considered to be applied. It is the City's policy to consider restricted
fund balance to have been depleted before using any components of unrestricted fund balance. Further, when components of unrestricted fund balance can be used for the same purpose, committed fund balance is depleted first, followed by assigned fund balance. Unassigned fund balance is applied last.

## N. Minimum Fund Balance Policy

It is the desire of the City to maintain adequate General Fund balance to maintain liquidity and in anticipation of economic downturns or natural disasters. The City Council has adopted a financial standard to maintain a General Fund working capital reserve at a minimum level of $8.33 \%\left(1 / 12^{\text {th }}\right)$ of annual General Fund expenditures. Total General Fund balances shall be maintained at a minimum of $15 \%$ of annual General Fund expenditures.

## O. Net Position

Net position represents the difference between assets and deferred outflows of resources and liabilities and deferred inflows of resources. Net position invested in net capital assets consists of capital assets net of accumulated depreciation and the outstanding balances of any borrowing spent for the acquisition, construction or improvements of those assets. Net position is reported as restricted when there are limitations imposed on their use either through enabling legislation adopted by the City or through external restrictions imposed by creditors, grantors or laws or regulations of other governments. When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for the same purpose, it is the City's policy to consider restricted net position to be depleted before unrestricted net position is applied.

## P. Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources

In addition to assets, the statement of financial position and/or balance sheet will sometimes report a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred outflows of resources, represents a consumption of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an outflow of resources (expense/expenditure) until then. The City has two items that qualify for reporting in this category. One is the deferred charge on refunding results from the difference in the carrying value of refunded debt and its reacquisition price. This amount is deferred and amortized over the shorter of the life of the refunded and refunding debt. The other is deferred pension related items reported in the government-wide statement of net position.

In addition to liabilities, the statement of financial position and/or balance sheet will sometimes report a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred inflows of resources, represents an acquisition of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until that time. The City has two types of items that qualify for reporting in this category. At the governmental fund level, revenues that have been billed but not yet collected are reported as unavailable revenues. These amounts are deferred and recognized as an inflow of resources in the period that the amounts become available. Deferred pension related items and lease and settlement agreements are reported in the government wide statement of net position.

## Q. New Accounting Pronouncements

During fiscal year 2019, the City adopted the following Governmental Accounting Standards Board ("GASB") Statements:

Statement No. 83, Certain Asset Retirement Obligations. This statement addresses accounting and financial reporting for certain asset retirement obligations (AROs), which is a legally enforceable liability associated with the retirement of a tangible capital asset. This statement establishes criteria for determining the timing and pattern of recognition of a liability and a corresponding deferred outflow of resources of AROs.

Statement No. 88, Certain Disclosures Related to Debt, including Direct Borrowings and Direct Placements. The primary objective of this statement is to improve the information that is disclosed in the notes to government financial statements related to debt.

The implementation of Statement No. 68 for the Part-Time Seasonal and Temporary Deferred Income Plan resulted in restatement of beginning net position for the elimination of the previously reported net pension liability, the recording of the beginning net pension liability and beginning deferred outflow for contributions made after the measurement date. Prospectively applying this change results in the adjustment below (amounts in thousands):

|  | Governmental <br> Activities | Business-type Activities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net position at September 30, 2018, as previously reported | 1,173,290 | 757,465 |
| Elimination of net pension asset as of September 31, 2018 | 163 | - |
| Deferral for outflow-investment as of September 31, 2018 | 93 | - |
| Deferral for pension contributions made after the measurement date | 20 | - |
| Net position at September 30, 2018, as restated | 1,173,566 | 757,465 |

The GASB has issued the following statements which will be effective in future years as described below:
Statement No. 84, Fiduciary Activities, which is effective for the City beginning in fiscal year 2020. This statement improves guidance regarding the identification of fiduciary activities for accounting and financial reporting purposes and how those activities should be reported.

Statement No. 87, Leases, which is effective for the City beginning in fiscal year 2021. The objective of this statement is to improve accounting and financial reporting for leases; enhancing the comparability of financial statements between governments; and also enhancing the relevance, reliability and consistency of information about the leasing activities of governments.

Statement No. 89, Accounting for Interest Cost Incurred before the End of a Construction Period, which is effective for the City beginning in fiscal year 2021. The objectives of this statement are (1) to enhance the relevance and comparability of information about capital assets and the cost of borrowing for a reporting period and (2) to simplify accounting for interest cost incurred before the end of a construction period.

Statement No. 90, Majority Equity Interests, which is effective for the City beginning in fiscal year 2021. The objectives of this statement are to improve the consistency and comparability of reporting a government's majority equity interest in a legally separate organization and to improve the relevance of financial statement information for certain component units.

Statement No. 91, Conduit Debt Obligations, which is effective for the City beginning in fiscal year 2022. The primary objectives of this statement are to provide a single method of reporting conduit debt obligations by issuers and eliminate diversity in in practice associated with (1) commitments extended by issuers, (2) arrangements associated with conduit debt obligations, and (3) related note disclosures.

The City has not yet determined the impact of implementing the above new pronouncements.

## II. STEWARDSHIP, COMPLIANCE, AND ACCOUNTABILITY

## A. Budgetary Data

The City Council adopts an annual legal budget, which covers the General Fund, the Debt Service Fund, the Enterprise Funds, and certain Special Revenue Funds (Park Performance, Convention and Event Services and Street Maintenance). All unencumbered appropriations lapse at fiscal year-end, except certain of those of the Special Revenue Funds. The budgets for the General Fund, the Debt Service Fund, and certain Special Revenue Funds are prepared on the modified accrual basis except for encumbrances which are treated as expenditures on the budgetary basis and interdepartmental expenses which are eliminated. The budgets for the Enterprise Funds are prepared on the modified accrual basis and include encumbrances, debt principal retirements and capital outlays as expenses. Additionally, the Enterprise Funds do not include depreciation as a budgetary expense. The schedules comparing budget and actual amounts for these governmental funds include adjustments to those budgetary basis for the differences noted above and for certain other revenue and expenditure items which are reported in the City's budget differently than they are reported for accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Budgetary level of control is exercised at the fund level. The City Manager is authorized to transfer budgeted amounts within and among departments; however, any revisions that alter total expenditures of the General Fund, Debt Service Fund, and certain Special Revenue funds must be approved by the City Council. During Fiscal Year 2019, there was one operating budget amendment.

The Budgetary Comparison Schedule presents a comparison of budgetary data to actual results of operations for the General Fund, for which an annual operating budget is legally adopted. This fund utilizes the same basis of accounting for both budgetary purposes and actual results, with the following exceptions:

Certain interdepartmental revenues and expenses are included in budgetary basis revenues and expenditures but are eliminated from actual revenues and expenditures.

General Fund encumbrances are added to the actual expenditures for budgetary comparison. Budgetary data for the project-length Special Revenue Funds and Capital Projects Funds have not been presented. Receipts of revenues cannot be estimated for all Special Revenue Funds and are not budgeted. Expenditures are limited to total revenues over the life of the funds. Capital Projects Funds are budgeted over the life of the respective project and are reviewed and approved by the City Council in an annual Capital Improvements Program plan.

The City utilizes encumbrance accounting to ensure appropriated funds are adequately committed and remaining unspent balances are carried forward into the next fiscal year. Encumbrances are created for purchase order, grant match requirements, and capital project funding. These amounts are reported in fund balance as follows (in thousands):


## B. Excess of expenditures over appropriations

For the year ended September 30, 2019, there were no expenditures exceeding budget in the aggregate.

## C. Deficit fund equity

There were no funds with a deficit fund balance in the year ended September 30, 2019.

## III. DETAILED NOTES ON ALL FUNDS

## 1. CASH, CASH-LIKE INVESTMENTS AND INVESTMENTS

Deposits - At September 30, 2019, the carrying amount of the City's demand deposits was $\$ 426,000$ (bank balance, $\$ 4,024,000$ ). The balance in cash on hand was $\$ 34,000$ at year end.

Investments - State statutes, the City's Investment Policy and the City's Depository Agreement govern the investments of the City. The City is authorized to invest in United States Treasuries, its agencies or instrumentalities, other obligations, the principal and interest of which are unconditionally guaranteed or insured by or backed by the full faith and credit of the United States or its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations of Texas and its agencies, counties and cities, and other political subdivisions rated not less than AA, obligations of other states, its agencies, counties, cities, and other political subdivisions rated not less than AA, fully insured or collateralized certificates of deposit, fully collateralized repurchase agreements, Guaranteed Investment Contracts, commercial paper rated A-1+, P-1 with an underlying long-term rating of AA or better, government pools and money market funds consisting of any of these securities listed. Major provisions of the City's investment policy include the following: depositories must be FDIC-insured institutions, depositories must fully insure or collateralize all deposits, and investments must be purchased in the name of the City and be delivered to the City's agent for safekeeping. For additional information see the City of Arlington Investment Policy at www.arlingtontx.gov. The City elects to exclude investments with an original maturity of one year or less from date of purchase from fair value reporting. These investments are reported at amortized cost. The City does not invest in derivatives.

Cash, Cash-like investments and investments include: (amounts in thousands) Governmental Activities \$364,748, Business-type Activities \$250,074, and Agency and Pension Trust Funds \$7,492.

As of September 30, 2019, the City had the following investments (amounts in thousands):


The City has investments in government pools at September 30, 2019 totaling $\$ 167,826$ (amount in thousands) which are recorded at amortized cost.

Interest Rate Risk. In accordance with its investment policy, the City manages its exposure to declines in fair values by limiting the maximum maturity of any single investment and the weighted average maturity of combined investments by fund groups. The above table lists Local Gov't Investment Pools and Money Market Funds with a 1 day weighted average maturity as the City only invests in government pools and funds that maintain a stable \$1 NAV (net asset value). While the interest income derived from these particular types of investments fluctuate based on market movements and the characteristics of the pools and funds, the value of the principal is not affected.

The following table lists the fund groups authorized in the City's investment policy and the maximum maturity and maximum weighted average maturity ("WAM"):

| Fund | Maximum Maturity | Maximum WAM |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| General Operating | 3 Years | 18 Months |
| Capital Project | 3 Years | 18 Months |
| Working Capital Reserve | 5 Years | 4 Years |
| Dallas Cowboy Complex Development Debt <br> Service Reserve | 10 Years | 10 Years |
| Debt Service Sinking \& Debt Service | 10 Years | 10 Years |
| Closure/Post-closure Trust Fund | 10 Years | 8 Years |

Credit Risk. In accordance with its investment policy, the City minimizes credit risk by limiting investments to the safest type of investments.

Concentration of Credit Risk. The City's investment policy places the following limits on the amount the City may invest in any one issuer. All securities are rated AA or better.

| Security | $\frac{\% \text { of Portfolio }}{}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| United States Treasury | $100 \%$ of portfolio per Issuer |
| U.S. Agencies and Instrumentalities | $100 \%$ of portfolio $35 \%$ per Issuer |
| Other Obligations guaranteed by U.S. | $100 \%$ of portfolio $10 \%$ per Issuer |
| Obligations of Texas and its subdivisions | $10 \%$ of portfolio $2 \%$ per Issuer |
| Obligations of other states and its subdivisions | $10 \%$ of portfolio $2 \%$ per Issuer |
| Certificates of Deposit | $50 \%$ of portfolio $20 \%$ per Issuer |
| Repurchase Agreements | $40 \%$ of portfolio $15 \%$ per counterparty |
| Guaranteed Investment Contract | $100 \%$ of bond funds |
| Commercial Paper | $20 \%$ of portfolio $5 \%$ per Issuer |
| Money Market Mutual Fund | $100 \%$ of portfolio $15 \%$ per MMF |
| Local Government Investment Pools | $100 \%$ of portfolio $25 \%$ per pool |

Custodial Credit Risk. State statutes require that all City deposits in financial institutions be fully insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), collateralized by U. S. Government obligations or obligations of Texas and its agencies that have a market value of not less than the principal amount of the deposits, or by a Letter of Credit from a Federal Agency.

The City's investments in local government investment pools include investments in TexPool Prime, TexPool, TexasDaily, TexStar and TexasClass. These are public funds investment pools operating as an SEC 2a-7 like pool in full compliance with the Public Funds Investment Act and are rated as AAA money market funds by Standard \& Poor's. The City has Local Government Investment Pools of $\$ 167,826$ and Money Market Funds of $\$ 63,833$ (amounts in thousands).

The City categorizes its fair value measurements within the fair value hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The hierarchy is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the asset. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. The City has the following recurring fair value measurements as of September 30, 2019 (amounts in thousands):


Debt securities classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy are valued by Interactive Data Corp (IDC) using a matrix pricing technique. Matrix pricing is used to value securities based on the securities' relationship to benchmark quoted prices.

## 2. PROPERTY TAXES

Property Taxes are levied on October 1 on the assessed value listed as of the prior January 1 and are due and payable at that time. All unpaid taxes attach as a lien on property as of January 1 and become enforceable February 1. Penalties and interest are charged at 7 percent on delinquent taxes beginning February 1 and increase each month to 18 percent on July 1.

Appraised values are established by the Tarrant Appraisal District at 100 percent of estimated market value and certified by the Chief Appraiser. The total market value for FY19 was $\$ 41,134,314,000$ which encompasses all properties in Arlington, including real estate, personal, and mineral properties prior to any exemptions or abatements. The assessed value for the tax roll as of September 1, 2018 upon which the original FY19 levy was based, was \$23,503,192,000.

City property tax revenues are recorded as receivables and unearned revenues at the time the tax levy is billed. Current year revenues recognized are those collected within the current period, or soon enough thereafter to pay current liabilities, generally within sixty days after year-end. An allowance is provided for delinquent property taxes not expected to be collected in the future.

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2019, the City had a tax rate of $\$ 0.6348$ ( $\$ 0.4428$ for general government and $\$ 0.1920$ for debt service) per $\$ 100$ assessed valuation with a tax margin of $\$ 1.8652$ per $\$ 100$ valuation based upon a maximum ad valorem tax of $\$ 2.50$ per $\$ 100$ valuation permitted by Article XI, Section 5 , of the State of Texas Constitution. Additional revenues up to approximately $\$ 438,381,537$ could be raised per year before the limit is reached, based on the current year's appraised net taxable value of approximately $\$ 23,503,192,000$.

In Texas, county-wide central appraisal districts are required to assess all property within the appraisal district on the basis of 100 percent of its appraised value and are prohibited from applying any assessment ratios. The value of property within the appraisal district must be reviewed every three years. The City may challenge appraised values established by the appraisal district through various appeals, and, if necessary, legal action. Under this system, the City sets tax rates on City property. However, if the effective tax rate, excluding tax rates for bonds and other contractual obligations, adjusted for new improvements, exceeds the rate for the previous year by more
than 8 percent, qualified voters of the City may petition for an election to determine whether to limit the tax rate to no more than 8 percent above the tax rate of the previous year.

## 3. VENUE DEVELOPMENT PROJECT

## Overview

The City is the home to both AT\&T Stadium, the home of the Dallas Cowboys, and Globe Life Park, the home of the Texas Rangers. The City financed a portion of the construction of both venues through the issuance of special tax revenue bonds.

## The 2004 Venue Election and the Cowboys Project

At an election held in the City, on November 2, 2004 pursuant to Chapter 334, Texas Local Government Code, as amended, a majority of the voters voting at said election voted in favor of a proposition authorizing the City to (i) establish and finance the Dallas Cowboys Complex (the "Cowboys Project") as a sports and community venue project of the type described and defined in the Act, (ii) impose a sales and use tax within the City at a rate of onehalf of one percent ( $0.5 \%$ ) (the "Sales Tax"), (iii) impose a tax at a maximum rate of five percent ( $5 \%$ ) on the gross rental receipts from the short-term rental in the City of a motor vehicle (the "Motor Vehicle Rental Tax"), (iv) impose a tax on the occupancy of a room in a hotel located within the City, at a maximum rate of two percent (2\%) of the price paid for such room (the "Hotel Occupancy Tax" and together with the Sales Tax and the Motor Vehicle Rental Tax, the "Pledged Special Taxes" ), (v) impose an admissions tax on each ticket sold as admission to an event held at the Cowboys Project, at a rate not to exceed ten percent (10\%) of the price of the ticket sold as admission (the "Cowboys Admissions Tax"), and (vi) to impose a tax, not to exceed three dollars (\$3.00) per vehicle, on each parked motor vehicle parking in a facility of the Cowboys Project (the "Cowboys Parking Tax") for the purpose of financing the Cowboys Project. The Dallas Cowboys are based in the City of Frisco, Texas, and play their home games at AT\&T Stadium located in the City. The Dallas Cowboys are a professional football team owned by the Dallas Cowboys Football Club, Ltd., a Texas limited partnership (the "Cowboys' Owner"), operating under a franchise issued by the National Football League (the "NFL") in 1960.

The City financed a portion of AT\&T Stadium through the issuance of $\$ 297,990,000$ of special tax revenue bonds in 2005 (the "Series 2005 Bonds"). The Series 2005 Bonds were refinanced by the issuance of the City's $\$ 112,185,000$ Special Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2008 (the "Series 2008 Bonds") and the City's $\$ 62,820,000$ Special Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2009 (the "Series 2009 Bonds" and together with the Series 2008 Bonds, the "Prior Obligations").

Stadium Lease - As part of the Funding Agreement, the City entered into a lease agreement with the Cowboys Stadium, L.P. (the "Tenant") for lease of the Complex. The Lease Agreement calls for an initial term of 30 years. Monthly lease payments of $\$ 166,666.67$ began in June, 2009 for an annualized rental rate of $\$ 2$ million per year. The Lease Agreement contains several renewal options at guaranteed annual rental payments of $\$ 1$ million per year for the first 10 years and $\$ 1.25$ million per year for all remaining renewals. The Lease Agreement also provides the Tenant with an option to purchase the Complex from the City at the end of the initial lease term and each renewal option thereafter. Under the lease, the Tenant pays for all costs of operation and maintenance of the Complex. The tenant will also make separate annual payments to the City, beginning during the construction period, equal to five percent of the net naming rights revenue, if any, received by the Tenant, capped at \$500 thousand per year. The revenue for this fiscal year was $\$ 500,000$. The lease is accounted for as an operating lease. The cost of the stadium is $\$ 1,109,951,954$ with accumulated depreciation of $\$ 242,696,664.33$.

Conduit Debt - In 2006, $\$ 147,865,000$ Cowboy Complex Admissions and Parking Taxes Revenue Bonds, Taxable Series 2006 (the "Cowboys Admission and Parking Taxes Revenue Bonds") with a pledge of a $10 \%$ admissions tax and a $\$ 3$ parking tax for events held at the Complex, with additional security provided by a Guaranty Agreement from The Cowboys Stadium, L.P., were issued to fund a portion of the Dallas Cowboy's funding for the Complex. The Cowboys Admission and Parking Taxes Revenue Bonds are not payable from or secured by any money raised or to be raised from property taxes or any other of the City's revenue sources and accordingly have not been reported as a liability in the City's financial statements but are disclosed here as conduit debt. At September 30, 2019, outstanding conduit debt was $\$ 130,985,000$.

Franchise - The City and the Dallas Cowboys Football Club, LTD. entered into a franchise agreement that requires the Dallas Cowboys NFL football franchise to remain in Arlington and to play 7 of 8 of the team's regular season home games in the Complex for a minimum of 30 years after the Complex opens. If the lease renewal options are exercised, the Cowboys' obligation to stay in Arlington is extended for the renewal term.

In July 2013, an agreement was reached between the Cowboys and AT\&T for naming rights to the stadium. The City receives $5 \%$ of the revenue as additional rent from the naming rights deal, up to $\$ 500,000$ annually.

## The 2016 Venue Election and the Rangers Project

At an election held in the City on November 8, 2016, pursuant to Chapter 334, Texas Local Government Code, as amended, a majority of the voters of the City voting at said election voted in favor of a proposition authorizing the City to provide for the planning, acquisition, establishment, development, construction and financing of the Texas Rangers Complex Development Project (the "Rangers Project" and together with the Cowboys Project, the "Arlington Venue Projects") within the City and (i) to impose a parking tax, at a rate not to exceed three dollars ( $\$ 3.00$ ) on each parked motor vehicle parking in a parking facility of the Rangers Project (the "Rangers Parking Tax"); (ii) to impose an admissions tax on each ticket sold as admission to an event held at the Rangers Project, at a rate not to exceed ten percent (10\%) of the price of the ticket sold as admission (the "Rangers Admissions Tax"); (iii) to authorize the use of the existing hotel occupancy tax, at a rate not to exceed two percent (2\%) of the price paid for such room; (iv) to authorize the use of the existing sales tax within the City at a rate of one-half of one percent ( $0.5 \%$ ); and ( $v$ ) to authorize the use of the existing motor vehicle rental tax at a maximum rate of five percent (5\%) for the purpose of financing the Rangers Project. The Texas Rangers are a professional baseball team operating under and pursuant to the rules and regulations of Major League Baseball. The Texas Rangers are based in the City and currently play their home games at Globe Life Park located in the City. The City's prior financing related to Globe Life Park is no longer outstanding and has been paid in full. Construction of the Rangers Project began in 2018, and the Texas Rangers expect to play in a new ballpark starting in the 2020 baseball season. The Rangers Project will be a flexible, retractable roof, multi-purpose, multifunctional ballpark and sports, special events, concert and community and entertainment venue project designed to seat approximately 40,000 spectators to be used for the home games for the Texas Rangers and which may also be used for one or more additional professional or amateur sporting events, and which may also contain additional retail, restaurant and food establishments, team training facilities and museums, and which also includes water, sewer, drainage and road improvements necessary to service the Rangers Ballpark, as well as parking facilities adjacent to the Rangers Ballpark.

Stadium Lease - As part of the Funding Agreement, the City entered into a lease agreement with the Rangers Stadium Company LLC. (the "Tenant") for lease of the Rangers Complex. The Lease Agreement calls for an initial term from commencing upon occupation through January 1, 2054. Monthly lease payments of $\$ 166,666.67$ began upon occupation for an annualized rental rate of $\$ 2$ million per year. The Lease Agreement contains several renewal options at guaranteed annual rental payments of $\$ 1$ million per year for two successive renewal periods of five years each. The Lease Agreement also provides the Tenant with an option to purchase the Complex from the City at the end of the initial lease term and each renewal option thereafter. Under the lease, the Tenant pays for all costs of operation and maintenance of the Complex.

Franchise - The City and Rangers Baseball LLC. entered into a non-relocation agreement that requires the Texas Rangers franchise to remain in Arlington and to play the team's regular season home games in the existing Ballpark during the construction of the new Ballpark. Once the new Ballpark is operational, the team is to remain in Arlington and to play the team's regular season home games through January 1, 2054. If the lease renewal options are exercised, the Rangers' obligation to stay in Arlington is extended for the renewal term.

## Venue Project Debt

In 2018, the City issued an additional $\$ 266,080,000$ Senior Lien Special Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2018A, $\$ 28,250,000$ Senior Lien Special Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2018B, and $\$ 171,095,000$ Subordinate Lien Special Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2018C for the City's portion of the Ballpark Venue's construction.

## 4. RECEIVABLES

Receivables at September 30, 2019 for the government's individual major and nonmajor funds in the aggregate, including the applicable allowances for uncollectible accounts, consist of the following (amounts expressed in thousands):


## 5. CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital asset activity for the year ended September 30, 2019 was as follows (in thousands):

| (Amounts expressedin thousands) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Balance at | Balance at |
| Beginning | End |
| Of Year | Additions Retirements |
| Of Year |  |

## Governmental activities:

Capital assets, not being depreciated:
Land
Construction in progress-other
Total capital assets, not being depreciated

| $\$$ | 229,614 | $\$ 14,354$ | $\$$ | - | $\$$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 399,759 | 724,394 | $(101,721)$ | $1,022,432$ |  |
|  | 629,373 | 738,748 | $(101,721)$ | $1,266,400$ |  |

Capital assets, being depreciated:

| Buildings and improvements | $1,341,820$ | 5,565 | - | $1,347,385$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equipment | 127,059 | 10,098 | $(3,253)$ | 133,904 |
| Infrastructure | 935,094 | 77,647 | - | $1,012,741$ |
| Total capital assets, being depreciated | $2,403,973$ | 93,310 | $(3,253)$ | $2,494,030$ |

Less a ccumulated depreciation for:

| Buildings and improvements | 374,519 | 32,850 | - | 407,369 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equipment | 99,811 | 9,954 | $(3,203)$ | 106,562 |  |
| Infrastructure | 695,955 | 15,885 | - | 711,840 |  |
| Total a ccumulated depreciation | $1,170,285$ | 58,689 | $(3,203)$ | $1,225,771$ |  |
| Total capital assets, being depreciated, net | $1,233,688$ | 34,621 | $(50)$ | $1,268,259$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Governmental activities capital assets, net | $\$ 1,863,061$ | $\$ 773,369$ | $\$(101,771)$ | $\$$ | $2,534,659$ |

## Business-type activities:

Capital assets, not being depreciated:
Land

| Balance at <br> Beginning <br> Of Year | $\underline{\text { Additions }}$ | Balance at <br> End |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{\text { Retirements }}$ | $\underline{\text { Of Year }}$ |  |

Construction in progress
Total capital assets, not being depreciated

| \$ | 22,657 | $\$$ | 345 | \$ | - |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | \$ | 23,002 |  |  |  |
| 121,099 | 52,123 | $(75,219)$ | 98,003 |  |  |
| 143,756 | 52,468 | $(75,219)$ | 121,005 |  |  |

Capital assets, being depreciated:
Buildings and improvements

| 2,834 | 1,503 | - | 4,337 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 116,494 | 12,300 | - | 128,794 |
| 929,004 | 66,114 | - | 995,118 |
| 12,469 | 5 | - | 12,474 |
| $1,060,801$ | 79,922 | - | $1,140,723$ |

Less accumulated depreciation for:
Buildings and improvements
Drainage System
Water and sewer system
Machinery and equipment
Total accumulated depreciation
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net

Business-type activities capital assets, net

| 1,640 | 72 | - | 1,712 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 44,219 | 2,473 | - | 46,692 |
| 332,269 | 18,831 | - | 351,100 |
| 11,991 | 153 | - | 12,144 |
| 390,119 | 21,529 | - | 411,648 |
| 670,682 | 58,393 | - | 729,075 |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\$$ | 814,438 | $\$$ | 110,861 |

Depreciation expense was charged to functions/programs of the primary government as follows (in thousands):

| Governmental activities: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| General Government | \$ 25,523 |
| Public Safety | 3,895 |
| Parks and recreation | 6,112 |
| Public works | 19,046 |
| Capital assets held by the government's internal service funds are charged to the various functions based on their usage of the assets | 4,113 |
| Total depreciation expense - governmental activities | \$58,689 |
| Business-type activities: |  |
| Storm Water Utility | 2,477 |
| Water and sewer | \$ 19,052 |
| Total depreciation expense - business-type activities | \$ 21,529 |

Discretely presented component units:

|  | (amounts expressed in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Balance at |  | Transfer and |  | Transfers and |  | Balance at End |  |
|  |  | ng of |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Year |  | Additions |  | Retirements |  | of Year |  |
| Arlington Housing Authority, Inc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital assets, being depreciated: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buildings and improvements | \$ | 563 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 563 |
| Machinery and equipment |  | 360 |  | 22 |  | - |  | 382 |
| Totla capital assets, being depreciated |  | 923 |  | 22 |  | - |  | 945 |
| Less accumulated depreciation for: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buildings and improvements |  | (336) |  | (15) |  |  |  | (351) |
| Machinery and equipment |  | (360) |  | (10) |  |  |  | (370) |
| Total accumulated depreciation |  | (696) |  | (25) |  | - |  | (721) |
| Arlington Housing Authority, Inc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital assets, net | \$ | 227 | \$ | (3) | \$ | - | \$ | 224 |

## Arlington Convention and Visitors Bureau, Inc.

Capital asset, being depreciated:
Machinery and equipment
Total capital assets, being depreciated


Less accumulated depreciation for:
Machinery and equipment
Total accumulated depreciation

| $\$$ | 1,061 | $\$$ | 21 | $\$$ | $(343)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1,061 | 21 | $(343)$ | 739 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $(847)$ | $(74)$ | 343 | $(578)$ |  |
|  | $(847)$ | $(74)$ | 343 | $(578)$ |  |

Arlington Convention and Visitors Bureau, Inc.

| $\$$ | 214 | $\$$ | $(53)$ | $\$$ | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## 6. PENSION AND EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

## Texas Municipal Retirement System

## A. Plan Description

The City provides pension benefits for all its full-time employees through a nontraditional, joint contributory, hybrid defined benefit plan in the state-wide Texas Municipal Retirement System (TMRS), one of 887 administered by TMRS, an agent, multiple-employer public employee retirement system. TMRS is an agency created by the State of Texas and administered in accordance with the TMRS Act, Subtitle G, Title 8, Texas Government Code (the TMRS Act) as an agent multiple-employer retirement system for municipal employees in the State of Texas. The TMRS Act places the general administration and management of the System with a six-member Board of Trustees. Although the Governor, with the advice and consent of the Senate, appoints the Board, TMRS is not fiscally dependent on the State of Texas. TMRS's defined benefit pension plan is a tax-qualified plan under Section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code. TMRS issues a publicly available comprehensive annual financial report (CAFR) that can be obtained at www.tmrs.com.

All eligible employees of the city are required to participate in TMRS.

## B. Benefits Provided

TMRS provides retirement, disability, and death benefits. Benefit provisions are adopted by the governing body of the City, within the options available in the state statutes governing TMRS.

At retirement, the benefit is calculated as if the sum of the employee's contributions, with interest, and the cityfinanced monetary credits with interest were used to purchase an annuity. Members may choose to receive their retirement benefit in one of seven payment options. Members may also choose to receive a portion of their benefit as a Partial Lump Sum Distribution in an amount equal to 12, 24, or 36 monthly payments, which cannot exceed $75 \%$ of the member's deposits and interest.

Members can retire at age 60 and above with 5 or more years of service or with 20 years of service regardless of age. A member is vested after 5 years. The plan provisions are adopted by the governing body of the City, within the options available in the state statutes governing TMRS. The contribution rate for the employees is $7 \%$, and the city matching ratio is currently 2 to 1 , both as adopted by the governing body of the city.

Initiated in 1998, the City provides on an annually repeating basis annuity increases for retirees, which are also referred to as cost of living adjustments (COLAS). Currently, that amount is equal to $50 \%$ of the change in the consumer price index (CPI). The amount of the COLA percentage can only be changed by a City-adopted ordinance.

At the December 31, 2018 valuation and measurement date, the following employees were covered by the benefit terms:

| Inactive employees or beneficiaries currently receiving benefits | 1,793 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Inactive employees entitled to but not yet receiving benefits | 1,192 |
| Active Employees | $\underline{2,551}$ |
|  | 5,536 |

## C. Contributions

The contribution rates for employees in TMRS is $7 \%$ of employee gross earnings, and the city matching percentages are $200 \%$, both as adopted by the governing body of the city. Under the state law governing TMRS,
the contribution rate for each city is determined annually by the actuary, using the Entry Age Normal (EAN) actuarial cost method. The actuarially determined rate is the estimated amount necessary to finance the cost of benefits earned by employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded accrued liability.

Employees for the City of Arlington were required to contribute 7\% of their annual gross earnings during the fiscal year. The contribution rates for the City of Arlington were $15.94 \%$ and $15.96 \%$ in calendar years 2018 and 2019, respectively. The city's contributions to TMRS for the year ended September 30, 2019, was $\$ 29,093,440$ and was equal to the required contributions.

## D. Net Pension Liability

The City's Net Pension Liability (NPL) was measured as of December 31, 2018, and the Total Pension Liability (TPL) used to calculate the Net Pension Liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date.

Actuarial assumptions:
The Total Pension Liability in the December 31, 2018 actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions:

Inflation 2.50\% per year
Overall payroll growth
Investment Rate of Return
3.00\% per year
$6.75 \%$, net of pension plan investment expense, including inflation

Salary increases were based on a service-related table. Mortality rates for active members, retirees, and beneficiaries were based on the gender-distinct RP2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table with Blue Collar Adjustment, with male rates multiplied by $109 \%$ and female rates multiplied by $103 \%$. The rates are projected on a fully generational basis by scale BB to account for future mortality improvements. For disabled annuitants, the gender-distinct RP2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Tables with Blue Collar Adjustment are used with male rates multiplied by $109 \%$ and female rates multiplied by $103 \%$ with a 3 -year set-forward for both males and females. In addition, a $3 \%$ minimum mortality rate is applied to reflect the impairment for younger members who become disabled. The rates are projected on a fully generational basis by scale BB to account for future mortality improvements subject to the $3 \%$ floor.

Actuarial assumptions used in the December 31, 2018 valuation were based on the results of actuarial experience studies. The actuarial assumptions were developed primarily from the actuarial investigation of the experience of TMRS over the four year period from December 31, 2010 to December 31, 2014. They were adopted in 2015 and first used in the December 31, 2015 actuarial valuation. The post-retirement mortality assumption for healthy annuitants and Annuity Purchase Rate (APRs) are based on the Mortality Experience Investigation Study covering 2009 through 2011 and dated December 31, 2013. In conjunction with these changes first used in the December 31, 2013 valuation, the System adopted the Entry Age Normal actuarial cost method and a one-time change to the amortization policy. Plan assets are managed on a total return basis with an emphasis on both capital appreciation as well as the production of income in order to satisfy the short-term and long-term funding needs of TMRS.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which best estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation. In determining their best estimate of a recommended investment return assumption under the various alternative asset allocation portfolios, GRS focused on the area between (1) arithmetic mean (aggressive) without an adjustment for time (conservative) and (2) the geometric mean (conservative) with an adjustment for time (aggressive). The target allocation and best
estimates of real rates of return for each major asset class in fiscal year 2019 are summarized in the following table:

| Asset Class | Target Allocation | Long-Term Expected <br> Real Rate of Return <br> (Arithmetic) |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Domestic Equity | $17.5 \%$ | $4.55 \%$ |
| International Equity | $17.5 \%$ | $6.35 \%$ |
| Core Fixed Income | $10.0 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ |
| Non-Core Fixed Income | $20.0 \%$ | $3.90 \%$ |
| Real Return | $10.0 \%$ | $3.80 \%$ |
| Real Estate | $10.0 \%$ | $4.50 \%$ |
| Absolute Return | $10.0 \%$ | $3.75 \%$ |
| Private Equity | $5.0 \%$ | $7.50 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ |  |

Discount Rate:

The discount rate used to measure the Total Pension Liability was $6.75 \%$. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that employee and employer contributions will be made at the rates specified in statute. Based on that assumption, the pension plan's Fiduciary Net Position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current active and inactive employees. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the Total Pension Liability.

## Changes in the Net Pension Liability

|  |  | Total Pension Liability <br> (a) |  | Plan Fiduciary <br> Net Position <br> (b) |  | et Pension Liability (a)-(b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at 12/31/2017 | \$ | 1,189,337,480 | \$ | 1,081,094,845 | \$ | 108,242,635 |
| Changes for the year: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service Cost |  | 28,887,256 |  | - |  | 28,887,256 |
| Interest |  | 79,408,986 |  | - |  | 79,408,986 |
| Change of benefit terms |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Difference between expected and actual experience |  | 6,665,740 |  | - |  | 6,665,740 |
| Changes of assumptions |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Contributions-employer |  | - |  | 28,034,989 |  | $(28,034,989)$ |
| Contributions-employee |  | - |  | 12,429,434 |  | $(12,429,434)$ |
| Net investment income |  | - |  | $(32,369,787)$ |  | 32,369,787 |
| Benefit payments, including refunds |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| of employee contributions |  | $(54,703,360)$ |  | $(54,703,360)$ |  | - |
| Administrative expense |  | - |  | $(625,874)$ |  | 625,874 |
| Other changes |  | - |  | $(32,698)$ |  | 32,698 |
| Net changes |  | 60,258,622 |  | $(47,267,296)$ |  | 107,525,918 |
| Balance at 12/31/18 | \$ | 1,249,596,102 | \$ | 1,033,827,549 | \$ | 215,768,553 |

Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability
Net pension liability as a percentage of covered employee payroll

Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate
The following presents the net pension liability of the City, calculated using the discount rate of $6.75 \%$, as well as what the City's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (5.75\%) or 1-percentage-point higher (7.75\%) than the current rate:

|  | Discount Rate <br> $(5.75 \%)$ | Discount Rate <br> $(6.75 \%)$ | Discount Rate <br> $(7.75 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| City's net pension liability | $\$ 390,793,354$ | $\$ 215,768,553$ | $\$ 72,240,019$ |

## Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position

Detailed information about the pension plan's Fiduciary Net Position is available in a separately-issued TMRS financial report. That report may be obtained on the internet at www.tmrs.com
E. Pension Expense and Deferred Outflows and Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

For the year ended September 30, 2019, the city recognized pension expense of $\$ 47,257,843$.

At September 30, 2019, the city reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

|  | Deferred <br> Outflow of <br> Resources | Deferred <br> Inflow of <br> Resources |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Differences between expected and actual economic experience | $\$$ | - |
| Changes in actuarial assumptions | $\$ 42,606,202$ |  |
| Difference between projected and actual investment earnings | $108,061,889$ | - |
| Contributions subsequent to the measurement date | - | - |
| Total | $22,230,404$ | - |

$\$ 22,230,404$ reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability for the year ending September 30, 2020. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows and inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

| Measurement Year <br> Ended December 31: |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| 2019 | $\$ 23,399,743$ |
| 2020 | $10,285,321$ |
| 2021 | $9,733,358$ |
| 2022 | $22,037,264$ |
| 2023 | - |
| Thereafter | - |
| Total | $\$$ |

## Part-Time, Seasonal and Temporary Employees Deferred Income Plan

The Part-Time, Seasonal and Temporary Employees Deferred Income Plan (PSTDIP) provides a retirement benefit for those employees not eligible to participate in the Texas Municipal Retirement System. PSTDIP issues standalone financial statements that can be obtained from the City of Arlington at 101 S . Mesquite Street, Suite 800, Arlington, TX 76010.

## Plan Description

Plan administration. The City's Retirement Committee administers the Part-time, Seasonal and Temporary Employees Deferred Income Plan (PSTDIP) - a single-employer defined benefit pension plan that provides benefits for all part-time, seasonal and temporary employees. Management of the PSTDIP is vested in the City's Retirement Committee consists of an odd number of persons, but not less than three, that are determined and appointed by the City acting through City Council. The Committee includes the Director of Human Resources appointed as Chair, the Chief Financial Officer, and a representative of the City Manager's Office. The Committee meets on a quarterly basis and has final approval for all administrative actions.

Benefits. PSTDIP provides retirement, disability and death benefits for part-time, seasonal and temporary employees. Monthly retirement benefits for plan members are calculated as the lesser of a) a life annuity with an actuarial equivalent value equal to 2.5 times employee contributions with interest, or b) average compensation times percentage of average pay times credited service not in excess of 30. Average compensation is determined by dividing the sum of monthly compensation by the months of credited service earned prior to termination. Percentage of average pay ranges from 1.5 percent to 2.0 percent based on number of months of credited service. A plan member is eligible to retire upon attaining age 65. If an employee is terminated by reason of total and permanent disability, the employee will be eligible for a life only annuity in an amount actuarially equivalent to a lump sum payment equal to 2.5 times employee contributions with interest. With the approval of the Retirement Committee, the Disability Retirement Pension shall be paid as a lump sum in lieu of a life annuity. Death benefits are the same as for disability.

Contributions. The Retirement Committee establishes rates based on an actuarially determined rate recommended by an independent actuary. The actuarially determined rate is the estimated amount necessary to finance the cost of benefits earned by plan members during the year. The City is required to contribute the difference between the actuarially determined rate and the contribution rate of plan members. For the year ended June 30, 2019, the active member average contribution rate was 3.0 percent of annual pay and the City's average contribution rate was 2.6 percent of annual payroll. The city's contributions to the plan for the year ended September 30, 2019, was $\$ 82,293$ and was equal to the required contributions.

At the June 30, 2019 valuation and measurement date, the following employees were covered by the terms:

| Inactive employees or beneficiaries currently receiving benefits | 23 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Inactive employees entitled to but not yet receiving benefits | 3,528 |
| Active Employees | $\underline{778}$ |
| , 329 |  |

## Net Pension Liability

The City's Net Pension Liability (NPL) was measured as of June 30, 2019 and the Total Pension Liability (TPL) used to calculate the Net Pension Liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date.

## Actuarial assumptions

The Total Pension Liability in the June 30, 2019 actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions:

Inflation
Overall payroll growth
Discount Rate
2.50\% per year
3.00\% per year
5.00\%

Mortality rates were based on the gender-distinct RP2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table with Blue Collar Adjustment, projected with Scale BB. Active rates were multiplied by 54.5\% for males and 51.5\% for females. Retiree rates were multiplied by 109\% for males and 103\% for females.

Discount Rate:

The discount rate used to measure the Total Pension Liability was 5.00\%.
Changes in the Net Pension Asset

|  |  | Total Pension Liability <br> (a) |  | Plan Fiduciary <br> Net Position <br> (b) | Net Pension Liability(a)-(b) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at 6/30/2018 |  | 2,656,348 |  | 2,819,601 | \$ | $(163,253)$ |
| Changes for the year: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service Cost |  | 194,554 |  | - |  | 194,554 |
| Interest |  | 134,220 |  | - |  | 134,220 |
| Change of benefit terms |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Difference between expected and actual experiend |  | $(47,470)$ |  | - |  | $(47,470)$ |
| Changes of assumptions |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Contributions-employer |  | - |  | 82,293 |  | $(82,293)$ |
| Contributions-employee |  | - |  | 109,711 |  | $(109,711)$ |
| Net investment income |  | - |  | 206,103 |  | $(206,103)$ |
| Benefit payments, including refunds |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| of employee contributions |  | $(138,464)$ |  | $(138,464)$ |  | - |
| Administrative expense |  | - |  | $(79,339)$ |  | 79,339 |
| Other changes |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Net changes |  | 142,840 |  | 180,304 |  | $(37,464)$ |
| Balance at 06/30/19 | \$ | 2,799,188 |  | \$ 2,999,905 | \$ | $(200,717)$ |

## Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the net pension liability of the City, calculated using the discount rate of $5.00 \%$, as well as what the City's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (4.00\%) or 1-percentage-point higher (6.00\%) than the current rate:

|  | Discount Rate <br> $(4.00 \%)$ | Discount Rate <br> $(5.00 \%)$ | Discount Rate <br> $(6.00 \%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City's net pension liability | $\$ 63,031$ | $(\$ 200,717)$ | $(\$ 418,302)$ |

## Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position

The financial statements of the plan are presented below.

## City of Arlington, Texas <br> Part-time, Seasonal and Temporary <br> Employees Deferred Income Plan

## Statement of Fiduciary Net Position

June 30, 2019

## Assets

Cash and deposits
Receivables
Accrued Interest
Investments
Mutual funds - bonds
Mutual funds - equities
Total investments
Total assets

## Liabilities

Accrued expenses
Net position restricted for pensions
\$ 96,428
14,159

2,243,792
664,430
2,908,222
\$3,018,809

| $\$ \quad 18,904$ |
| :--- |
| $\$ 2,999,905$ |

## Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position <br> for the Year Ended June 30, 2019

## Additions

## Contributions:

| Employer | \$ | 82,293 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employees |  | 109,711 |
| Total contributions |  | 192,004 |
| Net investment income |  |  |
| Interest and dividends |  | 19,630 |
| Net appreciation in fair value of investments |  | 186,473 |
| Total investments |  | 206,103 |
| Total additions |  | 398,107 |
| Deductions |  |  |
| Benefit payments |  | 138,464 |
| Administrative expenses |  | 79,339 |
| Total deductions |  | 217,803 |
| Net increase in net position |  | 180,304 |
| Net position restricted for pensions |  |  |
| Beginning of year |  | 2,819,601 |
| End of year | \$ | 2,999,905 |

## F. Pension Expense and Deferred Outflows and Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

For the year ended September 30, 2019, the city recognized pension expense of $\$ 131,699$.

At September 30, 2019, the city reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

|  | Deferred Outflow of Resources | Deferred Inflow of Resources |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Differences between expected and actual economic experience | - | \$ - |
| Changes in actuarial assumptions | - |  |
| Difference between projected and actual investment earnings | 52,392 | 52,614 |
| Contributions subsequent to the measurement date | 21,000 |  |
| Total | \$73,392 | \$52,614 |

$\$ 21,000$ reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability for the year ending September 30, 2020. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows and inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

| Measurement Year <br> Ended December 31: |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| 2020 | $\$$ |
| 2021 | 11,011 |
| 2022 | 1,516 |
| 2023 | 403 |
| 2024 | $(13,152)$ |
| Thereafter | - |
| Total | $\$$ |

## Thrift Savings Plan

All full-time City employees may participate in the Thrift Savings Plan (the "Thrift"), a single-employer defined contribution plan administered by the Retirement Committee at the City. The plan provisions and contribution savings are adopted and amended by the City Council, within the options available in the federal statutes governing Internal Revenue Code, section 401(k). This voluntary IRS Code 401(k) plan allows all full-time City employees to contribute between 1 percent to 10 percent of their salary with the City matching the first 6 percent of employee contributions at 50 cents to the dollar. Partial vesting of employer contributions begins after three years of participation with full vesting taking place after six years of participation. At September 30, 2019, the Thrift plan was fully funded and the fair market value of plan assets, including accrued interest, was $\$ 227,349,000$.

The City's total payroll during fiscal 2019 was $\$ 190,219,000$. The current year contribution was calculated based on a covered payroll of $\$ 131,764,000$, resulting in a required and actual employer contribution of $\$ 3,663,000$ and actual employee contributions of $\$ 9,191,000$. The employer contribution represents 2.75 percent of the covered payroll. The employee contribution represents approximately 7.11 percent of the covered payroll.

There were no material changes to the Thrift plan during fiscal 2019. There were no related-party transactions. The Thrift Plan does not issue separate stand-alone financial statements.
The Thrift Savings Plan does not issue separate GAAP financial reports. Its financial statements are presented below as of and for the year-ended September 30, 2019. (amounts in thousands):
ASSETS
Investments
Total Assets

|  | 227,412 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$$ | 227,412 |

LIABILITIES
Accounts Payable

| Retired City Mgr 401(k) plan payable |  | 63 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Liabilities | \$ | 63 |

NET POSITION

| Held in trust for pension benefits | 227,349 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Assigned pension trust | - |
| Total Net Position | 227,349 |

```
Changes in Net Position
    Thrift Savings Plan
```


## ADDITIONS

| Employer contributions | $\$ \quad 3,727$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Employee contributions | 9,243 |
| Net appreciation in fair value of investments | 9,656 |
| Other additions | 100 |

## DEDUCTIONS

| Benefits | 13,229 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Plan administration | 129 |
| Other deductions | 81 |
|  | 13,439 |


| Increase in Net Position | 9,287 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Net Position, October 1 | 218,062 |
| Net position, September 30 | 227,349 |

City contributions for the above plans for the year ended September 30, 2019, are as follows (amounts in thousands):

| TMRS | $\$ 29,093$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| THRIFT | 3,727 |
| PTDIT | 91 |
|  | $\underline{\$ 32,911}$ |

## 7. OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

## Disability Income Plan

## Plan Description

Effective October 1, 1992, the City began providing active employees with disability insurance through a policy obtained from a commercial carrier. Previously, all City employees had participated in a Disability Income Plan (DIP), a single-employer other postemployment benefit disability plan, which had been funded by actuarially determined contributions. This plan had been accounted for in the DIP fund. Benefits to employees who were disabled while participating under the previous plan will continue to be paid from the remaining assets of the DIP fund, a fiduciary fund of the City.

Plan Description. DIP is a single-employer defined benefit disability income plan that covers the employees of the City. The plan originally provided in-service death benefits and long term disability benefits commencing upon disablement. The plan was amended to eliminate the in-service death benefit and to start disability payments at age 65. The plan contemplates that long term disability benefits will be provided through a separate LTD insurance contract prior to age 65. The retired life liability for current disabled employees (many of whom are under age 65) is retained under the plan.

The Disability Income Plan does not issue separate GAAP financial reports. Its financial statements are presented below as of and for the year-ended September 30, 2019. (amounts in thousands):

| Cash and cash-like investments | $\$$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Investments: | - |
| U.S. Government securities | 31 |
| Common stock mutual funds | 770 |
| Balanced mutual funds | 256 |
| Participant borrowing | 272 |
| Total investments | 1329 |
| $\quad$ Total Assets | $\$ 1,329$ |

## LIABILITIES

Accounts payable
Total Liabilities $\qquad$
NET POSITION

| Restricted for pensions | 1,329 |
| :---: | ---: |
|  | $\underline{\$ 1,329}$ |

## Changes in Net Position Disability Income Plan

| Additions |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Employer contributions | 77 |
| Other additions | 99 |
| Total Additions | 176 |
| Deductions |  |
| Benefits | 114 |
| Plan Administration | 13 |
| Total Deductions | 127 |
| Increase in Net Position | 49 |
| Net Position, October 1 | 1,280 |
| Net Position, September 30 | \$ 1,329 |

## Benefits Provided

The amount of monthly benefit payable to the employee is provided by $60 \%$ of basic earnings not less than $\$ 50$ less the sum of TMRS benefit plus worker's compensation plus social security benefit.

In September of 2012, the City amended the Disability Income Plan to limit benefit eligibility to:
a. Former employees who were receiving disability income from the trust as of September 18, 2012, and
b. Former employees who, as of September 18, 2012, were receiving benefits from the City's Long Term Disability (LTD) plan and were in active service prior to January 1, 1993.

Because the amendment closed the plan to any future disabled employees, there is no longer any liability attributable to the City's active employees.

At the December 31, 2018 valuation and measurement date, the following employees were covered by the benefit terms:

Retirees and Beneficiaries 10
Inactive, Nonretired Members 2
Active Members $\underline{0}$
12

## Contributions

The retirement committee of the City has the authority to establish and amend contribution requirements of the plan. The City's contribution is determined through an actuarial valuation. For the year ended September 30, 2019, the City contributed $\$ 77,000$ to the plan. Administrative costs of DIP are financed through investment earnings.

Net Disability Income Plan Liability
The City's Total Disability Income Plan Liability was measured as of December 31, 2018.

Actuarial assumptions:
The Total Disability Income Plan Liability in the December 31, 2018 actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions:

| Actuarial Cost Method | Individual Entry Age Normal |
| :---: | :---: |
| Discount Rate | 5\% |
| Inflation | 2.5\% |
| Salary Increases | N/A; no active employees |
| Cost of Living Adjustment | The TMRS offset is assumed to increase by $1.25 \%$ per annum. The Social Security offset is assumed to increase $2.5 \%$ per annum. The offsets are assumed to increase in January. |
| Commencement of Plan Benefits | Age 65 for participants on the LTD plan |
| Mortality rates for disabled re Adjustment table for males and females. The rates are projec improvements. In addition, the group. | RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Tables with Blue Collar a 3 year-setforward; multiplied by $109 \%$ for males and $103 \%$ for generational basis by scale BB to account for future mortality imum mortality probability to reflect impaired mortality for this |

## Changes in the Total Disability Income Plan Liability

|  | Total OPEB <br> Liability <br> $(\mathrm{a})$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Balance at 12/31/2017 | $\$, 420,127$ |
| Changes for the year: | - |
| Service Cost | 68,017 |
| Interest on total OPEB liability | - |
| Change of benefit terms | 40,256 |
| Difference between expected and actual experien | - |
| Changes of assumptions or other inputs | $(119,556)$ |
| Benefit Payments | $(11,283)$ |
| Net changes | $1,408,844$ |
| Total OPEB Liability - end of year |  |

Sensitivity of the Total OPEB Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate
Regarding the sensitivity of the net OPEB liability to changes in the Single Discount Rate, the following presents the plan's net OPEB liability, calculated using a discount rate of $5.00 \%$, as well as what the plan's net OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percent lower or one percent higher:

|  | Discount Rate <br> $(4.00 \%)$ | Discount Rate <br> $(5.00 \%)$ | Discount Rate <br> $(6.00 \%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City's total OPEB liability | $\$ 287,107$ | $\$ 162,693$ | $\$ 55,630$ |

At September 30, 2019, the city reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to disability income plan from the following sources:

|  | Deferred Inflows of Resources | Deferred Outflows of Resources |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Differences between expected and actual experience | \$ - | \$ |
| Changes in assumptions and other inputs | - | - |
| Contributions subsequent to the measurement date | n/a | 59,255 |
| Excess Investment Returns | 50,597 | - |
| Total | \$50,597 | \$59,255 |

Deferred outflows and deferred inflows of resources, by year, to be recognized in future OPEB expense (excluding city-provided contributions made subsequent to the measurement date):

| Plan Year Ended <br> December 31: |  |
| ---: | :---: |
| 2019 | $\$$ |
| 2020 | 10,981 |
| 2021 | 10,981 |
| 2022 | 10,982 |
| 2023 | 17,653 |
| Thereafter | - |
| Total | $\$ 50,597$ |

## Retiree Health Insurance

The City of Arlington administers a single-employer self-funded health care plan. The plan provides post-retirement health care benefits to eligible retirees and their dependents.

To be eligible for retiree health insurance, an employee must be eligible to retire from the City of Arlington based upon the policies and requirements of the Texas Municipal Retirement System ("TMRS") and elect to retire at the time of separation from the City. If a retiree has coverage through another employer, they must waive the City retiree coverage until the employer-based coverage terminates. As of December 31, 2018, there were 243 retired employees who met this requirement.

An employee may retire from the City based on one of the following circumstances: (1) the employee becomes eligible and elects to retire under the Texas Municipal Retirement System (TMRS) after either 20 years of service credit at any age, or after a minimum of five years of service at age 60; (2) the employee becomes eligible and elects to retire under the provisions of TMRS relating to disability retirement.

## Benefits Provided

A Retiree may be eligible for insurance benefits that include: medical, dental, and vision benefits, regardless of the number of years worked for the City. However, to be eligible for a contribution from the City toward medical insurance, the Retiree must meet all of the following requirements:

- Be a minimum of age 50 and have a minimum of 10 years of full-time service with the City of Arlington and age plus years of service with the City must equal at least 70.
- Elect to receive their TMRS pension at the time of separation from the City of Arlington.
- Be hired/re-hired OR transferred to a Full-time status prior to January 1, 2006.


## Retiree Health Insurance City Contributions

The City's contribution toward Retiree health insurance premiums is based upon five criteria: Date of Hire, Rehire, or Full-time Status; Years of Full-time Service with the City of Arlington; Age; Election of TMRS Pension; and Date of Retirement.

1. Retirees who were hired/re-hired or transferred into a full-time status prior to $1 / 1 / 2006$ have a City contribution based on their years of eligible service with the City. Retirees who were hired/re-hired or transferred into a full-time status after 1/1/2006 have no City contribution; however, they may elect to
pay the full cost and remain on the City's health plan.
2. Retirees who are TMRS eligible and elect a pension, are a minimum of age 50 and have 10 years of fulltime service with the City of Arlington are eligible for a City contribution if hired, re-hired, or transferred into a full-time status prior to $1 / 1 / 2006$.
3. Retirees who are TMRS eligible, have elected a pension but have less than 10 years of full-time service with the City of Arlington are not eligible for the City contribution, but may elect insurance benefits and pay the full premium.
4. Retirees who retired prior to $1 / 1 / 2008$ have a City contribution toward their dependent's health coverage. Retirees who are retiring after $1 / 1 / 2008$ do not have a contribution toward their dependent's health care.
5. Effective January 1, 2014, the City's retiree contribution was changed to a flat rate based on date of retirement. The contribution for retirees over the age of 65 has $\$ 50$ of the contribution designated for Medicare pharmacy coverage.

Contributions The City Council through the budget process has the authority to establish and amend contribution requirements of the plan. Currently the plan is funded on a pay-as-you-go basis. The City's contributions for the year ended September 30, 2019 were $\$ 5,871,000$.

At the December 31, 2018 valuation and measurement date, the following employees were covered by the benefit terms:

## Inactive employees or beneficiaries currently receiving benefits 794

Inactive employees entitled to but not yet receiving benefits 243
Active Employees $\quad \underline{2,455}$
3,492
Net OPEB Liability
The City's Total OPEB Liability was measured as of December 31, 2018.

Actuarial assumptions:

The Total OPEB Liability in the December 31, 2018 actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions:

| Actuarial Cost Method | Individual Entry-Age |
| :---: | :---: |
| Discount Rate | 3.71\% |
| Inflation | 2.50\% per year |
| Salary Increases | $3.50 \%$ to 10.50\%, including inflation |
| Demographic Assumptions | Based on the experience study covering the four-year period ending December 31, 2014 as conducted for the Texas Municipal Retirement System (TMRS). |
| Mortality | For healthy retirees, the gender-distinct RP2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Tables with Blue Collar Adjustment are used with male rates multiplied by $109 \%$ and female rates multiplied by $103 \%$. The rates are projected on a fully generational basis by scale $B B$ to account for future mortality improvements. |
| Health Care Trend Rates | Pre-65: Initial rate of $7.20 \%$ declining to an ultimate rate of $4.25 \%$ after 15 years; <br> Post-65: Initial rate of $6.50 \%$ declining to an ultimate rate of 4.25\% after 15 years |
| Participation Rates | Following rates apply for retirees that are eligible for a subsidy |

and retire between the ages of 50 and 65:
$50 \%$ for retirees with 10-14 years of service;
60\% for retirees with 15-19 years of service;
$70 \%$ for retirees with 20-24 years of service;
$80 \%$ for retirees with 25 or more years of service;
$95 \%$ for retirees that are eligible for a subsidy and retire after the age of 65 ;
$20 \%$ for retirees that are not eligible for a subsidy from the City;
$10 \%$ for retirees that are eligible for a subsidy and retire before the age of 50

Discount Rate:

The discount rate used to measure the Total OPEB Liability was changed from $3.31 \%$ as of December 31, 2017 to $3.71 \%$ as of December 31, 2018.

Changes in the Total OPEB Liability

|  | Total OPEB <br> Liability <br> $(\mathrm{a})$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Balance at 12/31/2017 | $\$ 114,157,722$ |
| Changes for the year: | $2,288,267$ |
| Service Cost | $3,688,623$ |
| Interest on total OPEB liability | - |
| Change of benefit terms | $(2,475,508)$ |
| Difference between expected and actual experienc | $(7,726,176)$ |
| Changes of assumptions or other inputs | $(2,452,529)$ |
| Benefit Payments | $111,705,193$ |
| Net changes |  |
| Total OPEB Liability - end of year |  |

Covered-employee payroll
Total OPEB liability as a percentage of covered payroll
\$161,558,413
69.14\%

Sensitivity of the Total OPEB Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate
The following presents the net OPEB liability of the City, calculated using the discount rate of $3.71 \%$, as well as what the City's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower ( $2.71 \%$ ) or 1-percentage-point higher (4.71\%) than the current rate:

|  | Discount Rate <br> $(2.71 \%)$ | Discount Rate <br> $(3.71 \%)$ | Discount Rate <br> $(4.71 \%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City's total OPEB liability | $\$ 123,446,850$ | $\$ 111,705,193$ | $\$ 101,561,446$ |

Sensitivity of the Total OPEB Liability to Changes in the Healthcare Cost Trend Rate Assumption

The following presents the net OPEB liability of the City, calculated using the discount rate Regarding the sensitivity of the total OPEB liability to changes in the healthcare cost trend rates, the following presents the plan's total OPEB liability, calculated using the assumed trend rates as well as what the plan's total OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using a trend rate that is one percent lower or one percent higher:

|  | $1 \%$ Decrease | Current Healthcare <br> Cost Trend Rate <br> Assumption | $1 \%$ Increase |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City's total OPEB liability | $\$ 106,781,313$ | $\$ 111,705,193$ | $\$ 117,378,555$ |

At September 30, 2018, the city reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

|  | Deferred <br> Inflows of <br> Resources | Deferred <br> Outflows of Resources |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Differences between expected and actual experience | - | - |
| Changes in assumptions and other inputs | 2,122,892 | 3,960,957 |
| Contributions subsequent to the measurement date | n/a | 3,939,980 |
| Total | \$2,122,892 | \$9,420,757 |

Deferred outflows and deferred inflows of resources, by year, to be recognized in future OPEB expense (excluding city-provided contributions made subsequent to the measurement date):

| Year Ended December 31: |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| 2020 | $\$$ |
| 2021 | 686,154 |
| 2022 | 686,154 |
| 2023 | 686,154 |
| 2024 | 686,154 |
| Thereafter | $(72,885)$ |
| Total | $\$ 3,357,885$ |

## Supplemental Death Benefits Plan

## Plan Description

Texas Municipal Retirement System ("TMRS") administers a single-employer defined benefit group-term life insurance plan known as the Supplemental Death Benefits Fund ("SDBF"). This is a voluntary program in which participating member cities may elect, by ordinance, to provide group-term life insurance coverage for their active members, including or not including retirees. The death benefit for active employees provides a lump-sum payment approximately equal to the employee's annual salary (calculated based on the employee's actual earnings, for the 12 -month period preceding the month of death). The death benefit for retirees is considered an other postemployment benefit ("OPEB") and is a fixed amount of $\$ 7,500$. As the SDBF covers both active and retiree participants, with no segregation of assets, the SDBF is considered to be an unfunded OPEB plan (i.e. no assets are accumulated in a trust).

The member city contributes to the SDBF at a contractually required rate as determined by an annual actuarial valuation. The rate is equal to the cost of providing one-year term life insurance. The funding policy for the SDBF program is to assure that adequate resources are available to meet all death benefit payments for the upcoming year. The intent is not to pre-fund retiree term life insurance during employees' entire careers.

## Benefits Provided

TMRS provides death benefits to retirees at a fixed amount of $\$ 7,500$. At the December 31, 2018 valuation and measurement date, the following employees were covered by the benefit terms:

| Inactive employees or beneficiaries currently receiving benefits | 1,444 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Inactive employees entitled to but not yet receiving benefits | 380 |
| Active Employees | $\underline{2,551}$ |

Contributions
The total contribution rate is for $.15 \%$ of employee gross earnings, with $.04 \%$ of that rate being the retiree portion. The city's contributions to TMRS for the year ended September 30, 2019, were \$73,630.

## Net OPEB Liability

The City's Total OPEB Liability was measured as of December 31, 2018

Actuarial assumptions:

The Total OPEB Liability in the December 31, 2018 actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions:

```
Inflation 2.50% per year
Overall payroll growth 3.50%-10.5% per year
Discount Rate 3.71%
```

Salary increases were based on a service-related table. Mortality rates for service retirees were based on the gender-distinct RP2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table with Blue Collar Adjustment, with male rates multiplied by $109 \%$ and female rates multiplied by $103 \%$. The rates are projected on a fully generational basis by scale BB to account for future mortality improvements. For disabled retirees, the gender-distinct RP2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Tables with Blue Collar Adjustment are used with male rates multiplied by $109 \%$ and female rates multiplied by $103 \%$ with a 3 -year set-forward for both males and females. The rates are projected on a fully generational basis with scale BB to account for future mortality improvements subject to the $3 \%$ floor.

Actuarial assumptions used in the December 31, 2018, valuation were based on the results of actuarial experience studies. The experience study in TMRS was for the period January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2014. The postretirement mortality assumption for healthy annuitants and Annuity Purchase Rate (APRs) are based on the Mortality Experience Investigation Study covering 2009 through 2011 and dated December 31, 2013.

Discount Rate:

The discount rate used to measure the Total OPEB Liability was $3.71 \%$.
Changes in the Total OPEB Liability

|  | Total OPEB <br> Liability <br> (a) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Balance at 12/31/2016 | $\$, 493,069$ |
| Changes for the year: | 284,079 |
| Service Cost | 284,647 |
| Interest on total OPEB liability | - |
| Change of benefit terms | $(107,778)$ |
| Difference between expected and actual experien | $(633,002)$ |
| Changes of assumptions or other inputs | $(71,020)$ |
| Benefit Payments | $(243,074)$ |
| Net changes | $8,249,995$ |
| Total OPEB Liability - end of year |  |

Total OPEB liability as a percentage of covered payroll 4.65\%

Sensitivity of the Total OPEB Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate
The following presents the net OPEB liability of the City, calculated using the discount rate of $3.31 \%$, as well as what the City's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower ( $2.31 \%$ ) or 1-percentage-point higher (4.31\%) than the current rate:

|  | Discount Rate <br> $(2.71 \%)$ | Discount Rate <br> $(3.71 \%)$ | Discount Rate <br> $(4.71 \%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City's total OPEB liability | $\$ 9,965,271$ | $\$ 8,249,995$ | $\$ 6,926,499$ |

At September 30, 2019, the city reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

| Deferred <br> Inflows of <br> Resources | Deferred <br> Outflows of <br> Resources |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Differences between expected and actual experience | $\$(89,417)$ | $\$-$ |
| Changes in assumptions and other inputs | $(52,941)$ | - |
| Contributions subsequent to the measurement date | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 56,244 |
| Total | $\$(142,358)$ | $\$ 56,244$ |

Deferred outflows and deferred inflows of resources, by year, to be recognized in future OPEB expense (excluding city-provided contributions made subsequent to the measurement date):

| Plan Year <br> Ended December 31: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 | \$ | $(4,177)$ |
| 2020 |  | $(4,177)$ |
| 2021 |  | $(4,177)$ |
| 2022 |  | $(20,037)$ |
| 2023 |  | $(109,790)$ |
| Thereafter |  | - |
| Total | \$ | $(142,358)$ |

## 8. DEBT AND LIABILITIES

## General Obligation Bonds

On June 1, 2019, the City issued Permanent Improvement and Refunding Bonds, Series 2019 of $\$ 55,870,000$ with an interest rate of 2.00 to 5.00 percent and serial maturities on August 15 from 2020 through 2039. Interest on the bonds is due every February 15 and August 15, beginning February 15, 2020. The bonds were issued for designing, developing, constructing, improving, extending and expanding streets, thoroughfares, sidewalks, bridges and other public ways of the City, including streetlighting, right-of-way protection, and related storm drainage improvements; and acquiring rights-of-way in connection therewith; acquiring, developing, renovating, and improving parks and open spaces for park and recreation purposes in and for the City; designing, constructing, reconstructing, improving, renovating and expanding, equipping and furnishing firefighting facilities, including fire station facilities and administrative facilities; to refund currently outstanding obligations of the City, in order to achieve debt service savings; and paying the costs of issuing the 2019 bonds. Total interest requirements for the Series 2019 bonds at a rate from 2.00 to 5.00 percent is $\$ 21,615,567$ in the aggregate.

General obligation bonds currently outstanding are as follows (amounts in thousands):

| Purpose | Interest Rates | Amount |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Governmental activities | $2.00-5.00 \%$ | $\$ 239,405$ |
| Governmental activities - refunding | $1.00-5.00 \%$ | 128,000 |
| Total Governmental |  | $\$ 367,405$ |

Annual debt service requirements to maturity for general obligation bonds are as follows (amounts in thousands):

| Year Ending |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 30 | Principal |  | Interest |  |
| 2020 | \$ | 28,475 | \$ | 14,261 |
| 2021 |  | 28,555 |  | 12,812 |
| 2022 |  | 27,305 |  | 11,598 |
| 2023 |  | 26,085 |  | 10,595 |
| 2024 |  | 25,010 |  | 9,470 |
| 2025-2029 |  | 111,375 |  | 32,045 |
| 2030-2034 |  | 82,010 |  | 13,695 |
| 2035-2039 |  | 38,590 |  | 2,968 |
|  | \$ | 367,405 | \$ | 107,444 |

General obligation debt authorized and unissued as of September 30, 2019, amounted to \$272,493,000.

## Certificates of Obligation

On June 1, 2019, the City issued Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation Series 2019 of $\$ 5,370,000$ with an interest rate of 3.00 to 5.00 percent. The Series 2019 Certificates will mature on August 15 over a period from 2020 to 2029. Interest is payable February 15 and August 15, beginning February 15, 2020. The total interest requirement for the Series 2019 at a rate of 3.00 to 5.00 percent is $\$ 1,204,973$ in the aggregate. The certificates were issued for designing, developing, constructing, improving and renovating City park and recreation facilities; designing, developing, constructing, improving, renovating and acquiring City buildings and facilities, including City Hall facilities; designing, developing, construction, improving, renovating and acquiring fire facilities and firefighting equipment; to pay for professional services of attorneys, financial advisors and other professionals in connection with the Project and the issuance of the Certificates.

Annual debt service requirements to maturity for certificates of obligation of the primary government as of $9 / 30 / 19$ are as follows (amounts in thousands):

| Year Ending | Governmental Activities, Certificates of Obligation |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Principal |  | Interest |  |
| September 30 |  |  |  |  |
| 2020 | \$ | 5,415 | \$ | 1,977 |
| 2021 |  | 5,410 |  | 1,801 |
| 2022 |  | 5,410 |  | 1,648 |
| 2023 |  | 5,410 |  | 1,491 |
| 2024 |  | 5,405 |  | 1,305 |
| 2025-2029 |  | 21,045 |  | 3,990 |
| 2030-2034 |  | 11,395 |  | 1,443 |
| 2035-2039 |  | 1,595 |  | 72 |
|  | \$ | 61,085 | \$ | 13,727 |

## Revenue Bonds

The City also issues bonds where the government pledges income derived from the operations of the Water and Sewer Fund or the Storm Water Utility Fund.

In May 2019, the City issued $\$ 26,150,000$ in Water and Wastewater System Revenue Bonds, Series 2019A. Proceeds from the sale of these bonds will be used to provide funds to make improvements and extensions to the System and to pay costs of issuance associated with the sale of the 2019A Bonds. These bonds mature June 1 over a period from 2020 to 2039 . Interest, at a rate of 3.00 to 5.00 percent, is $\$ 9,687,120$ in the aggregate.

In May 2019, the City issued $\$ 15,740,000$ in Water and Wastewater System Revenue Bonds, Series 2019B. Proceeds from the sale of these bonds will be used to provide funds to refund certain currently outstanding revenue obligations of the City in order to achieve debt service savings and to pay costs of issuance associated with the sale of the 2019B Bonds. These bonds mature June 1 over a period from 2020 to 2028. Interest, at a rate of 3.00 to 4.00 percent, is $\$ 2,962,387$ in the aggregate.

In June 2019, the City issued Water \& Wastewater System Revenue Bonds Series 2019C in the amount of $\$ 4,435,000$ less bond origination fee of $\$ 76,278$. The purpose is improving and extending the System and paying the costs of the issuing Bonds. These bonds are held by the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB). These bonds will mature June 2020 to June 2039 at interest rates of 0.01 to 0.50 percent.

In August 2019, the City issued Water \& Wastewater System Revenue Bonds Series 2019D in the amount of $\$ 79,500,000$ less bond origination fee of $\$ 1,673,275$. The purpose is improving and extending the System and paying the costs of the issuing Bonds. These bonds are held by the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB). These bonds will mature June 2020 to June 2039 at interest rates of 0.01 to 0.41 percent.

In May 2019, the City issued $\$ 6,770,000$ in Municipal Drainage Utility System Revenue Bonds, Series 2019. Proceeds from the sale of these bonds will be used to pay the costs of drainage improvements, including the acquisition and construction of equipment and facilities of the System and to pay costs of issuance associated with the sale of the 2019 Bonds. These bonds mature June 1 over a period from 2020 to 2036 and 2039 and as a Term Bond maturing on June 1, 2038. Interest, at a rate of 3.00 to 5.00 percent, is $\$ 2,466,130$ in the aggregate. The Term Bonds are subject to mandatory redemption in part prior to maturity on June 1, in the years 2037 and 2038, at $100 \%$ of the principal amount thereof plus accrued interest to date of redemption from payments into the Interest and Sinking Fund which are required to be made in amounts sufficient to redeem on June 1 of each year the principal amount $\$ 335,000$.

The revenue bond debt service requirements to maturity are as follows (amounts in thousands):

| Year Ending September 30 | Business Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Water/Wastewater |  |  |  | Water/Wastewater <br> TWDB |  |  |  | Storm Water Utility |  |  |  |
|  | Principal |  | Interest |  | Principal |  | Interest |  | Principal |  | Interest |  |
| 2020 | \$ | 16,725 | \$ | 7,606 | \$ | 6,275 | \$ | 366 | \$ | 2,345 | \$ | 1,367 |
| 2021 |  | 15,620 |  | 7,032 |  | 6,275 |  | 387 |  | 2,350 |  | 1,284 |
| 2022 |  | 14,825 |  | 6,428 |  | 6,275 |  | 378 |  | 2,350 |  | 1,193 |
| 2023 |  | 14,795 |  | 5,911 |  | 6,270 |  | 366 |  | 2,350 |  | 1,102 |
| 2024 |  | 14,015 |  | 5,388 |  | 6,270 |  | 352 |  | 2,345 |  | 998 |
| 2025-2029 |  | 61,855 |  | 18,975 |  | 31,325 |  | 1,484 |  | 11,720 |  | 3,509 |
| 2030-2034 |  | 47,205 |  | 8,280 |  | 28,505 |  | 914 |  | 7,885 |  | 1,321 |
| 2035-2039 |  | 23,920 |  | 1,741 |  | 24,205 |  | 307 |  | 4,125 |  | 330 |
|  | \$ | 208,960 |  | 61,361 | \$ | 115,400 | \$ | 4,554 |  | 35,470 | \$ | 11,104 |

Net revenues of the City's water operations have been pledged for repayment of the City's revenue bonds. The amount of the pledge is equal to the remaining outstanding debt service requirements for these bonds, which were all originally issued to provide funding for construction of the water and wastewater systems. The pledge continues for the life of the bonds. For the year ended September 30, 2019, net pledged revenues for the water enterprise fund were $\$ 70,072,000$ and debt service on the revenue bonds was $\$ 29,203,000$. The same pledge for repayment applies to the City's Storm Water Utility revenue of $\$ 14,782,000$ for the bonds issued in fiscal year 2019.

The following is a summary of long-term liability transactions of the City for the year ended September 30, 2019 (amounts expressed in thousands):

Governmental activities:
General obligation debt
Certificates of obligation
Special tax revenue debt
Premium on general bonds
Premium on special bonds
Discount on special bonds
Net governmental bonds payable
Compensated absences
Claims
Landfill Closure
Estimated pollution remediation
Net other post-employ benefit oblg.
Net pension liability*
Capital lease
Total governmental long-term liabilities

Business-type activities:
Water and sewer bonds
Premium on water and sewer bonds
Storm water utility bonds
Premium on storm water utility bonds
Net water and sewer bonds payable

## Compensated Absences Net other post-employ benefit oblg.

Net pension liability
Rebatable arbitrage payable
Total business-type long term
liabilities

| 10/1/2018 |  | Increases |  | Reductions |  | 9/30/2019 |  | Due Within One Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 347,770 | \$ | 55,870 | \$ | $(36,235)$ | \$ | 367,405 | \$ | 28,475 |
|  | 60,595 |  | 5,370 |  | $(4,880)$ |  | 61,085 |  | 5,415 |
|  | 570,625 |  | - |  | (250) |  | 570,375 |  | 2,030 |
|  | 19,899 |  | 6,436 |  | $(3,467)$ |  | 22,868 |  |  |
|  | 56,973 |  | - |  | $(3,428)$ |  | 53,545 |  |  |
|  | $(1,666)$ |  | - |  | 154 |  | $(1,512)$ |  |  |
|  | 1,054,196 |  | 67,676 |  | $(48,106)$ |  | 1,073,766 |  | 35,920 |
|  | 32,163 |  | 3,175 |  | $(1,507)$ |  | 33,831 |  | 1,327 |
|  | 9,486 |  | 4,808 |  | $(4,916)$ |  | 9,378 |  | 4,094 |
|  | 21,401 |  | 1,270 |  | - |  | 22,671 |  |  |
|  | 476 |  | - |  |  |  | 476 |  |  |
|  | 114,776 |  | 64 |  | $(2,520)$ |  | 112,320 |  |  |
|  | 101,044 |  | 100,537 |  | (37) |  | 201,544 |  | - |
|  | 6,800 |  | - |  | $(1,069)$ |  | 5,731 |  | 1,094 |
| \$ | 1,340,342 | \$ | 177,530 | \$ | $(58,155)$ |  | 1,459,717 | \$ | 42,435 |
| \$ | 233,225 | \$ | 125,825 | \$ | $(34,690)$ | \$ | 324,360 | \$ | 23,000 |
|  | 8,250 |  | 4,030 |  | $(1,568)$ |  | 10,712 |  | - |
|  | 30,710 |  | 6,770 |  | $(2,010)$ |  | 35,470 |  | 2,345 |
|  | 1,087 |  | 594 |  | (181) |  | 1,500 |  | - |
|  | 273,272 |  | 137,219 |  | $(38,449)$ |  | 372,042 |  | 25,345 |
|  | 2,341 |  | 246 |  | (117) |  | 2,470 |  | 112 |
|  | 7,972 |  | - |  | (175) |  | 7,797 |  | - |
|  | 7,036 |  | 6,989 |  | - |  | 14,025 |  | - |
|  | - |  | 169 |  | - |  | 169 |  |  |
| \$ | 290,621 | \$ | 144,623 | \$ | $(38,741)$ | \$ | 396,503 | \$ | 25,457 |

[^14]
## 9. PRIOR YEAR BOND REFUNDINGS

On June 1, 2019, the City issued $\$ 5,370,000$ in certificates of obligation with an average interest rate of $3.7 \%$ to advance refund $\$ 5,760,000$ of outstanding 2009 series Permanent Improvement and Refunding with an average interest rate of $3.55 \%$ As a result, the 2009-series bonds are considered to be defeased and the liability for these bonds has been removed from the government-wide statement of net position.

The City advance refunded the 2009-series bonds to reduce its total debt service payments over the next 10 years by $\$ 508,857$ and to obtain an economic gain (difference between the present values of the debt service payments on the old and new debt) of \$426,464.

In FY19 and in prior years, the City legally defeased certain general obligation, revenue, and other bonds by placing cash and/or proceeds of refunding bond issues in an irrevocable trust to provide for all future debt service payments on the refunded bonds. Accordingly, the trust account assets and the liability for the defeased bonds are not included in the City's report. As of September 30, 2019, previously defeased debt still outstanding amounted to $\$ 5,795,000$.

Following are the schedules of refunded obligations (amounts in thousands):

Bonds \begin{tabular}{ccc}
Original <br>
Maturity <br>
Date

 

Interest <br>
Rate

 

Amount <br>
Refunded
\end{tabular}

Special Tax Revenue Bonds
Series 2009

| Serials | $8 / 15 / 2018$ | 4.000 | $\$$ | 4,260 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $8 / 15 / 2019$ | 4.000 |  | 4,430 |
|  | $8 / 15 / 2020$ | 4.250 |  | 4,605 |
| Term Bond Maturing 2028 | $8 / 15 / 2021$ | 5.000 |  | 4,800 |
|  | $8 / 15 / 2022$ | 4.125 |  | 5,040 |
|  | $8 / 15 / 2023$ | 4.250 |  | 5,295 |
|  | $8 / 15 / 2024$ | 4.300 |  | 5,560 |
|  | $8 / 15 / 2025$ | 4.375 |  | 3,835 |
|  |  |  | $\$ 37,825$ |  |

Refunding Bonds
Series 2009

| Term Bond Maturing 2029 | $8 / 15 / 2020$ | 3.550 | $\$$ | 1,920 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $8 / 15 / 2021$ | 3.550 |  | 1,920 |
|  | $8 / 15 / 2022$ | 3.550 |  | 1,920 |
|  | $8 / 15 / 2023$ | 3.550 |  | 1,920 |
| $8 / 15 / 2024$ | 3.550 |  | 1,920 |  |
| $8 / 15 / 2025$ | 3.550 |  | 1,920 |  |
| $8 / 15 / 2026$ | 3.550 |  | 1,920 |  |
| $8 / 15 / 2027$ | 3.550 |  | 1,920 |  |
|  | $8 / 15 / 2028$ | 3.550 |  | 1,920 |
|  | $8 / 15 / 2029$ | 3.550 |  | 1,920 |
|  |  |  | $\$$ | 19,200 |

## 10. INTERFUND TRANSACTIONS

A summary of interfund receivables and payables at September 30, 2019, is as follows (amounts in thousands):

| Interfund | Interfund |
| :---: | :---: |
| Receivables | Payables |
| \$4,152 | \$ |
| - | 4,152 |
| \$4,152 | \$4,152 |

The General Fund receivable represents cash provided to nonmajor funds and will be reimbursed in 2020. Transfers between funds during the year were as follows (amounts in thousands):

|  | Transfers Out | Transfers In |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Governmental Funds: |  |  |
| General Fund | \$ 17,759 | \$ 22,387 |
| Street Capital Projects | 183 | - |
| Debt Service Fund | - | 2,555 |
| Total Major Governmental Funds | 17,942 | 24,942 |
| Major Enterprise Fund-Water and Sewer | 17,865 | - |
| Major Enterprise Fund-Storm Water Utility | 904 | - |
| Other Funds: |  |  |
| Nonmajor Governmental Funds | 17,484 | 24,663 |
| Internal Service Funds | 710 | 5,300 |
| Total All Funds | \$54,905 | \$54,905 |

The Water and Sewer, Storm Water Utility, and Convention and Event Services transferred \$5,316,000 to the General Fund to cover their budgeted indirect costs.

The General Fund transferred $\$ 7,611,000$ to Street Maintenance Fund and Special Transportation (Handitran) to cover budgeted operating expenses.

The Enterprise Funds transferred $\$ 13,174,000$ to cover their budgeted operating costs.

The other General Fund transfers were to cover budgeted operating expenses in other funds.

The Debt Service Fund received transfers of \$2,556,000 from TIRZ Fund to cover debt service repayments.

## 11. MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE LANDFILL CLOSURE AND POST-CLOSURE CARE COSTS

The City accounts for its landfill closure and post-closure care costs in accordance with GASB No. 18, "Accounting for Municipal Solid Waste Landfill Closure and Post-Closure Care Costs."

State and federal laws and regulations require the City to place a final cover on its municipal landfill site when it stops accepting waste and to perform certain maintenance functions at the site for thirty years after closure. Although closure and post-closure care costs will be paid only near or after the date that the landfill stops accepting waste, the City reports a portion of the closure and post-closure care costs as a liability on the Statement of Net Position in each period based on landfill capacity used as of each balance sheet date. This liability is offset by an asset recorded for a trust account established for the purpose of paying the closure and post-closure costs as
more fully described below. In 2014, the City received a permit for vertical expansion and to open an additional 80 acres, which increased the capacity and the life of the landfill. The $\$ 22,671,000$ reported as a landfill closure and post-closure accrued liability at September 30, 2019, represents the cumulative amount reported to date based on the use of approximately 40 percent of the estimated capacity of the landfill. The City will recognize the remaining estimated cost of closure and post-closure care of $\$ 6,623,000$ as the remaining capacity is filled. These amounts are based on what it would cost to perform all closure and post-closure care in 2019. The City expects to close the landfill in 2060. Actual costs may change due to inflation, changes in technology, or changes in regulations.

On March 18, 2005, the City entered into a contract with Republic Waste Services of Texas, Ltd. (Republic) for a 20year renewable operating lease of the landfill. The City received an initial payment of $\$ 15$ million; the remaining balance of deferred revenue of $\$ 2,515,000$ will be amortized over the life of the lease. Republic is responsible for the funding of monthly contributions to a trust account that will pay closure and post-closure costs as required by state and federal laws and regulations. Republic is in compliance with these requirements and at September 30, 2019, investments are held for these purposes.

## 12. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

## Trinity River Authority

The City entered into a 50 -year contract dated October 10, 1973, with the Trinity River Authority (TRA) whereby the TRA agrees to provide supplemental sewage treatment for consideration. Payments by the City are based on metered usage, at rates designed to charge the City a pro rata share of the TRA's annual operating and maintenance expenses, and principal and interest requirements on bonds issued by the TRA.

## Grant Audits

The City receives federal and state grants for specific purposes that are subject to review and audit by the grantor agencies. Such audits could lead to requests for reimbursement to the grantor agency for expenditures disallowed under terms of the grant. City management believes such disallowances, if any, would be insignificant.

## Construction Commitments

The City has various active construction projects as of September 30, 2019. The projects include construction in streets, parks, traffic, ballpark, and water and sewer facilities. At year-end the City's significant commitments with contractors are as follows (amounts in thousands):

| Project | Spent-to-Date |  | Remaining Commitment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Street Construction | \$ | 49,317 | \$ | 38,914 |
| Park Construction |  | 51,543 |  | 27,074 |
| Traffic Construction |  | 6,514 |  | 296 |
| Ballpark Construction |  | 906,867 |  | 395,382 |
| Storm Water Utility Construction |  | 27,514 |  | 10,031 |
| Water and Sewer Construction |  | 70,489 |  | 28,368 |
|  | \$ | 1,112,244 | \$ | 500,065 |

The street and traffic construction projects are funded primarily by permanent improvement bonds proceeds. The park construction projects are funded by permanent improvement bond proceeds, certificate of obligation proceeds, and park fee revenues. The ballpark construction project is funded partially by revenue bond proceeds and partially by the Rangers. As far as the City's contribution, the full amount of $\$ 500 \mathrm{M}$ has been expended. Water and sewer and storm water utility construction projects are funded by revenue bond proceeds and cash from operating revenues of the water and sewer and storm water systems.

## Litigation

The City is currently involved in several lawsuits in which some liability is probable. The potential liability as of September 30, 2019, cannot be determined. Pursuant to the Texas Tort Claims Act, damages would be capped at \$250,000.

The City is currently involved in an employment lawsuit in which the plaintiff alleges that the City's termination of an Arlington police officer was a violation of the City's personnel policies. The plaintiff elected to appeal his termination to an arbitrator. The arbitrator ruled that the officer be reinstated with back pay. The City appealed the arbitrator's decision. The Court ordered the City to reinstate the officer provided he passes certain requirements, which he has done. In June 2014, the court ruled to award the officer $\$ 164,471$ in back pay, but the City has appealed the ruling. In August 2015, the Fort Worth Court of Appeals issued an opinion requiring the trial court to set aside the judgment in accordance with the Court of Appeals' decision. The case is currently waiting for the judge's ruling. It is uncertain whether "set aside" requires the trial judge to uphold the termination or order another arbitration. Liability with regard to the officer's back wages is probable. To the extent owed, back pay continues to accrue and a $\$ 656,185$ accrual has been recorded at September 30, 2019.

Various other claims and lawsuits are pending against the City. In the opinion of City management, the potential losses, in excess of the Self Insurance Risk Management Fund limitations (see Note 13) of insurance coverage, if any, on all claims will not have a materially adverse effect on the City's financial position as a whole.

## 13. RISK MANAGEMENT

The City's risk management activities are administered through various internal service funds.

## Risk Management Fund (RMF)

The RMF was created for the purpose of acting on the City's behalf in financing various governmental programs as prescribed by ordinance or resolution of the City Council. In October 1986, the City Council adopted an ordinance to establish the City's Self-insurance and Risk Management Program (the "Program"). The purpose of the Program is to provide the City a defined and funded self-insurance program for bodily injury, property damage, personal injury, advertising injury, and regulatory injury.

On June 1, 2016 the City issued Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation Series 2016C of $\$ 14,150,000$. The certificates were issued with the purpose of providing moneys to fund the Risk Management Fund, a self-insurance fund to protect the City and its officers, employees and agents from any insurable risk or hazard as permitted under Chapter 2259, Texas Government Code, as amended.

The payments out of the RMF for all purposes cannot exceed $\$ 1,500,000$ per occurrence and $\$ 3,000,000$ in aggregate in one annual period. Should claims exceed this amount, the excess claims are to be funded by other available City resources.

The RMF claims liabilities are actuarially determined annually to include the effects of specific incremental claims, adjustment expenses, and if probable and material, salvage and subrogation. The actuarially determined liabilities are reported at their present values using an expected future investment yield assumption of 1.0 percent.

## Workers' Compensation

The City's workers' compensation plan provides City employees with workers' compensation insurance through the Workers' Compensation Fund (the "WCF"). Under this program, the WCF provides coverage for up to a maximum of $\$ 750,000$ per claim. The City purchases commercial insurance for claims in excess of coverage provided by the WCF. Over the past five years there have been seven claims for which payments have been received totaling $\$ 1,610,623$ through the commercial insurance. All City departments participate in the workers' compensation program. Payments to the WCF from such departments are based on actuarial estimates of the amounts needed to pay prior and current year claims and related administrative expenses. The WCF claims liabilities are actuarially determined annually to take into consideration recently settled claims, the frequency of claims and other
economic and social factors. The actuarially determined liabilities are reported at their present values using an expected future investment yield assumption of 1.0 percent.

## Group Health

Group medical benefits are paid through the Group Health Fund. Revenues are recognized from payroll deductions and from City contributions for employee and dependent coverage. Changes in the balances of claims liabilities during fiscal 2019 and 2018 were as follows (amounts in thousands):

|  | Workers |  | Self Insurance |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Compensation |  | Health |  | Risk Management |  | Other |  |
|  | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 | 2018 |
| Unpaid claims, Oct 1 | \$3,719 | \$3,472 | \$ 2,264 | \$ 2,582 | \$2,940 | \$4,406 | \$ | \$ |
| Incurred Claims (including |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IBNRs and changes in |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| estimates) | 2,162 | 1,978 | 23,024 | 26,728 | 362 | $(1,976)$ | 656 | 563 |
| Claim payments | $(1,969)$ | $(1,731)$ | $(23,062)$ | $(27,046)$ | (718) | 510 | - | - |
| Unpaid claims, Sept 30 | \$3,912 | \$3,719 | \$ 2,226 | \$ 2,264 | \$2,584 | \$2,940 | \$656 | \$563 |

## 14. LEASES

## As Lessee

As lessee, the City is committed under a lease for fire radio equipment. This lease is considered for accounting purposes to be a capital lease. The liability for future capital lease payments totals approximately $\$ 5,731,000$ and is reported as capital lease obligations current liabilities (approximately $\$ 1,094,000$ ) and capital lease obligations non-current liabilities (approximately $\$ 4,637,000$ ) in the General Fund.

Future minimum lease payments for capital lease including interest and principal are as follows (amounts in thousands):

| Year Ending |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| September 30 |  |
| 2020 | $\$ 1,228$ |
| 2021 | 1,228 |
| 2022 | 1,228 |
| 2023 | 1,228 |
| 2024 | 1,228 |
|  | 6,140 |
| Less Interest | $\underline{409}$ |
| Minimum future lease rentals | $\underline{\$ 5,731}$ |

The City's investment in equipment under the capital lease arrangement as of September 30, 2019 is $\$ 10,814,000$.

## As Lessor

Effective October 1, 1983, the City entered into a contract to lease a tract of land for the purpose of constructing and developing a hotel. The term of the lease is for an initial period of fifty years with renewal options for two additional terms of fifteen years each. The rental payments are based upon a percentage (ranging from 1.0 percent - 1.75 percent) of gross revenues (as defined in the agreement) through December 31, 2006. After December 31, 2006, the lessee shall pay the total annual rent of $\$ 250,000$. For each year thereafter, the lessee shall pay an annual rent amount equal to the previous year's rent plus an increase not to exceed the effective percentage change in the Consumer Price Index (Specifically CPI-U for Dallas-Fort Worth region) for the previous 12-month period. Total rental payments received in 2019 were approximately $\$ 303,941$.

## 15. SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

On April 27, 1999, the City entered into a Dispute Settlement Agreement and Agreement Not To Pursue Claim (the "Dispute Settlement Agreement") with the Texas Rangers baseball club (the "Rangers"). The Dispute Settlement Agreement relates to the amount of costs of acquiring certain tracts of land for the Project, which the City alleged should be paid by the Rangers (the "Claim").

The Dispute Settlement Agreement requires the Rangers to make annual installment payments, without interest, to the City on or before December 31 of each year as follows:

| Year | Amount <br> 2019 <br> 2020 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2021 | 727,500 |
| 2022 | 727,500 |
| 2023 | 727,500 |
| 2024 | 727,500 |
|  | $\underline{715,829}$ |
| Less Discount | $\underline{4,353,329}$ |
|  | $\underline{\$ 3,93,297}$ |
|  | $\underline{3,032}$ |

The total is reported as a settlement agreement receivable by the City. The payment amounts will be reduced effective in fiscal year 2016 to reflect reduced interest rates. The payment in 2024 is due on or before March 1. By entering into this agreement, the City agreed to release and discharge the Rangers from the Claim.

## 16. TEXAS RANGERS CAPITAL LEASE

A lease agreement was executed on June 23, 1992 between the Texas Rangers, Ltd. (the Rangers) and the City for the Ballpark Complex Development (the Facility). The lease is a triple net lease to the Rangers, with the Rangers retaining all concession and signage rights. The Rangers agreed to pay a base rent of $\$ 2,000,000$ per year for the 30 -year term of the lease. At the end of the lease, the Rangers had the option to purchase the Facility, excluding the linear park, at a cost of $\$ 60,000,000$, with full credit given for all base and additional rents paid, as well as up to $\$ 1,500,000$ annual credit for maintenance costs paid on the Facility by the Rangers.

Pursuant to applicable accounting standards, the lease of the Facility to the Rangers has been accounted for as a capital lease.

Minimum future rentals are as follows:

| September 30 |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2020 | $\$ 2,000,000$ |
| 2021 | $2,000,000$ |
| 2022 | $2,000,000$ |
| 2023 | $2,000,000$ |
| 2024 | $\underline{1,055,556}$ |
|  | $9,055,556$ |
| Less Discount | $\underline{1,468,323}$ |
| Minimum future lease rentals | $\underline{\underline{\$ 7,587,233}}$ |

In November 2016, Arlington citizens voted to build a new Rangers stadium. The current lease will continue until 2024 or until the new ballpark construction is complete, whichever occurs first. The new lease term begins on the operational date when the new ballpark is complete and will be accounted for as a capital lease. The lease for the
new ballpark will continue through 2054, and the Rangers will pay $\$ 2,000,000$ per year in rent. At the end of the new lease, the Rangers have the option to purchase the Facility, at a cost of the difference of $\$ 100,000,000$ and the sum of all rent paid, all operating costs project costs and tenant specific costs paid by the Rangers.

## 17. CONDENSED COMPONENT UNIT INFORMATION

The City includes seven discretely presented component units in its reporting entity (see note I.B.). Condensed component unit information for the year ended September 30, 2019, for all discretely presented component units is as follows (amounts in thousands):

| Condensed Sch | ule of Net P |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Arlington <br> Tomorrow <br> Foundation | Housing <br> Authority | Other <br> Discretely <br> Presented <br> Component <br> Units | Total <br> Discretely <br> Presented <br> Component <br> Units |
| Current and other assets | \$ 79,259 | \$ 5,110 | \$ 2,648 | \$87,017 |
| Capital assets | - | 224 | 2,365 | 2,589 |
| Total assets | 79,259 | 5,334 | 5,013 | 89,606 |
| Other liabilities and deferred inflows of resources | 2,129 | 1,097 | 524 | 3,750 |
| Total liabilities | 2,129 | 1,097 | 524 | 3,750 |
| Net position: |  |  |  |  |
| Net investment in capital assets | - | 224 | 2,365 | 2,589 |
| Restricted | 77,130 | 170 | 300 | 77,600 |
| Unrestricted | - | 3,843 | 1,824 | 5,667 |
| Total net position | \$ 77,130 | \$ 4,237 | \$ 4,489 | \$ 85,856 |


|  | Condensed Schedule of Activities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Arlington <br> Tomorrow <br> Foundation | Housing <br> Authority | Other <br> Discretely <br> Presented <br> Component <br> Units | Total <br> Discretely <br> Presented <br> Component <br> Units |
| Expenses | \$ 2,055 | \$ 31,996 | \$ 10,363 | \$ 44,414 |
| Program Revenues: |  |  |  |  |
| Charges for services | - | - | 9,338 | 9,338 |
| Operating grants and contributions | - | 31,223 | 1,255 | 32,478 |
| Capital grants and contributions | - | - | - | - |
| Net Program (Expense) Revenue | $(2,055)$ | (773) | 230 | $(2,598)$ |
| Interest Revenues | 5,951 | 77 | 9 | 6,037 |
| Other NonTax General Revenues | (136) | 258 | 4 | 126 |
| Change in Net Position | 3,760 | (438) | 243 | 3,565 |
| Net position, October 1 | 73,370 | 4,675 | 4,246 | 82,291 |
| Net position, September 30 | \$ 77,130 | \$ 4,237 | \$ 4,489 | \$ 85,856 |

## 18. TAX ABATEMENTS

As of September 30, 2019, the City of Arlington (City) provides for tax abatements and tax rebates through two mechanisms - Tax Abatement Agreements and Chapter 380 Agreements. The City's Tax Abatements are authorized under Chapter 312 of the Texas Tax Code and the City's Policy Statement for Tax Abatement. Under a tax abatement agreement, the taxable value is reduced by a specific percentage, and the amount of the abatement is deducted from the recipient's tax bill. The City's tax abatements are administered by Tarrant Appraisal District. Chapter 380 agreements are authorized under VTCA Local Government Code Chapter 380 and the City's Chapter 380 Economic Development Programs Policies and Procedures. Under a 380 agreement, the recipient pays the total taxes due to the City, and the City rebates a portion of taxes paid based on the terms of the agreement.

For financial reporting purposes, a tax abatement is defined as a reduction in tax revenues that results from an agreement between one or more governments and an individual or entity in which (a) one or more governments promise to forgo tax revenues to which they are otherwise entitled and (b) the individual or entity promises to take a specific action after the agreement has been entered into that contributes to economic development or otherwise benefits the governments or the citizens of those governments. A transaction's substance, not its form or title, is the key factor in determining whether the transaction meets the definition of a tax abatement for the purpose of this disclosure. Therefore, the City's 380 agreements are being disclosed, as the substance of the rebates meets the definition of a tax abatement for purposes of financial reporting.

The City provides tax abatements for economic development in three categories - (1) Development and Redevelopment, (2) Recruitment, and (3) Retention:

## Development and Redevelopment

The City provides development and redevelopment tax abatements to encourage development of remaining Greenfield sites with highest and best uses, and transformational redevelopment of existing sites with high community impact. Abatements are obtained through an application and evaluation process, with ultimate approval authorized by the City Council. Property owners are required to complete the City's Application for Incentives providing a complete description of the project, method of financing, descriptive list of improvements, schedule for completion, estimated taxable value of improvements, level of abatement requested, jobs created (if applicable), and any other incentives requested. Applications are evaluated to determine if the project meets the criteria for a development/redevelopment tax abatement. The City abates up to $100 \%$ percent of the additional property tax resulting from the increased appraised value as a result of the improvements. Property owners are required to pay $100 \%$ of the property tax on the base year value. The City may also rebate a portion of the sales, hotel occupancy, and mixed beverage taxes generated by a project. In exchange for the abatement/rebatement, the recipient commits to comply with the terms of the agreement, such as project completion deadlines, capital investment, and minimum added value requirements. If the recipient fails to meet the improvement conditions, the agreement enters a breach status, and the City provides a $30-60$-day cure period. If the recipient fails to cure the breach, the City may terminate the agreement and recapture any taxes abated/rebated per the terms of the agreement. As part of a tax abatement/rebatement, the City may make other commitments to support development and redevelopment projects (e.g., development fee waivers, infrastructure improvements, etc.).

## Recruitment

The City offers recruitment tax abatement agreements to attract and incentivize new business to the City. Abatements may be granted to a company agreeing to relocate to the City or to establish new business in the City; the project must meet requirements of the Tax Code and the City's policy statements to be considered for an abatement. The City may grant tax abatements for recruitment if the City Council finds the abatement is in the public interest because it will facilitate one or more of the following objectives: (1) increase tax base, (2) provide quality employment, and (3) contribute to the diversity and quality of Arlington's business community. The value and duration of the tax abatement is determined by the degree to which the project meets the objectives of the City's Economic Development Strategic Plan, number and types of jobs to be created, and sales taxes, hotel taxes or other incomes that would be generated. Additional levels of abatement are considered based upon the
project's employment numbers, industry type, and wages. Applicants undergo the same application and evaluation process required for development/redevelopment abatements. The City abates up to $100 \%$ percent of the additional property taxes (i.e., real estate, business personal property, or both) resulting from the increased appraised value as a result of the project. The City may also rebate a portion of the sales, hotel occupancy, and mixed beverage taxes generated by a project. In exchange for the abatement/rebatements(s), the recipient commits to comply with the terms of the agreement, such as project completion deadlines, added value requirements, job creation, etc. If the recipient fails to comply, the same breach and recapture provisions described above may apply. Based on the economic impact of the project, the City may make other commitments to the recipient in addition to the tax abatement/rebatement. Additional incentives include development fee waivers, infrastructure improvements, and grants for hiring Arlington residents.

## Retention

The City offers retention tax abatements to incentivize existing businesses to remain in the City and to encourage renovation, expansion, and job growth. Abatements may be granted to existing businesses looking to expand and renovate existing facilities or to construct new facilities to accommodate product/service demand and employment growth. Criteria for retention abatements include increasing and preserving the City's tax base, creating and retaining employment opportunities, and updating the skills of existing employees. The value and duration of the tax abatement is determined by the degree to which the project meets the objectives of the City's Economic Development Strategic Plan, number and types of jobs to be created/retained, community impact, and sales taxes, hotel taxes or other incomes that would be generated. Additional levels of abatement are considered based upon the project's employment numbers, industry type, and wages. Applicants undergo the same application and evaluation process required for all abatements. The City abates up to $100 \%$ percent of the additional property tax (i.e., real estate, business personal property, or both) resulting from the increased appraised value as a result of the project. The City may also rebate a portion of the sales taxes and other income generated from the project. A portion of the City's sales tax collections generated by the recipient's purchase or sale of taxable items associated with the project and consummated in the City may be rebated for a specified period. In exchange for abatement/rebatement(s), the recipient commits to comply with the terms of the agreement, including project completion deadlines, added value and/or taxable sales requirements, and job creation and retention numbers. If the recipient fails to comply, the same breach and recapture provisions described for all abatements may apply. As part of a tax abatement, the City can make other commitments to support business retention (e.g., development fee waivers, infrastructure improvements, hiring grants, etc.).

## Tax Abatement Program

|  |  | Hotel <br> Occupancy |  |  |  | Mixed |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Beverage | Other |  |  |  |  |  |
| Program | Property Tax | Sales Tax | Tax | Taxes | Commitments |  |
| Development/Redevelopment | 113,396 | 29,560 | 124,390 | 9,460 | - |  |
| Recruitment | 483,944 | $1,785,186$ | - | - | 5,000 |  |
| Retention | $2,512,347$ | - | - | - | 99,177 |  |
| Total Tax Abated | $3,109,687$ | $1,814,746$ | 124,390 | 9,460 | 104,177 |  |

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2019, the City's property tax revenues were reduced by $\$ 3,109,687$ under active tax abatement and Chapter 380 agreements for Development/Redevelopment, Recruitment, and Retention. Sales tax revenues were reduced by a total of $\$ 1,814,745$ for Development/Redevelopment and Recruitment rebatements. Hotel occupancy tax revenues were reduced by $\$ 124,390$ and mixed beverage taxes were reduced by $\$ 9,460$ for Development/Redevelopment rebatements. In addition to tax abatements/rebatements, recipients qualified for $\$ 104,177$ in other commitments from the City in the form of development fee waivers or grants for hiring Arlington residents.

Tax revenues were reduced as a result of the City's tax abatement agreements only; no other governments' tax abatement agreements caused a reduction in the City's tax revenues. However, the City may also act as a conduit for the refunding of state sales, hotel occupancy, and mixed beverage taxes generated by a qualifying project under Sections 151.429 (h), 351.102, and 351.1022 of the Texas Tax Code, Section 2303.5055 of the Texas Government Code, and other applicable laws.

## 19. POLLUTION REMEDIATION

The City is responsible for following all applicable environmental rules when managing sites with environmental clean-up or management requirements. The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) requires that the City conduct groundwater monitoring of the leaking petroleum storage tanks. The liability is calculated using the current value of outlays to remediate the properties - the amount that would be paid if all equipment, facilities, and services included in the estimate were acquired during the current period. The liability is an estimate and is subject to revision as a result of price increases or reductions, changes in technology, or changes in applicable laws or regulations. As of September 30, 2019, the environmental remediation liability is $\$ 476,000$. At this time the City is unable to estimate any recoveries to reduce the liability.

## 20. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

There were no subsequent events that require disclosure at this time.


CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (Unaudited)
BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE
GENERAL FUND (BUDGETARY BASIS)
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2019
(AMOUNTS EXPRESSED IN THOUSANDS)

|  | Budgeted Amounts |  |  |  | Actual Amounts |  |  |  |  |  | Variance with Final BudgetPositive (Negative) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original |  | Final |  | Actual |  | Adjustments to Budgetary Basis |  | Actual on Budgetary Basis |  |  |  |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes | \$ | 174,806 | \$ | 174,806 | \$ | 175,985 | \$ | - | \$ | 175,985 | \$ | 1,179 |
| Licenses and permits |  | 7,245 |  | 7,245 |  | 9,955 |  | - |  | 9,955 |  | 2,710 |
| Utility franchise fees |  | 37,893 |  | 37,893 |  | 24,921 |  | 8,775 |  | 33,696 |  | $(4,197)$ |
| Fines and forfeitures |  | 9,929 |  | 9,929 |  | 10,853 |  |  |  | 10,853 |  | 924 |
| Leases, rents and concessions |  | 6,575 |  | 6,575 |  | 9,172 |  | - |  | 9,172 |  | 2,597 |
| Service charges |  | 27,789 |  | 27,789 |  | 9,743 |  | 15,465 |  | 25,208 |  | $(2,581)$ |
| Interest revenue |  | 1,268 |  | 1,268 |  | 2,556 |  | (800) |  | 1,756 |  | 488 |
| Other revenue |  | 1,012 |  | 1,012 |  | 2,124 |  | - |  | 2,124 |  | 1,112 |
| Net increase (decrease) in the fair value of investments |  | - |  | - |  | 993 |  | - |  | 993 |  | 993 |
| Total Revenues |  | 266,517 |  | 266,517 |  | 246,302 |  | 23,440 |  | 269,742 |  | 3,225 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General government |  | 63,669 |  | 63,669 |  | 44,881 |  | 16,346 |  | 61,227 |  | 2,442 |
| Public safety |  | 174,488 |  | 174,957 |  | 163,660 |  | 10,200 |  | 173,860 |  | 1,097 |
| Public works |  | 26,021 |  | 26,021 |  | 23,541 |  | 2,770 |  | 26,311 |  | (290) |
| Public health |  | 2,423 |  | 2,423 |  | 2,256 |  | 215 |  | 2,471 |  | (48) |
| Parks and recreation |  | 17,383 |  | 17,383 |  | 17,000 |  | (263) |  | 16,737 |  | 646 |
| Total Expenditures |  | 283,984 |  | 284,453 |  | 251,338 |  | 29,268 |  | 280,606 |  | 3,847 |
| Excess (Deficiency) Of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | $(17,467)$ |  | $(17,936)$ |  | $(5,036)$ |  | $(5,828)$ |  | $(10,864)$ |  | 7,072 |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers in |  | 8,371 |  | 8,371 |  | 22,387 |  | (211) |  | 22,176 |  | 13,805 |
| Transfers out |  | $(7,643)$ |  | $(7,643)$ |  | $(17,759)$ |  | 10,104 |  | $(7,655)$ |  | (12) |
| Total Other Financing Sources (Uses) |  | 728 |  | 728 |  | 4,628 |  | 9,893 |  | 14,521 |  | 13,793 |
| Net Change In Fund Balances |  | $(16,739)$ |  | $(17,208)$ |  | (408) |  | 4,065 |  | 3,657 |  | 20,865 |
| Fund Balances, October 1 |  | 66,306 |  | 66,306 |  | 66,306 |  | 66,306 |  | 66,306 |  | - |
| Fund Balances, September 30 | \$ | 49,567 | \$ | 49,098 | \$ | 65,898 | \$ | 70,371 | \$ | 69,963 | \$ | 20,865 |

## CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS <br> REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (Unaudited) <br> SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE CITY'S NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS-TMRS LAST 10 FISCAL YEARS (will ultimately be displayed)

| Fiscal year ending December 31, |  | $\underline{2014}$ |  | $\underline{2015}$ |  | $\underline{2016}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total pension liability |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service Cost | \$ | 22,819,492 | \$ | 24,035,779 | \$ | 26,189,763 |
| Interest on total pension liability |  | 69,393,550 |  | 71,780,403 |  | 72,528,701 |
| Difference between expected and actual experience |  | $(10,846,092)$ |  | $(8,105,420)$ |  | 1,387,760 |
| Changes of assumptions |  | - |  | 9,851,969 |  |  |
| Benefit payments and refunds |  | $(46,622,851)$ |  | $(49,131,541)$ |  | $(50,018,009)$ |
| Net change in total pension liability |  | 34,744,099 |  | 48,431,190 |  | 50,088,215 |
| Total pension liability-beginning |  | 1,003,238,111 |  | 1,037,982,210 |  | 1,086,413,400 |
| Total pension liability-ending |  | 1,037,982,210 |  | 1,086,413,400 |  | 1,136,501,615 |
| Plan fiduciary net position |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contribution-employer | \$ | 24,198,117 | \$ | 24,012,910 | \$ | 23,983,655 |
| Contribution-member |  | 10,501,146 |  | 10,884,708 |  | 11,245,390 |
| Net investment income |  | 51,180,304 |  | 1,377,207 |  | 62,140,092 |
| Benefit payments and refunds |  | $(46,622,851)$ |  | $(49,131,541)$ |  | $(50,018,009)$ |
| Administrative expense |  | $(534,366)$ |  | $(838,887)$ |  | $(701,918)$ |
| Other |  | $(43,934)$ |  | $(41,433)$ |  | $(37,818)$ |
| Net change in plan fiduciary net position |  | 38,678,416 |  | $(13,737,036)$ |  | 46,611,392 |
| Plan fiduciary net position-beginning |  | 894,699,665 |  | 933,378,081 |  | 919,641,045 |
| Plan fiduciary net position-ending | \$ | 933,378,081 | \$ | 919,641,045 | \$ | 966,252,437 |
| Net pension liability |  | 104,604,129 |  | 166,772,355 |  | 170,249,178 |
| Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Covered payroll |  | 149,837,550 |  | 154,372,375 |  | 160,574,881 |
| Net pension liability as a percentage of covered payroll |  | 69.81\% |  | 108.03\% |  | 106.02\% |

Note: GASB 68 requires 10 fiscal years of data. The City will build this schedule over the next 10-year period.
$\underline{2017}$
$\underline{2018}$

| $\$ 27,615,497$ | $\$$ | $28,887,256$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $75,735,090$ | $79,408,986$ |  |
| $6,101,332$ | $6,665,740$ |  |
| - | - |  |
| $(56,616,054)$ | $(54,703,360)$ |  |
| $52,835,865$ | $60,258,622$ |  |
| $1,136,501,615$ | $1,189,337,480$ |  |
| $\$ 1,189,337,480$ | $\$ 1,249,596,102$ |  |


| $\$ 26,419,418$ | $\$$ | $28,034,989$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $11,876,965$ | $12,429,434$ |  |
| $133,891,278$ | $(32,369,787)$ |  |
| $(56,616,054)$ | $(54,703,360)$ |  |
| $(694,027)$ | $(625,874)$ |  |
| $(35,172)$ | $(32,699)$ |  |
| $114,842,408$ | $(47,267,297)$ |  |
| $966,252,437$ | $1,081,094,845$ |  |
| $\$ 1,081,094,845$ | $\$ 1,033,827,548$ |  |
|  |  |  |
| $108,242,635$ | $215,768,553$ |  |
|  |  |  |
| $90.90 \%$ | $82.73 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |
| $169,628,359$ | $177,549,206$ |  |
|  |  |  |
| $63.81 \%$ | $121.53 \%$ |  |

CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (Unaudited)
SCHEDULE OF THE CITY'S CONTRIBUTIONS-TMRS
LAST 10 FISCAL YEARS (will ultimately be displayed)

|  |  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  | 2017 |  | 2018 |  | 2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actuarially Determined Contribution | \$ | 23,963,340 | \$ | 24,833,575 | \$ | 25,821,067 | \$ | 27,624,357 | \$ | 29,093,440 |
| Contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contribution | \$ | 24,327,508 | \$ | 24,587,350 | \$ | 26,075,876 | \$ | 27,711,328 | \$ | 29,102,133 |
| Contribution deficiency (excess) | \$ | $(364,168)$ | \$ | 246,225 | \$ | $(254,809)$ | \$ | $(86,971)$ | \$ | $(8,693)$ |
| Covered employee payroll |  | 153,414,470 |  | 164,680,024 |  | 167,367,622 |  | 75,499,227 |  | 184,074,211 |
| Contributions as a percentage of covered employee payroll |  | 16.24\% |  | 14.93\% |  | 15.58\% |  | 15.79\% |  | 15.81\% |

## Notes to Schedule of Contributions

## Valuation Date:

Notes Actuarially determined contribution rates are calculated as of December 31 and become effective in January, 13 months later.

## Methods and Assumptions Used to Determine Contribution Rates:

| Actuarial Cost Method | Entry Age Normal |
| :--- | :--- |
| Amortization Method | Level Percentage of Payroll, Closed |
| Remaining Amortization Period | 27 years |
| Asset Valuation Method | 10 Year smoothed market; $15 \%$ soft corridor |
| Inflation | $2.50 \%$ |
| Salary Increases | $3.5 \%$ to $10.50 \%$ including inflation |
| Investment Rate of Return | $6.75 \%$ |
| Retirement Age | Experience-based table of rates that are specific to the City's plan of benefits. Last |
|  | updated for the 2015 valuation pursuant to an experience study of the period 2010 - |
|  | 2014 |
| Mortality | RP2000 Combined Mortality Table with Blue Collar Adjustment with male rates |
|  | multiplied by $109 \%$ and female rates multiplied at $103 \%$ and projected on a fully |
|  | generational basis with scale BB |

## Other Information:

Notes
There were no benefit changes during the year.
GASB 68 requires 10 fiscal years of data. The City will build this schedule over the remaining 10-year period.


CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (Unaudited)
PART-TIME, SEASONAL AND TEMPORARY EMPLOYEES DEFERRED INCOME PLAN SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE CITY'S NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS LAST 10 FISCAL YEARS (will ultimately be displayed)

| Fiscal year ending June 30 |  | $\underline{2014}$ |  | $\underline{2015}$ |  | $\underline{2016}$ |  | $\underline{2017}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total pension liability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service cost | \$ | 110,970 | \$ | 115,148 | \$ | 184,052 | \$ | 187,047 |
| Interest on total pension liability |  | 115,570 |  | 123,377 |  | 128,068 |  | 127,591 |
| Difference between expected actual experience |  | 957 |  | $(64,695)$ |  | $(71,751)$ |  | $(76,857)$ |
| Assumption changes |  | - |  |  |  | 125,033 |  |  |
| Benefit payments and refunds |  | $(78,517)$ |  | $(96,757)$ |  | $(149,233)$ |  | $(137,960)$ |
| Net change in total pension liability |  | 148,980 |  | 77,073 |  | 216,169 |  | 99,821 |
| Total pension liability-beginning |  | 2,085,050 |  | 2,234,030 |  | 2,311,103 |  | 2,527,272 |
| Total pension liability-ending | \$ | 2,234,030 | \$ | 2,311,103 | \$ | 2,527,272 | \$ | 2,627,093 |
| Plan fiduciary net position |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contribution-employer | \$ | 21,324 | \$ | 22,419 | \$ | 53,802 | \$ | 60,813 |
| Contribution-member |  | 99,684 |  | 98,985 |  | 100,575 |  | 101,289 |
| Net investment income |  | 220,495 |  | 63,092 |  | 98,561 |  | 130,343 |
| Benefit payments and refunds |  | $(78,517)$ |  | $(96,757)$ |  | $(149,233)$ |  | $(137,960)$ |
| Administrative expense |  | $(46,485)$ |  | $(75,217)$ |  | $(56,783)$ |  | $(44,296)$ |
| Net change in plan fiduciary net position |  | 216,501 |  | 12,522 |  | 46,922 |  | 110,189 |
| Plan fiduciary net position-beginning |  | 2,452,024 |  | 2,668,525 |  | 2,681,047 |  | 2,727,969 |
| Plan fiduciary net position-ending | \$ | 2,668,525 | \$ | 2,681,047 | \$ | 2,727,969 | \$ | 2,838,158 |
| Net pension asset |  | $(434,495)$ |  | $(369,944)$ |  | $(200,697)$ |  | $(211,065)$ |
| Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage <br> $\begin{array}{llll}\text { of total pension asset } 119.40 \% & 116.00 \% & 108.00 \% & 108.00 \%\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Covered employee payroll |  | 3,320,801 |  | 3,299,575 |  | 3,352,337 |  | 3,373,159 |
| Net pension asset as a percentage of covered payroll |  | 15.20\% |  | 14.30\% |  | 6.00\% |  | 6.30\% |



```
CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (Unaudited)
PART-TIME, SEASONAL AND TEMPORARY EMPLOYEES DEFERRED INCOME PLAN
SCHEDULE OF THE CITY'S CONTRIBUTIONS
LAST 10 FISCAL YEARS (will ultimately be displayed)
```

| Fiscal year ending June 30, |  | 2014 |  |  | 2015 |  |  | 2016 |  |  | 2017 |  | 2018 | 2019 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actuarially determined contribution | \$ | 21,324 | \$ | \$ | 22,419 | \$ |  | 53,802 | \$ | \$ | 81,875 | \$ | 71,762 | \$ | 92,340 |
| Actual contribution |  | 21,324 |  |  | 22,419 |  |  | 53,802 |  |  | 60,813 |  | 46,504 |  | 82,293 |
| Contribution deficiency (excess) | \$ | - | \$ | \$ | - | \$ |  | - | \$ |  | 21,062 | \$ | 25,258 | \$ | 10,047 |
| Covered employee payroll | \$ 2,849,197 |  | \$2,590,679 |  |  | \$3,352,500 |  |  | \$3,376,300 |  |  | \$3,337,767 |  | \$ 3,657,033 |  |
| Contribution as a percentage of covered employee payroll |  | 0.7\% |  |  | 0.9\% |  |  | 1.6\% |  |  | 1.8\% |  | 1.4\% |  | 2.3\% |

## Notes to Schedule

Valuation date:

The actuarially determined contribution rate documented in the schedule is calculated as of July 1, 2019. The actuarial methods and assumptions used to determine the contribution rates reported in that schedule include:

Actuarial cost method Amortization method Asset valuation method Investment rate of return Projected salary increases Inflation rate Cost of living increases Mortality

Aggregate cost method
Level percent of payroll, open
Market value
5.0\%
3.0\%
2.5\%
none
RP-2000 Combined tables with Blue Collar adjustment, projected with scale BB

## CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (Unaudited)
SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS - DISABILITY INCOME PLAN
LAST 10 FISCAL YEARS (will ultimately be displayed)

| Fiscal year ending December 31, |  | 2017 | $\underline{2018}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total pension liability |  |  |  |
| Service Cost | \$ | - | \$ - |
| Interest on total pension liability |  | 70,519 | 68,017 |
| Changes of benefit terms |  | - |  |
| Differences between expected and |  |  |  |
| Changes of assumptions |  |  |  |
| Benefit payments and refunds |  | $(121,545)$ | $(119,556)$ |
| Net change in total pension liability |  | $(51,026)$ | $(11,283)$ |
| Total pension liability-beginning |  | 1,471,153 | 1,420,127 |
| Total pension liability-ending | \$ | 1,420,127 | \$ 1,408,844 |

Plan fiduciary net position
Employer contributions

| Nonemployer contributing entities |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\quad$ contributions |  | - |
| Employee contributions | - | - |
| OPEB plan net investment income | 96,395 | $(23,482)$ |
| Benefit payments | $(121,545)$ | $(119,556)$ |
| OPEB plan administrative expense | $(18,204)$ | $(6,607)$ |
| Other | - | - |
| Net change in plan fiduciary net position | 26,042 | $(75,685)$ |
| Plan fiduciary net position - beginning |  | $1,295,794$ |
| Plan fiduciary net position - ending | $1,321,836$ |  |
| Net OPEB liability - ending | $\$$ | $1,321,836$ |


| Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| of total OPEB liability | $93.08 \%$ | $88.45 \%$ |
| Covered employee payroll | N/A | N/A |
| Total OPEB liability as a percentage of payroll | N/A | N/A |

Notes There were no benefit changes during the year.
GASB 75 requires 10 fiscal years of data. The City will build this schedule over the remaining 10-year period.
In September of 2012, the City amended the Disability Income Plan to close the plan to any future disabled employees. There is no longer any liability attributable to the City's active employees.

| FY Ending <br> September 30, | Actuarially Determined Contribution |  |  | nual <br> bution |  | ontribution Deficiency (Excess) | Covered Payroll | Actual Contribution as $a \%$ of Covered Payroll |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | \$ | 36,205 | \$ | 72,769 | \$ | $(36,564)$ | N/A | N/A |
| 2019 | \$ | 40,577 | \$ | 76,844 | \$ | $(36,267)$ | N/A | N/A |

## Notes to Schedule of Contributions

## Valuation Date:

## Methods and Assumptions:

| Actuarial Cost Method | Individual Entry Age Normal |
| :--- | :--- |
| Amortization Method | Level Dollar |
| Amortization Period | 4 years remaining, as of the beginning of FYE 19 |
| Asset Valuation | Market Value |
| Investment Rate of Return | $5.00 \%$ |
| Inflation | $2.50 \%$ |
| Salary Increases | N/A; no active employees |
| Mortality | The mortality table for disabled retirees was the RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality |
|  | Tables with Blue Collar Adjustment table for males and females with a 3 year set- <br> forward; multiplied by $109 \%$ for males and $103 \%$ for females. The rates are projected <br> on a fully generational basis by scale BB to account for future mortality improvements. <br> In addition, there is a $3 \%$ minimum mortality probability to reflect impaired mortality for |
| this group. |  |

CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (Unaudited)
SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS - POSTEMPLOYMENT HEALTHCARE PLAN
LAST 10 FISCAL YEARS (will ultimately be displayed)
The following table discloses certain historical trend information (amounts expressed in millions, except for percentages):

## Fiscal year ending December 31, <br> $\underline{2017}$ <br> $\underline{2018}$

## Total OPEB liability

| Service Cost | \$ | 1,955,045 | \$ 2,288,267 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest on total pension liability |  | 4,055,831 | 3,688,623 |
| Difference between expected and actual experience |  | - | 1,772,265 |
| Changes of assumptions |  | 5,533,607 | $(2,475,508)$ |
| Benefit payments and refunds |  | $(5,722,995)$ | $(7,726,176)$ |
| Net change in total OPEB liability |  | 5,821,488 | $(2,452,529)$ |
| Total pension liability-beginning |  | 108,336,234 | 114,157,722 |
| Total OPEB liability-ending | \$ | 114,157,722 | \$ 111,705,193 |
| Covered employee payroll |  | 151,329,108 | 171,282,611 |
| Total OPEB liability as a percentage of payroll |  | 75.36\% | 69.14\% |

Note: GASB 75 requires 10 fiscal years of data. The City will build this schedule over the next 10-year period.

No assets are accumulated in a trust that meets the criteria in paragraph 4 of GASB Statement No. 75 to pay related benefits.

| FY Ending <br> September 30, | Total OPEB <br> Liability | Annual <br> Contribution | Covered <br> Payroll | OPEB Liability <br> as a \% of <br> Covered Payroll |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | $\$ 114,157,722$ | $\$ 6,797,201$ | $\$$ | $151,479,036$ |  |
| 2019 | $\$ 111,705,193$ | $\$ 6,663,044$ | $\$$ | $161,558,413$ | $65.36 \%$ |

## Notes to Schedule of Contributions

## Valuation Date:

Methods and Assumptions:

Actuarial Cost Method
Asset Valuation
Investment Rate of Return
Inflation
Salary Increases
Mortality

Future Uncertainty or Risk

Notes

December 31, 2018

Individual Entry Age Normal
N/A
N/A
2.50\%
3.50\%-10.50\% depending on years of service with TMRS

Healthy Retirees-The gender-distinct RP2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Tables with Blue Collar Adjustment are used with male rates multiplied by $109 \%$ and female rates multiplied by 103\%. The rates are projected on a fully generational basis by scale BB to account for future mortality improvements. Disabled Retirees-same as healthy except with a 3 year set-forward. There is a $3 \%$ minimum mortality probability to reflect impaired mortality for this group. Active Members-Genderdistinct RP2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Tables with Blue Collar Adjustment with male rates multiplied by $54.5 \%$ and female rates multiplied by $51.5 \%$. The rates are projected on a fully generational basis by scale $B B$ to account for future mortality improvements.
Future results may differ from those anticipated in this valuation. Reasons include, but are not limited to actual medical trend differing from expected; changes in the healthcare plan designs offered; participant behavior or experience differing from expected

GASB 75 requires 10 fiscal years of data. The City will build this schedule over the remaining 10-year period.

CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (Unaudited)
SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE CITY'S NET SUPPLEMENTAL DEATH BENEFITS FUND-TMRS
LAST 10 FISCAL YEARS (will ultimately be displayed)

| Fiscal year ending December 31, |  | 2017 |  | $\underline{2018}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total pension liability |  |  |  |  |
| Service Cost | \$ | 220,517 | \$ | 284,079 |
| Interest on total pension liability |  | 280,476 |  | 284,647 |
| Difference between expected and actual experience |  | - |  | $(107,778)$ |
| Changes of assumptions |  | 716,266 |  | $(633,002)$ |
| Benefit payments and refunds |  | $(67,851)$ |  | $(71,020)$ |
| Net change in total pension liability |  | 1,149,408 |  | $(243,074)$ |
| Total pension liability-beginning |  | 7,343,661 |  | 8,493,069 |
| Total pension liability-ending | \$ | 8,493,069 | \$ | 8,249,995 |
| Covered employee payroll |  | n/a |  | n/a |
| Total OPEB liability as a percentage of payroll |  | n/a |  | n/a |

Note: GASB 75 requires 10 fiscal years of data. The City will build this schedule over the next 10-year period. No assets are accumulated in a trust that meets the criteria in paragraph 4 of GASB Statement No. 75 to pay related benefits.

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (Unaudited)
SCHEDULE OF THE CITY'S CONTRIBUTIONS-SUPPLEMENTAL DEATH BENEFIT TMRS
LAST 10 FISCAL YEARS (will ultimately be displayed)

| FY Ending |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 30, | Actuarially <br> Determined <br> Contribution | Annual <br> Contribution | Covered <br> Payroll | Actual Contribution <br> as a \% of <br> Covered Payroll |  |
| 2018 | $\$ 8,493,069$ | $\$$ | 67,851 | N/A | N/A |
| 2019 | $\$ 8,249,995$ | $\$$ | 73,060 | N/A | N/A |

## Notes to Schedule of Contributions

## Valuation Date:

## Methods and Assumptions:

Inflation
Salary Increases
Discount Rate
Mortality

December 31, 2018
2.50\%
$3.50 \%$ to $10.5 \%$ including inflation

### 3.71\%

Service Retirees-RP2000 Combined Mortality Table with Blue Collar Adjustment with male rates multiplied by $109 \%$ and female rates multiplied by $103 \%$ and projected on a fully generational basis with scale BB. The mortality table for disabled retirees was the RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Tables with Blue Collar Adjustment males multiplied by $109 \%$ and female rates multiplied by $103 \%$ with a 3 year set-forward for both males and females. The rates are projected on a fully generational basis by scale BB to account for future mortality improvements subject to the $3 \%$ floor.

Notes

GASB 75 requires 10 fiscal years of data. The City will build this schedule over the remaining 10-year period.

No assets are accumulated in a trust that meets the criteria in paragraph 4 of GASB Statement No. 75 to pay related benefits

# NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS 

## SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION FUND - This fund accounts for money received from the Urban Mass Transportation Administration and related expenditures for the operation of a transportation system for the elderly and handicapped and various capital expenditures for transportation related projects.

STREET MAINTENANCE FUND - This fund accounts for money received from the Street Maintenance Fee to be used for the maintenance, rehabilitation, and repair of existing city streets.

DOWNTOWN TAX INCREMENT FINANCING (DOWNTOWN TIF) FUND - This fund was established to facilitate redevelopment in the downtown area.

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FUND - This fund accounts for money received from the State Department of Highways and Public Transportation and summarizes related expenditures for statewide transportation enforcement programs.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT FUND - This fund accounts for money received from the Federal Department of Housing and Urban Development through the block grant program. These funds are used to help the City as a whole and specific sectors for various projects as allowable in the grant funding guidelines.

HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP FUND - This fund accounts for money received from the Federal Department of Housing and Urban Development through the HOME program grant. These funds are to expand the supply of decent, affordable housing for the low and very-low income families in Arlington.

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY FUND (FEMA) - This fund accounts for money received from the Department of Homeland Security. These funds are used to support the Emergency Operations Center.

GAS LEASE FUND - This fund accounts for the money received for various gas leases throughout the City.

INNOVATION AND VENTURE CAPITAL FUND - This fund supports costs associated with the City's economic development and various Chapter 380 agreements.

PARK PERFORMANCE FUND - This fund accounts for the revenues and expenditures from golf and other recreational activities.

CONVENTION AND EVENT SERVICES FUND - This fund accounts for the operations of the Convention Center.

OTHER SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS - Other Special Revenue Funds consist of special revenue funds which are individually insignificant to the Special Revenue Fund's assets,
liabilities, revenues, expenditures, and fund balance as a whole. These funds are the Texas Department of Aviation, Federal Drug Enforcement Administration, North Central Texas Council of Governments, Emergency Physicians' Advisory Board, Emergency Shelter Grant, Arlington Telecable, Law Enforcement Officers Standards and Education, Court Security, Police Restricted Fund, Texas Criminal Justice Division, U.S. Department of Justice, Local Law Enforcement Block Grant, Court Technology Fund, Texas State Library, Gifts and Donations, Auto Theft Prevention, Historic Preservation, Tax Increment Reinvestment Zone \#5, Core Tax Increment Reinvestment Zone \#5, Juvenile Case Manager, Texas Parks and Wildlife, Viridian TIRZ \#6, Downtown BID, Disaster Assistance, Miscellaneous Grants, and Building Rehabilitation.

## CAPITAL PROJECTS FUNDS

MUNICIPAL OFFICE FACILITIES CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND - The primary purpose of this fund is to account for expenditures connected with the planning, construction, and refurbishing of various municipal office buildings.

AIRPORT CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND - The primary purpose of this fund is to account for terminal expansion, runways, or other airport construction and related projects.

PARK CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND - The primary purpose of this fund is to account for parkland acquisition, construction of swimming pools, and other park and recreation related construction, as well as various other park and recreation related projects.

TAX INCREMENT REINVESTMENT ZONE \#5 FUND - The purpose of this fund is to account for the infrastructure construction and other capital project expenditures related to flood control, transportation, streetscape, public safety and other amenity improvements within the surrounding TIRZ Core Area to unify the Arlington Entertainment Area.

TRAFFIC CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND - The primary purpose of this fund is to account for construction of the City's streetlight and traffic signal systems, to perform thoroughfare analysis and to design and install various other traffic related projects.

OTHER CAPITAL PROJECTS FUNDS - Other Capital Projects Funds consist of capital project funds which are individually insignificant to the Capital Projects Fund's assets, liabilities, revenues, expenditures, and fund balance as a whole. These funds are Police Capital Projects, Fire Capital Projects, Library Capital Projects, Technical Capital Projects, and Infrastructure Maintenance Reserve.

CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS
COMBINING BALANCE SHEET
NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
SEPTEMBER 30, 2019
(AMOUNTS EXPRESSED IN THOUSANDS)

| ASSETS | Special Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Federal <br> Transit <br> Administration |  | Street Maintenance |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Downtown } \\ \text { TIF } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Texas Department of Transportation |  | Community Development Block Grant |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash-like investments | \$ | - | \$ | 15,981 | \$ | 4,342 | \$ | 45 | \$ | - |
| Land held for resale |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Receivables (net of allowances for uncollectibles) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Sales taxes |  | - |  | 2,924 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Other |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Inventory, at cost |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Due from other governments |  | 978 |  | - |  | - |  | 119 |  | 575 |
| Prepaid expenditures |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Assets | \$ | 978 | \$ | 18,905 | \$ | 4,342 | \$ | 164 | \$ | 575 |
| LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS AND FUND BALANCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | \$ | 167 | \$ | 1,655 | \$ | - | \$ | 11 | \$ | 153 |
| Retainage payable |  | - |  | 173 |  | - |  | - |  | 4 |
| Unearned Revenue |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 54 |
| Due to other funds |  | 801 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 364 |
| Total Liabilities |  | 968 |  | 1,828 |  | - |  | 11 |  | 575 |
| Deferred Inflows of Resources: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gas lease |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Deferred Inflows of Resources |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Fund Balances: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonspendable: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inventory |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Prepaids |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Restricted for: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital projects |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Special revenue |  | 10 |  | 17,077 |  | 4,342 |  | - |  | - |
| Committed to: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital projects |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Special revenue |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 153 |  | - |
| Assigned to: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Park Performance |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Special revenue |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Court Security |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Fund Balance |  | 10 |  | 17,077 |  | 4,342 |  | 153 |  | - |
| Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources and Fund Balance | \$ | 978 | \$ | 18,905 | \$ | 4,342 | \$ | 164 | \$ | 575 |


| Special <br> Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Capital Projects |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FEMA |  | Gas <br> Lease | Innovation and Venture Capital |  | Park <br> Performance |  | Convention and Event Services |  | Other Special Revenue |  | Municipal Office Facilities |  | Airport |  |
| \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ 14,575 | \$ | 9,294 | \$ | 1,073 | \$ | 723 | \$ | 6,130 | \$ | 5,181 | \$ | 1,683 |
|  | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | - |  | 947 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
|  | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | 50 |  | 27 |  | 479 |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | 241 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 284 |  | 2,277 | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,391 |  | - |  | - |
|  |  |  | - | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| \$ | 284 | \$ | 2,277 | \$ 14,575 | \$ | 9,294 | \$ | 1,364 | \$ | 1,697 | \$ | 8,000 | \$ | 5,181 | \$ | 1,683 |
| \$ | 93 | \$ | 391 | \$ | \$ | - | \$ | 608 | \$ | 264 | \$ | 1,090 | \$ | 23 | \$ | 104 |
|  | 9 |  | - | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | 6 |  | - |
|  | 16 |  | - | - |  | - |  | 112 |  | 276 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 166 |  | 1,829 | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 992 |  | - |  | - |
|  | 284 |  | 2,220 | - |  | - |  | 720 |  | 540 |  | 2,082 |  | 29 |  | 104 |
|  | - |  | - | 122 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - | 122 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | 241 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 5,152 |  | - |
|  | - |  | 57 | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 3,865 |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,579 |
|  | - |  | - | 14,453 |  | 9,294 |  | - |  | 1,157 |  | 994 |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | 403 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,059 |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | 57 | 14,453 |  | 9,294 |  | 644 |  | 1,157 |  | 5,918 |  | 5,152 |  | 1,579 |
| \$ | 284 |  | 2,277 | \$ 14,575 | \$ | 9,294 | \$ | 1,364 | \$ | 1,697 | \$ | 8,000 | \$ | 5,181 | \$ | 1,683 |

CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS
COMBINING BALANCE SHEET
NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
SEPTEMBER 30, 2019
(AMOUNTS EXPRESSED IN THOUSANDS)

|  | Capital Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Nonmajor Governmenta Funds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Park |  | TIRZ \#5 |  | Traffic | Other Capital Projects |  |  |  |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash-like investments | \$ | 59,691 | \$ | 955 | \$ 2,059 | \$ | 9,020 |  | 130,752 |
| Land held for resale |  | - |  | - | - |  | 7,653 |  | 7,653 |
| Receivables (net of allowances for uncollectibles) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes |  | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | 947 |
| Sales tax |  | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | 2,924 |
| Other |  | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | 556 |
| Inventory, at cost |  | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | 241 |
| Due from other governments |  | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | 5,624 |
| Prepaid expenditures |  | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Assets | \$ | 59,691 | \$ | 955 | \$ 2,059 |  | 6,673 |  | 148,697 |

## LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES

Liabilities:
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities
Retainage payable
Unearned Revenue
Due to other funds
Total Liabilities
Deferred Inflows of Resources:
Gas lease
Total Deferred Inflows of Resources


## Fund Balances:

| Nonspendable: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inventory |  | - |  | - | - | - |  | 241 |
| Prepaids |  | - |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Restricted for: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital projects |  | - |  | 955 | 2,059 | 16,344 |  | 24,510 |
| Special revenue |  | - |  | - | - | - |  | 25,351 |
| Committed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital projects |  | 56,250 |  | - | - | - |  | 57,829 |
| Special revenue |  | - |  | - | - | - |  | 26,051 |
| Assigned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Park performance |  | - |  | - | - | - |  | 403 |
| Special revenue |  | - |  | - | - | - |  | 1,059 |
| Court Security |  | - |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| Total Fund Balance |  | 56,250 |  | 955 | 2,059 | 16,344 |  | 135,444 |
| Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources and Fund Balance | \$ | 59,691 | \$ | 955 | \$ 2,059 | \$ 16,673 | \$ | 148,697 |


(

| REVENUES | Federal <br> Transit Administ | Street <br> Maintenance |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Downtown } \\ \text { TIF } \end{gathered}$ |  | Texas Department of Transportation |  | Community Development Block Grant |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes | \$ | \$ | 17,232 | \$ | 5,089 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Leases, rents and concessions | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Service charges | 240 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Intergovernmental | 2,926 |  | - |  | - |  | 771 |  | 2,830 |
| Interest revenue | - |  | 350 |  | 33 |  | - |  | - |
| Net increase (decrease) in the fair value of investments | - |  | 190 |  | 63 |  | - |  | - |
| Contributions | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Gas lease royalty | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Gas lease other | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Other | 33 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 189 |
| Total Revenues | 3,199 |  | 17,772 |  | 5,185 |  | 771 |  | 3,019 |

## EXPENDITURES

Current:
General government
Public safety
Public works
Public health
Public welfare
Parks and recreation
Convention and event services
Capital outlay
Total Expenditures
Net change in fund balances
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)

1,079
$\begin{array}{r}- \\ 1,079 \\ \hline\end{array}$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
0,100 \\
\hline 6,180
\end{array}
$$
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$\qquad$ 259

Excess (deficiency) of revenues and
other financing sources (uses) over (under) expenditures and other use

| - | - | 962 | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | 389 | - |
| - | 25,358 | - | 235 | - |
| - | - | - | - | - |
| 4,349 | - | - | - | 1,943 |
| - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | 493 | 1,076 |
| 4,349 | 25,358 | 962 | 1,117 | 3,019 |
| $(1,150)$ | $(7,586)$ | 4,223 | (346) | - |

## OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)

Proceeds from refunding bond issu issuance of certificates of obligatior premium
Transfers in
Total Other Financing
Sources (Uses)

Fund Balance, October 1
Fund Balance, September 30


|  | Capital <br> Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Nonmajor Governmental Funds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Park |  | TIRZ \#5 |  | Traffic |  | Other Capital Projects |  |  |  |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes |  | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 39,136 |
| Leases, rents and concessions |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 2,500 |
| Service charges |  | 1,170 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 15,330 |
| Intergovernmental |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 15,778 |
| Interest revenue |  | 1,320 |  | 19 |  | 57 |  | 169 |  | 2,648 |
| Net increase (decrease) in the fair value of investments |  | 751 |  | 11 |  | 33 |  | 86 |  | 1,438 |
| Contributions |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Gas lease royalty |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 7,000 |
| Gas lease other |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 99 |
| Other |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 120 |  | 3,159 |
| Total Revenues |  | 3,241 |  | 30 |  | 90 |  | 375 |  | 87,088 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General government |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 298 |  | 4,599 |
| Public safety |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 9,037 |
| Public works |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 32,016 |
| Public health |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,169 |
| Public welfare |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 10,033 |
| Parks and recreation |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 12,757 |
| Convention and event services |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 15,110 |
| Capital outlay |  | 21,641 |  | - |  | 1,058 |  | 2,018 |  | 26,742 |
| Total Expenditures |  | 21,641 |  | - |  | 1,058 |  | 2,316 |  | 111,463 |
| Net change in fund balances |  | $(18,400)$ |  | 30 |  | (968) |  | $(1,941)$ |  | $(24,375)$ |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Issuance of bonds |  | 6,400 |  | - |  | - |  | 900 |  | 7,300 |
| Proceeds from refunding bond issue |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Issuance of certificates of obligation |  | 2,028 |  | - |  | - |  | 2,437 |  | 5,370 |
| Bond premium |  | 212 |  | - |  | - |  | 255 |  | 562 |
| Transfers in |  | 915 |  | - |  | - |  | 200 |  | 24,663 |
| Transfers out |  | $(1,462)$ |  | - |  | - |  | (923) |  | $(17,484)$ |
| Total Other Financing Sources (Uses) |  | 8,093 |  | - |  | - |  | 2,869 |  | 20,411 |
| Excess (deficiency) of revenues and other financing sources (uses) over (under) expenditures and other uses |  | $(10,307)$ |  | 30 |  | (968) |  | 928 |  | $(3,964)$ |
| Fund Balance, October 1 |  | 66,557 |  | 925 |  | 3,027 |  | 15,416 |  | 139,408 |
| Fund Balance, September 30 |  | 56,250 | \$ | 955 | \$ | 2,059 | \$ | 16,344 | \$ | 135,444 |

CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS
BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE

## DEBT SERVICE FUND

FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2019
(AMOUNTS EXPRESSED IN THOUSANDS)

|  | Budgeted Amounts |  |  |  | Actual Amounts |  |  |  |  |  | Variance with Final BudgetPositive (Negative) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original |  | Final |  | Actual |  | Adjustments to Budgetary Basis |  | Actual on <br> Budgetary Basis |  |  |  |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes | \$ | 48,976 | \$ | 48,976 | \$ | 86,279 | \$ | $(38,165)$ | \$ | 48,114 | \$ | (862) |
| Interest revenue |  | 433 |  | 433 |  | 4,233 |  | $(3,646)$ |  | 587 |  | 154 |
| Net increase (decrease) in fair value of investments |  | - |  | - |  | 16 |  | - |  | 16 |  | 16 |
| Leases, rents and concessions |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Other revenue |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Revenues |  | 49,409 |  | 49,409 |  | 90,528 |  | $(41,811)$ |  | 48,717 |  | (692) |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt Service- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Principal retirement |  | 35,355 |  | 35,355 |  | 35,605 |  | (250) |  | 35,355 |  | - |
| Redemption premium |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | ( |  | - |  | - |
| Interest and fiscal charges |  | 16,637 |  | 16,637 |  | 42,751 |  | $(27,399)$ |  | 15,352 |  | 1,285 |
| Total Expenditures |  | 51,992 |  | 51,992 |  | 78,356 |  | $(27,649)$ |  | 50,707 |  | 1,285 |
| Deficiency of Revenues Under Expenditures |  | $(2,583)$ |  | $(2,583)$ |  | 12,172 |  | $(14,162)$ |  | $(1,990)$ |  | 593 |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bond premium |  | 350 |  | 350 |  | 5,874 |  | - |  | 5,874 |  | 5,524 |
| Proceeds from bonds |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Issuance of refunding bonds |  | - |  | - |  | $(5,862)$ |  | - |  | $(5,862)$ |  | $(5,862)$ |
| Refunding bond principal |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Amount used to fund escrow account |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfers in |  | 2,385 |  | 2,385 |  | 2,555 |  | - |  | 2,555 |  | 170 |
| Transfers out |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Other Financing Sources |  | 2,735 |  | 2,735 |  | 2,567 |  | - |  | 2,567 |  | (168) |
| Net Change In Fund Balances |  | 152 |  | 152 |  | 14,739 |  | $(14,162)$ |  | 577 |  | 425 |
| Fund Balances - Beginning |  | 41,237 |  | 41,237 |  | 41,237 |  | - |  | 41,237 |  | - |
| Fund Balances - Ending | \$ | 41,389 | \$ | 41,389 | \$ | 55,976 | \$ | $(14,162)$ | \$ | 41,814 | \$ | 425 |

CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS
BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE
STREET MAINTENANCE
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2019
(AMOUNTS EXPRESSED IN THOUSANDS)

|  | Budgeted Amounts |  |  |  | Actual Amounts |  |  |  |  |  | Variance with Final BudgetPositive (Negative) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original |  | Final |  | Actual |  | Adjustments to Budgetary Basis |  | Actual on Budgetary Basis |  |  |  |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes | \$ | 16,023 | \$ | 16,023 | \$ | 17,232 | \$ | - | \$ | 17,232 | \$ | 1,209 |
| Interest revenue |  | 223 |  | 223 |  | 350 |  | - |  | 350 |  | 127 |
| Net increase (decrease) in the fair value of investments |  | - |  | - |  | 190 |  | (190) |  | - |  | - |
| Other revenue |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Revenues |  | 16,246 |  | 16,246 |  | 17,772 |  | (190) |  | 17,582 |  | 1,336 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public works |  | 23,883 |  | 23,883 |  | 25,358 |  | $(1,772)$ |  | 23,586 |  | 297 |
| Total Expenditures |  | 23,883 |  | 23,883 |  | 25,358 |  | $(1,772)$ |  | 23,586 |  | 297 |
| Excess (Deficiency) Of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | $(7,637)$ |  | $(7,637)$ |  | $(7,586)$ |  | 1,582 |  | $(6,004)$ |  | 1,633 |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers in |  | 6,642 |  | 6,642 |  | 6,180 |  | 351 |  | 6,531 |  | (111) |
| Transfers out |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Other Financing Sources |  | 6,642 |  | 6,642 |  | 6,180 |  | 351 |  | 6,531 |  | (111) |
| Net Change In Fund Balances |  | (995) |  | (995) |  | $(1,406)$ |  | 1,933 |  | 527 |  | 1,522 |
| Fund Balances-Beginning |  | 18,483 |  | 18,483 |  | 18,483 |  | - |  | 18,483 |  | - |
| Fund Balances - Ending | \$ | 17,488 | \$ | $\underline{\text { 17,488 }}$ | \$ | $\underline{\text { 17,077 }}$ | \$ | 1,933 | \$ | $\underline{19,010}$ | \$ | 1,522 |

CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS
BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE
PARK PERFORMANCE

## FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2019

(AMOUNTS EXPRESSED IN THOUSANDS)

|  | Budgeted Amounts |  |  |  | Actual Amounts |  |  |  |  |  | Variance with Final BudgetPositive (Negative) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original |  | Final |  | Actual |  | Adjustments to Budgetary Basis |  | Actual on Budgetary Basis |  |  |  |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service charges | \$ | 11,791 | \$ | 11,791 | \$ | 10,054 | \$ | - | \$ | 10,054 | \$ | $(1,737)$ |
| Interest revenue |  | - |  | - |  | 96 |  | - |  | 96 |  | 96 |
| Total Revenues |  | 11,791 |  | 11,791 |  | 10,150 |  | - |  | 10,150 |  | $(1,641)$ |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parks and recreation |  | 14,015 |  | 14,015 |  | 12,106 |  | 2 |  | 12,108 |  | 1,907 |
| Total Expenditures |  | 14,015 |  | 14,015 |  | 12,106 |  | 2 |  | 12,108 |  | 1,907 |
| Excess (Deficiency) Of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | $(2,224)$ |  | $(2,224)$ |  | $(1,956)$ |  | (2) |  | $(1,958)$ |  | 266 |
| OTHER FINANCING USES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers in |  | 2,274 |  | 2,274 |  | 2,232 |  | - |  | 2,232 |  | (42) |
| Transfers out |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Other Financing Uses |  | 2,274 |  | 2,274 |  | 2,232 |  | - |  | 2,232 |  | (42) |
| Net Change In Fund Balances |  | 50 |  | 50 |  | 276 |  | (2) |  | 274 |  | 224 |
| Fund Balances - Beginning |  | 555 |  | 555 |  | 368 |  | - |  | 368 |  | - |
| Fund Balances - Ending | \$ | 605 | \$ | 605 | \$ | 644 | \$ | (2) | \$ | 642 | \$ | 224 |

CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS
BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE

## CONVENTION AND EVENT SERVICES

FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2019
(AMOUNTS EXPRESSED IN THOUSANDS)

|  | Budgeted Amounts |  |  |  | Actual Amounts |  |  |  |  |  | Variance with Final BudgetPositive (Negative) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original |  | Final |  | Actual |  | Adjustments to Budgetary Basis |  | Actual on <br> Budgetary Basis |  |  |  |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes | \$ | 9,995 | \$ | 9,995 | \$ | 9,671 | \$ | - | \$ | 9,671 | \$ | (324) |
| Service charges |  | 2,783 |  | 2,783 |  | 2,296 |  | - |  | 2,296 |  | (487) |
| Stadium Rent/Naming Rights |  | 2,500 |  | 2,500 |  | 2,500 |  | - |  | 2,500 |  | - |
| Interest revenue |  | - |  | - |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| Net increase (decrease) in the fair value of investments |  | - |  | - |  | 25 |  | - |  | 25 |  | 25 |
| Other |  | - |  | - |  | 16 |  | - |  | 16 |  | 16 |
| Total Revenues |  | 15,278 |  | 15,278 |  | 14,510 |  | - |  | 14,510 |  | (768) |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Convention \& event services |  | 11,272 |  | 11,272 |  | 15,238 |  | $(4,152)$ |  | 11,086 |  | 186 |
| Total Expenditures |  | 11,272 |  | 11,272 |  | 15,238 |  | $(4,152)$ |  | 11,086 |  | 186 |
| Excess Of Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Over Expenditures |  | 4,006 |  | 4,006 |  | (728) |  | 4,152 |  | 3,424 |  | (582) |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers in |  | 2,972 |  | 2,972 |  | 2,847 |  | - |  | 2,847 |  | (125) |
| Transfers out |  | $(7,259)$ |  | $(7,259)$ |  | $(3,107)$ |  | $(4,152)$ |  | $(7,259)$ |  | - |
| Total Other Financing Sources (Uses) |  | $(4,287)$ |  | $(4,287)$ |  | (260) |  | $(4,152)$ |  | $(4,412)$ |  | (125) |
| Net Change In Fund Balances |  | (281) |  | (281) |  | (988) |  | (4,152) |  | (988) |  | (707) |
| Fund Balances - Beginning |  | 2,145 |  | 2,145 |  | 2,145 |  | - |  | 2,145 |  | - |
| Fund Balances - Ending | \$ | 1,864 | \$ | 1,864 | \$ | 1,157 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,157 | \$ | (707) |

CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS
BUDGET COMPARISON SCHEDULE
WATER AND SEWER FUND
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2019
(AMOUNTS EXPRESSED IN THOUSANDS)

|  |  | Budgeted Amounts |  |  | Actual Amounts |  |  |  |  |  | Variance with Final BudgetPositive (Negative) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Original | Final |  | Actual |  | Adjustments to Budgetary Basis |  | Actual on Budgetary Basis |  |  |  |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water sales | \$ | 78,176 | \$ | 78,176 | \$ | 75,933 | \$ | $(1,944)$ | \$ | 73,989 | \$ | $(4,187)$ |
| Sewer service |  | 70,704 |  | 70,704 |  | 70,492 |  | (317) |  | 70,175 |  | (529) |
| Sundry |  | 6,701 |  | 6,701 |  | 8,764 |  | (4) |  | 8,760 |  | 2,059 |
| Total Operating Revenues |  | 155,581 |  | 155,581 |  | 155,189 |  | $(2,265)$ |  | 152,924 |  | $(2,657)$ |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchases of water | \$ | 25,983 | \$ | 25,983 | \$ | 19,781 | \$ | - | \$ | 19,781 | \$ | 6,202 |
| Purchase of sewage treatment |  | 39,409 |  | 39,409 |  | 36,780 |  | - |  | 36,780 |  | 2,629 |
| Salaries and wages |  | 14,986 |  | 14,986 |  | 13,502 |  | (130) |  | 13,372 |  | 1,614 |
| Employees' retirement |  | 1,998 |  | 1,998 |  | 3,332 |  | $(1,299)$ |  | 2,033 |  | (35) |
| Supplies |  | 2,843 |  | 2,843 |  | 3,338 |  | 10 |  | 3,348 |  | (505) |
| Maintenance and repairs |  | 4,649 |  | 4,649 |  | 4,198 |  | (94) |  | 4,104 |  | 545 |
| Utilities |  | 2,658 |  | 2,658 |  | 2,330 |  | - |  | 2,330 |  | 328 |
| Franchise fees |  | 9,088 |  | 9,088 |  | - |  | 8,775 |  | 8,775 |  | 313 |
| Payment in lieu of taxes |  | 4,310 |  | 4,310 |  | - |  | 4,310 |  | 4,310 |  | - |
| Depreciation |  | - |  | - |  | 19,051 |  | $(19,051)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Miscellaneous services |  | 5,195 |  | 5,195 |  | 4,493 |  | 580 |  | 5,073 |  | 122 |
| Total Operating Expenses |  | 111,119 |  | 111,119 |  | 106,805 |  | $(6,899)$ |  | 99,906 |  | 11,213 |
| Operating Income |  | 44,462 |  | 44,462 |  | 48,384 |  | 4,634 |  | 53,018 |  | 8,556 |
| NONOPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest revenue |  | 1,263 |  | 1,263 |  | 2,649 |  | 1,129 |  | 3,778 |  | 2,515 |
| Net increase (decrease) in the fair value of investments |  | - |  | - |  | 1,424 |  | $(1,424)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Interest expense and fiscal charges |  | $(25,112)$ |  | $(25,112)$ |  | $(6,203)$ |  | $(20,186)$ |  | $(26,389)$ |  | $(1,277)$ |
| Total Nonoperating Revenues (Expenses) |  | $(23,849)$ |  | $(23,849)$ |  | $(2,130)$ |  | $(20,481)$ |  | $(22,611)$ |  | 1,238 |
| Income (loss) before operating transfers and contributions |  | 20,613 |  | 20,613 |  | 46,254 |  | $(15,847)$ |  | 30,407 |  | 9,794 |
| Contributions in aid of construction |  | - |  | - |  | 4,978 |  | $(4,978)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Transfers out |  | $(19,631)$ |  | $(19,631)$ |  | $(17,865)$ |  | 12,073 |  | $(5,792)$ |  | 13,839 |
| Change in net position |  | 982 |  | 982 |  | 33,367 |  | $(8,752)$ |  | 24,615 |  | 23,633 |
| Total net position-beginning |  | 647,865 |  | 647,865 |  | 647,865 |  | - |  | 647,865 |  | - |
| Total net position-ending | \$ | 648,847 | \$ | 648,847 | \$ | 681,232 | \$ | $(8,752)$ | \$ | 672,480 | \$ | 23,633 |

CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS
BUDGET COMPARISON SCHEDULE
STORM WATER UTILITY
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2019
(AMOUNTS EXPRESSED IN THOUSANDS)

|  | Budgeted Amounts |  |  |  | Actual Amounts |  |  |  |  |  | Variance with Final BudgetPositive (Negative) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original |  | Final |  | Actual |  | Adjustments to Budgetary Basis |  | Actual on Budgetary Basis |  |  |  |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storm water fee- commercial |  | 8,187 | \$ | 8,187 | \$ | 8,463 | \$ | (37) | \$ | 8,426 | \$ | 239 |
| Storm water fee- residential |  | 9,434 |  | 9,434 |  | 9,551 |  | (44) |  | 9,507 |  | 73 |
| Total Operating Revenues |  | 17,621 |  | 17,621 |  | 18,014 |  | (81) |  | 17,933 |  | 312 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and wages |  | 2,418 | \$ | 2,418 | \$ | 2,105 | \$ | - | \$ | 2,105 | \$ | 313 |
| Employees' retirement |  | 362 |  | 362 |  | 338 |  | - |  | 338 |  | 24 |
| Supplies |  | 78 |  | 78 |  | 61 |  | - |  | 61 |  | 17 |
| Maintenance and repairs |  | 444 |  | 444 |  | 349 |  | 12 |  | 361 |  | 83 |
| Utilities |  | 19 |  | 19 |  | 22 |  | - |  | 22 |  | (3) |
| Depreciation |  | - |  | - |  | 2,477 |  | $(2,477)$ |  | - |  | - |
| Miscellaneous services |  | 1,202 |  | 1,202 |  | 722 |  | 302 |  | 1,024 |  | 178 |
| Total Operating Expenses |  | 4,523 |  | 4,523 |  | 6,074 |  | $(2,163)$ |  | 3,911 |  | 612 |
| Operating Income |  | 13,098 |  | 13,098 |  | 11,940 |  | 2,082 |  | 14,022 |  | 924 |
| NONOPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest revenue |  | 227 |  | 227 |  | 365 |  | (248) |  | 117 |  | (110) |
| Net increase (decrease) in the fair value of investments |  | - |  | - |  | 238 |  | (238) |  | - |  | - |
| Interest expense and fiscal charges |  | $(3,189)$ |  | $(3,189)$ |  | (337) |  | $(2,969)$ |  | $(3,306)$ |  | 117 |
| Total Nonoperating Revenues (Expenses) |  | $(2,962)$ |  | $(2,962)$ |  | 266 |  | $(3,455)$ |  | $(3,189)$ |  | 7 |
| Income (loss) before operating transfers and contributions |  | 10,136 |  | 10,136 |  | 12,206 |  | $(1,373)$ |  | 10,833 |  | 697 |
| Transfers out |  | $(10,674)$ |  | $(10,674)$ |  | (904) |  | $(9,850)$ |  | $(10,754)$ |  | (80) |
| Change in net position |  | (538) |  | (538) |  | 11,302 |  | $(11,223)$ |  | 79 |  | 617 |
| Total net position-beginning |  | 113,728 |  | 113,728 |  | 113,728 |  | - |  | 113,728 |  | - |
| Total net position-ending |  | 113,190 | \$ | 113,190 | \$ | 125,030 | \$ | $(11,223)$ | \$ | 113,807 | \$ | 617 |

## INTERNAL SERVICE FUNDS

FLEET SERVICES FUND - The purpose of this fund is to account for the purchase of City vehicles and to provide maintenance services for such vehicles.

SELF INSURANCE RISK MANAGEMENT FUND - The purpose of this fund is to provide the City an appropriate amount of money with which it can pay claims arising out of the Covered Risks for which the City may be liable, all as part of its self-insurance plan.

WORKERS' COMPENSATION FUND - The City's workers' compensation program provides City employees with workers' compensation insurance. The purpose of this fund is to account for the activity of such program.

GROUP HEALTH FUND - The City's group health insurance program provides City employees with health insurance. The purpose of this fund is to account for the activity of such program.

## CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS

COMBINING STATEMENT OF NET POSITION
INTERNAL SERVICE FUNDS
SEPTEMBER 30, 2019
(AMOUNTS EXPRESSED IN THOUSANDS)

|  | Knowledge Services |  | Fleet <br> Services |  | Self Insurance Risk <br> Management |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash-like investments | \$ | - | \$ | 1,295 | \$ | 12,147 |
| Receivables (net of allowances for uncollectibles) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade accounts |  | - |  | 2 |  |  |
| Accrued Interest |  | - |  | - |  | 103 |
| Other |  |  |  | - |  | 207 |
| Prepaid expenses |  |  |  | - |  | - |
| Inventory of supplies, at cost |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Current Assets |  | - |  | 1,297 |  | 12,457 |
| Noncurrent Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buildings and improvements |  | - |  | 467 |  | - |
| Machinery and equipment |  |  |  | 51,806 |  | - |
| Less accumulated depreciation |  | - |  | $(37,062)$ |  | - |
| Total Capital Assets (Net of |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accumulated Depreciation) |  | - |  | 15,211 |  | - |
| Total Assets |  | - |  | 16,508 |  | 12,457 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities |  | - |  | 318 |  | 7 |
| Estimated claims payable |  |  |  | - |  | - |
| Due to other funds |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Current Liabilities |  | - |  | 318 |  | 7 |
| Noncurrent Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated claims payable |  | - |  | - |  | 2,584 |
| Accrued compensated absences |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Noncurrent Liabilities |  | - |  | - |  | 2,584 |
| Total Liabilities |  | - |  | 318 |  | 2,591 |
| NET POSITION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net investment in capital assets |  | - |  | 15,211 |  | - |
| Unrestricted |  | - |  | 979 |  | 9,866 |
| Total Net Position | \$ | - | \$ | 16,190 | \$ | 9,866 |


| Workers' <br> Compensation |  | Group Health | Health | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 3,937 | \$ | 4,144 | \$ | 21,523 |
|  | 19 |  | - |  | 21 |
|  | - |  | - |  | 103 |
|  | - |  | - |  | 207 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 3,956 |  | 4,144 |  | 21,854 |
|  | - |  | - |  | 467 |
|  | - |  | - |  | 51,806 |
|  | - |  | - |  | $(37,062)$ |
|  | - |  | - |  | 15,211 |
|  | 3,956 |  | 4,144 |  | 37,065 |
|  | 44 |  | 115 |  | 484 |
|  | 1,212 |  | 2,226 |  | 3,438 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 1,256 |  | 2,341 |  | 3,922 |
|  | 2,700 |  | - |  | 5,284 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 2,700 |  | - |  | 5,284 |
|  | 3,956 |  | 2,341 |  | 9,206 |
|  | - |  | - |  | 15,211 |
|  | - |  | 1,803 |  | 12,648 |
| \$ | - | \$ | 1,803 | \$ | 27,859 |

CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS
COMBINING STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES, AND CHANGES IN FUND NET POSITION INTERNAL SERVICE FUNDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2019 (AMOUNTS EXPRESSED IN THOUSANDS)

|  | Knowledge Services |  | Fleet Services |  | Self Insurance Risk Management |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPERATING REVENUES: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service charges | \$ | - | \$ | 6,581 | \$ | 14 |
| Total Operating Revenues |  | - |  | 6,581 |  | 14 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and wages |  | - |  | 46 |  |  |
| Employees' retirement |  | - |  | 9 |  |  |
| Supplies |  | - |  | 2,106 |  |  |
| Maintenance and repairs |  | - |  | 298 |  |  |
| Utilities |  | - |  | 53 |  | - |
| Claims (net of adjustments) |  | - |  | - |  | 718 |
| Legal and professional |  | - |  | - |  | 15 |
| Depreciation |  | - |  | 4,113 |  | - |
| Miscellaneous services |  | - |  | 3,169 |  |  |
| Total Operating Expenses |  | - |  | 9,794 |  | 733 |
| OPERATING INCOME (LOSS) |  | - |  | $(3,213)$ |  | (719) |
| NON-OPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest revenue |  | - |  | - |  | 247 |
| Miscellaneous revenue |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Net increase (decrease) in the fair value of investments |  | - |  | - |  | 3 |
| Gain (Loss) on sale of assets |  | - |  | 249 |  | - |
| Total Non-operating Revenues (Expenses) |  | - |  | 249 |  | 250 |
| Income (Loss) Before Transfers |  | - |  | $(2,964)$ |  | (469) |
| Transfers In |  | - |  | 4,635 |  | - |
| Transfers Out |  | (45) |  | - |  | - |
| Change In Net Position |  | (45) |  | 1,671 |  | (469) |
| Total Net Position, October 1 |  | 45 |  | 14,519 |  | 10,335 |
| Total Net Position, September 30 | \$ | - | \$ | 16,190 | \$ | 9,866 |


| Workers' Compensation |  | Group Health |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 1,257 | \$ | 23,064 | \$ | 30,916 |
|  | 1,257 |  | 23,064 |  | 30,916 |
| - |  |  | - |  | 46 |
| - |  |  | - |  | 9 |
| - |  |  | - |  | 2,106 |
| - |  |  | - |  | 298 |
|  | - |  | - |  | 53 |
| 1,969 |  |  | 23,062 |  | 25,749 |
| 178 |  |  | - |  | 193 |
| - |  |  | - |  | 4,113 |
| - |  |  | - |  | 3,169 |
| 2,147 |  |  | 23,062 |  | 35,736 |
| (890) |  |  | 2 |  | $(4,820)$ |
| 70 |  |  | 86 |  | 403 |
| - |  |  | 851 |  | 851 |
| 47 |  |  | 18 |  | 68 |
| - |  |  | - |  | 249 |
| 117 |  |  | 955 |  | 1,571 |
| (773) |  |  | 957 |  | $(3,249)$ |
| 665 |  |  | - |  | 5,300 |
|  | - |  | (665) |  | (710) |
| (108) |  |  | 292 |  | 1,341 |
| 108 |  |  | 1,511 |  | 26,518 |
| \$ | - | \$ | 1,803 | \$ | 27,859 |


|  | General Services |  | Fleet Services |  | Risk <br> Management |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash received from customers | \$ | - | \$ | 6,650 | \$ | (67) |
| Cash payments to suppliers |  | - |  | $(5,934)$ |  | $(1,082)$ |
| Cash payments to employees |  | - |  | (69) |  | - |
| Net Cash Provided By (Used For) Operating Activities |  | - |  | 647 |  | $(1,149)$ |
| CASH FLOWS FROM NONCAPITAL FINANCING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers in |  | - |  | 4,635 |  | - |
| Transfers out |  | (45) |  | - |  | - |
| Net Cash Provided By (Used For) Noncapital Financing Activities |  | (45) |  | 4,635 |  | - |
| CASH FLOWS FROM CAPITAL AND RELATED FINANCING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acquisition and construction of capital assets |  | - |  | $(4,592)$ |  | - |
| Proceeds from sales of capital assets |  | - |  | 299 |  | - |
| Net Cash Used For Capital and Related Financing Activities |  | - |  | $(4,293)$ |  | - |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proceeds from interest earnings |  | - |  | - |  | 100 |
| Net increase in the fair value of investments |  | - |  | - |  | 1 |
| Net Cash Provided By Investing Activities |  | - |  | - |  | 101 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents |  | (45) |  | 989 |  | $(1,048)$ |
| Cash and cash-like investments at October 1 |  | 45 |  | 306 |  | 13,195 |
| Cash and cash-like investments at September 30 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,295 | \$ | 12,147 |
| Reconciliation of operating income (loss) to net cash provided by (used for) operating activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Income (Loss) | \$ | - | \$ | $(3,213)$ | \$ | (719) |
| Adjustments to reconcile operating income (loss) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation |  | - |  | 4,113 |  | - |
| (Increase) decrease in- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inventory of supplies |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Accounts receivable |  | - |  | 69 |  | (81) |
| Prepaid expenses |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Increase (decrease) in- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities |  | - |  | (308) |  | 7 |
| Estimated claims payable |  | - |  | - |  | (356) |
| Accrued compensated absences |  | - |  | (14) |  | - |
| Total adjustments |  | - |  | 3,860 |  | (430) |
| Net Cash Provided By (Used For) Operating Activities | \$ | - | \$ | 647 | \$ | $\underline{(1,149)}$ |


| Workers' Compensation |  | Group Health |  | Totals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,277 \\ (1,913) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 23,064 \\ (23,111) \end{gathered}$ | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 30,924 \\ (32,040) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | - |  | - |  | (69) |
|  | (636) |  | (47) |  | $(1,185)$ |
|  | 665 - |  | $(665)$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 5,300 \\ (710) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | 665 |  | (665) |  | 4,590 |
|  | - |  | - |  | $(4,592)$ |
|  | - |  | - |  | 299 |
|  | - |  | - |  | $(4,293)$ |
|  | 70 |  | 937 |  | 1,107 |
|  | 47 |  | 18 |  | 66 |
|  | 117 |  | 955 |  | 1,173 |
|  | 146 |  | 243 |  | 285 |
|  | 3,791 |  | 3,901 |  | 21,238 |
| \$ | 3,937 | \$ | 4,144 | \$ | 21,523 |
| \$ | (890) | \$ | 2 | \$ | $(4,820)$ |
|  | - |  | - |  | 4,113 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 20 |  | - |  | 8 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 41 |  | (11) |  | (271) |
|  | 193 |  | (38) |  | (201) |
|  | - |  | - |  | (14) |
|  | 254 |  | (49) |  | 3,635 |
| \$ | (636) | \$ | (47) | \$ | $(1,185)$ |



## FIDUCIARY FUNDS

## PENSION TRUST FUNDS:

PART-TIME DEFERRED INCOME TRUST FUND - The purpose of this fund is to account for assets held for part-time employees as an alternative retirement plan to Social Security.

THRIFT SAVINGS PLAN FUND - The purpose of this fund is to account for assets held for employees in accordance with the provisions of Internal Revenue Code Section 401(k).

DISABILITY INCOME PLAN FUND - The purpose of this fund is to account for the accumulation of resources for disability benefit payments to qualified employees who become disabled due to illness or accident.

## AGENCY FUNDS:

PAYROLL - The purpose of this fund is to account for assets held by the City in a fiduciary capacity as agent for payroll related benefit plans.

ESCROW FUND - The purpose of this fund is to account for assets held by the City in a fiduciary capacity as custodian or agent for individuals, other funds within the City, other governmental units, and private organizations.

ESCHEAT FUND - The purpose of the fund is to account for assets held by the City in a fiduciary capacity as custodian or agent of escheat property for the state.

CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS
COMBINING STATEMENT OF PENSION TRUST FUNDS NET POSITION FIDUCIARY FUNDS
AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2019
(AMOUNTS EXPRESSED IN THOUSANDS)

|  | Part-Time <br> Deferred Income Trust |  | Thrift Savings Plan |  | Disability Income Plan |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash-like investments | \$ | - | \$ | 113 | \$ | - | \$ | 113 |
| Investments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment retired city mgr 401(k) plan |  | - |  | 63 |  | - |  | 63 |
| Money market fund |  | 104 |  | 39,623 |  | 31 |  | 39,758 |
| Corporate bonds |  | 1,863 |  | - |  | 770 |  | 2,633 |
| Fixed income mutual funds |  | 590 |  | 18,760 |  | 256 |  | 19,606 |
| Common stock mutual funds |  | 525 |  | 110,107 |  | 272 |  | 110,904 |
| Balanced mutual funds |  |  |  | 45,329 |  | - |  | 45,329 |
| Participant borrowing |  |  |  | 5,232 |  | - |  | 5,232 |
| Self-directed brokerage accounts |  | - |  | 8,185 |  | - |  | 8,185 |
| Total investments |  | 3,082 |  | 227,299 |  | 1,329 |  | 231,710 |
| Total Assets | \$ | 3,082 | \$ | 227,412 | \$ | 1,329 | \$ | 231,823 |

## LIABILITIES

Retired city mgr 401(k) plan payable Total Liabilities

NET POSITION
Restricted for pensions
Total Net Position



# CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS 

COMBINING STATEMENT OF AGENCY FUNDS ASSETS AND LIABILITIES FIDUCIARY FUNDS
AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2019
(AMOUNTS EXPRESSED IN THOUSANDS)

|  | Payroll |  | Escrow Fund |  | Escheat Fund |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash-like investments | \$ | 4,699 | \$ | 2,657 | \$ | 136 | \$ | 7,492 |
| Total Assets | \$ | 4,699 | \$ | 2,657 | \$ | 136 | \$ | 7,492 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | \$ | 4,699 | \$ | 2,657 | \$ | 136 | \$ | 7,492 |
| Total Liabilities | \$ | 4,699 | \$ | 2,657 | \$ | 136 | \$ | 7,492 |

CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS
COMBINING STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FIDUCIARY NET POSITION

## FIDUCIARY FUNDS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2019
(AMOUNTS EXPRESSED IN THOUSANDS)

|  | Pension Trust Funds |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Part-Time Deferred Income Trust |  | Thrift <br> Savings Plan |  | Disability Income Plan |  |  |  |
| ADDITIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employer contributions | \$ | 91 | \$ | 3,727 | \$ | 77 | \$ | 3,895 |
| Employee contributions |  | 110 |  | 9,243 |  | - |  | 9,353 |
| Net appreciation in fair value of investments |  | 224 |  | 9,656 |  | - |  | 9,880 |
| Other additions |  | - |  | 100 |  | 99 |  | 199 |
| Total Additions |  | 425 |  | 22,726 |  | 176 |  | 23,327 |
| DEDUCTIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benefits |  | 136 |  | 13,229 |  | 114 |  | 13,479 |
| Plan administration |  | 56 |  | 129 |  | 13 |  | 198 |
| Other deductions |  | - |  | 81 |  | - |  | 81 |
| Total Deductions |  | 192 |  | 13,439 |  | 127 |  | 13,758 |
| Increase in Net Position |  | 233 |  | 9,287 |  | 49 |  | 9,569 |
| Net Position, October 1 |  | 2,849 |  | 218,062 |  | 1,280 |  | 222,191 |
| Net Position, September 30 | \$ | 3,082 | \$ | 227,349 | \$ | 1,329 | \$ | 231,760 |

CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS
COMBINING STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN ASSETS AND LIABILITIES
ALL AGENCY FUNDS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2019
(AMOUNTS EXPRESSED IN THOUSANDS)

## PAYROLL FUND

Assets
Cash and cash-like investments
Investments
Total assets
Liabilities
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities Accounts payable and accrued liabilities IRC 401 defined contribution
Total liabilities

## ESCROW FUND

Assets
Cash and cash-like investments Total assets

Liabilities
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities Total liabilities

## ESCHEAT FUND

Assets
Cash and cash-like investments
Total assets
Liabilities
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities Total liabilities

## TOTAL - ALL AGENCY FUNDS

Assets
Cash and cash-like investments
Total assets

Liabilities
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities Total Liabilities

|  | $\text { , } 2018$ | Additions |  | Deductions |  | Balance <br> September 30, 2019 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 5,316 | \$ | 366,844 | \$ | 367,461 | \$ | 4,699 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| \$ | 5,316 | \$ | 366,844 | \$ | 367,461 | \$ | 4,699 |
| \$ | 5,316 | \$ | 366,844 | \$ | 367,461 | \$ | 4,699 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| \$ | 5,316 | \$ | 366,844 | \$ | 367,461 | \$ | 4,699 |




## DISCRETELY PRESENTED COMPONENT UNITS

ARLINGTON HOUSING AUTHORITY - The purpose of the Arlington Housing Authority (AHA) is to provide low income housing assistance within the City. The AHA issues separate financial statements.

ARLINGTON CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU, INC. - The purpose of the Arlington Convention and Visitors Bureau (ACVB) is to promote tourism within the City. The ACVB issues separate financial statements.

ARLINGTON TOMORROW FOUNDATION - The purpose of the Arlington Tomorrow Foundation is to oversee an endowment fund created by natural gas revenues to be used for the benefit of the Arlington community.

ARLINGTON HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION - The purpose of the Arlington Housing Finance Corporation (AHFC) is to provide financial assistance to low income, multi-family residences and single-family homebuyers within the City.

ARLINGTON CONVENTION CENTER DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION - The purpose of the Arlington Convention Center Development Corporation (ACCDC) is to promote tourism and the convention and hotel industry.

ARLINGTON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION - The purpose of the Arlington Economic Development Corporation is to bring about and fund business recruitment and redevelopment projects. (No Activity)

ARLINGTON TOURISM PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT - The purpose of the Arlington Tourism Public Improvement District is to improve convention and group hotel bookings and hotel room night consumption in the City.

## CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS <br> COMBINING STATEMENT OF NET POSITION <br> DISCRETELY PRESENTED COMPONENT UNITS <br> AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2019 <br> (AMOUNTS EXPRESSED IN THOUSANDS)

|  | Arlington Housing Authority |  | Arlington Convention \& Visitors Bureau |  | Arlington Tomorrow Foundation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash-like investments | \$ | 3,562 | \$ | 943 | \$ | 15,915 |
| Investments |  | 1,296 |  | - |  | 62,904 |
| Receivables (net of allowances for uncollectibles): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accrued interest |  | - |  | - |  | 440 |
| Other |  | 228 |  | 83 |  | - |
| Prepaid expenses |  | 24 |  | 88 |  | - |
| Total Current Assets |  | 5,110 |  | 1,114 |  | 79,259 |
| Non-Current Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Assets- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Buildings and improvements |  | 563 |  | - |  |  |
| Machinery and equipment |  | 382 |  | 739 |  | - |
| Accumulated depreciation |  | (721) |  | (578) |  | - |
| Total Non-Current Assets |  | 224 |  | 161 |  | - |
| Total Assets |  | 5,334 |  | 1,275 |  | 79,259 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable and accrued |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unearned revenue |  | 326 |  | 20 |  | - |
| Total Liabilities |  | 1,097 |  | 387 |  | 2,129 |
| NET POSITION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net investment in capital assets |  | 224 |  | 161 |  | - |
| Restricted for endowments |  | - |  | - |  | 77,130 |
| Restricted for housing assistance |  | 170 |  | - |  | - |
| Restricted for expendable for operations |  | - |  | 300 |  | - |
| Unrestricted |  | 3,843 |  | 427 |  | - |
| Total Net Position | \$ | 4,237 | \$ | 888 | \$ | 77,130 |


| Arlington <br> Housing <br> Finance <br> Corporation |  | Arlington Tourism Public Improvement District |  | Arlington Convention Center Development Corporation |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 431 | \$ | 310 | , | 30 | \$ | 21,191 |
|  | - |  |  |  | - |  | 64,200 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 440 |
|  | - |  | 755 |  | - |  | 1,066 |
|  | - |  | 8 |  | - |  | 120 |
|  | 431 |  | 1,073 |  | 30 |  | 87,017 |
|  | 2,204 |  | - |  | - |  | 2,204 |
|  |  |  | - |  | - |  | 563 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,121 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | $(1,299)$ |
|  | 2,204 |  | - |  | - |  | 2,589 |
|  | 2,635 |  | 1,073 |  | 30 |  | 89,606 |
|  | 2 |  | 127 |  | 8 |  | 3,404 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 346 |
|  | 2 |  | 127 |  | 8 |  | 3,750 |
|  | 2,204 |  | - |  | - |  | 2,589 |
|  | - |  |  |  | - |  | 77,130 |
|  | - |  |  |  | - |  | 170 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 300 |
|  | 429 |  | 946 |  | 22 |  | 5,667 |
| \$ | 2,633 | \$ | 946 | \$ | 22 | \$ | 85,856 |

CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS
COMBINING STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES
DISCRETELY PRESENTED COMPONENT UNITS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2019
(AMOUNTS EXPRESSED IN THOUSANDS)


## Net (Expenses) Revenue and

## Changes in Net Position

|  | ngton using hority | Arlington Convention \& Visitors Bureau |  | Arlington Tomorrow Foundation |  | Arlington Housing Finance Corporation |  | Arlington Tourism Public Improvement District |  | Arlington <br> Convention Center Development Corporation |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | (773) | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | (773) |
|  | - |  | 548 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 548 |
|  | - |  | - |  | $(2,055)$ |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | $(2,055)$ |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 22 |  | - |  | - |  | 22 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | (333) |  | - |  | (333) |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | ) |  | (7) |  | (7) |
| \$ | (773) | \$ | 548 | \$ | $(2,055)$ | \$ | 22 | \$ | (333) | \$ | $\underline{(7)}$ | \$ | $(2,598)$ |
| \$ | 77 | \$ | - | \$ | 5,951 | \$ | 9 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 6,037 |
|  | 258 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 258 |
|  | - |  | - |  | (136) |  | 4 |  | - |  | - |  | (132) |
|  | 335 |  | - |  | 5,815 |  | 13 |  | - |  | - |  | 6,163 |
|  | (438) |  | 548 |  | 3,760 |  | 35 |  | (333) |  | (7) |  | 3,565 |
|  | 4,675 |  | 340 |  | 73,370 |  | 2,598 |  | 1,279 |  | 29 |  | 82,291 |
| \$ | 4,237 | \$ | 888 | \$ | 77,130 | \$ | 2,633 | \$ | 946 | \$ | 22 | \$ | 85,856 |

# CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS 

COMBINING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
COMPONENT UNITS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2019
(AMOUNTS EXPRESSED IN THOUSANDS)

|  | Arlington <br> Tomorrow <br> Foundation |  | Arlington Housing Finance Corporation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |
| Cash received from customers | \$ | - | \$ | 29 |
| Cash received from gas leases |  | - |  |  |
| Cash payments to suppliers |  | 97 |  | (44) |
| Cash payments to employees |  | (270) |  | - |
| Cash payments to grantees |  | $(1,588)$ |  | - |
| Cash payments for housing assistance |  | - |  | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ |
| Net Cash Provided By (Used For) Operating Activities |  | $(1,761)$ |  | (15) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM NONCAPITAL FINANCING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers in |  | - |  | - |
| Transfers out |  | - |  |  |
| Net Cash Provided By (Used For) Noncapital Financing Activities |  | - |  | - |
| CASH FLOWS FROM CAPITAL AND RELATED FINANCING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |
| Capital contribution |  | - |  | 38 |
| Principal payments on debt |  | - |  | - |
| Net Cash Provided By (Used For) Capital and Related Financing Activities |  | - |  | 38 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |
| Proceeds from interest earnings |  | 5,800 |  | 9 |
| Net increase (decrease) in the fair value of investments |  | - |  | 5 |
| Purchase of investments |  | $(10,600)$ |  | - |
| Maturities/sales of investments |  | - |  | - |
| Realized gain of investments |  | - |  | - |
| Net Cash Provided By (Used For) Investing Activities |  | $(4,800)$ |  | 14 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents |  | $(6,561)$ |  | 37 |
| Cash and cash-like investments at October 1 |  | 22,476 |  | 2,598 |
| Cash and cash-like investments at September 30 | \$ | 15,915 | \$ | 2,635 |
| Reconciliation of operating income to net cash provided by (used for) operating activities |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Income (loss) | \$ | $(2,054)$ | \$ | (15) |
| Adjustments to reconcile operating income to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation |  | - |  | - |
| Decrease (increase) inReceivables |  |  |  | - |
| Increase (decrease) in- |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities |  | 290 |  | - |
| Accrued compensated absences |  | 3 |  | - |
| Deferred revenue |  | - |  | - |
| Total adjustments |  | 293 |  | - |
| Net Cash Provided By (Used For) Operating Activities | \$ | (1,761) | \$ | (15) |

Arlington

## Convention

 Center DevelopmentCorporation Totals

| \$ | 34 | \$ | 63 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ) |  | - |
|  | (34) |  | 19 |
|  | - |  | (270) |
|  | - |  | $(1,588)$ |
|  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | $(1,776)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |
|  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | - |  | 38 |
|  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | 38 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | - |  | 5,809 |
|  | - |  | 5 |
|  | - |  | $(10,600)$ |
|  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | (4,786) |
|  | - |  | $(6,524)$ |
|  | 29 |  | 25,103 |
| \$ | 29 | \$ | 18,579 |

$\$ \quad(7) \quad \$ \quad(2,076)$

|  | 7 |  | 297 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | - |  | 297 |  |
|  | - |  | 3 |  |
|  | 7 |  | - |  |
|  |  |  |  | 300 |



## CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS CAPITAL ASSETS USED IN OPERATION OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS - BY SOURCES AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2019 (AMOUNTS EXPRESSED IN THOUSANDS)

GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS CAPITAL ASSETS:
Land ..... \$ 243,969
Buildings ..... 1,139,608
Improvements other than buildings ..... 205,370
Machinery and equipment ..... 83,996
Construction-in-progress ..... 1,022,432
Infrastructure ..... 1,012,741
Total Governmental Funds Capital Assets ..... \$ 3,708,116
INVESTMENT IN GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS CAPITAL ASSETS:
General fund ..... \$ 1,672,943
Capital Project Fund ..... 2,035,173
Total Governmental Funds Capital Assets ..... \$ 3,708,116

This schedule presents only the capital asset balances related to governmental funds. Accordingly, the capital assets reported in internal service funds are excluded from the above amounts.
Generally, the capital assets of internal service funds are included as governmental activities in the statement of net position.

CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS
CAPITAL ASSETS USED IN THE OPERATION OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
SCHEDULE BY FUNCTION AND ACTIVITY
AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2019
(AMOUNTS EXPRESSED IN THOUSANDS)

| Function and Activity | Total |  | Land |  | Buildings |  | Improvements <br> Other than <br> Buildings |  | Machinery and Equipment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Administration: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Technology services | \$ | 14,279 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 14,279 |
| Tax |  | 6 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 6 |
| City administration |  | 1,254,655 |  | 127,710 |  | 1,055,599 |  | 62,644 |  | 8,702 |
| Convention center |  | 537 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 537 |
| Total Administration |  | 1,269,477 |  | 127,710 |  | 1,055,599 |  | 62,644 |  | 23,524 |
| Operations: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fire |  | 39,775 |  | (208) |  | 17,013 |  | - |  | 22,970 |
| Municipal court |  | 1,409 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,409 |
| Police |  | 41,974 |  | 654 |  | 21,490 |  | - |  | 19,830 |
| Parks and recreation |  | 232,926 |  | 82,173 |  | 23,187 |  | 123,371 |  | 4,195 |
| Communication services |  | 88 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 88 |
| Airport |  | 47,255 |  | 6,132 |  | 22,030 |  | 18,993 |  | 100 |
| Total Operations |  | 363,427 |  | 88,751 |  | 83,720 |  | 142,364 |  | 48,592 |
| Development: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community development |  | 1,484 |  | - |  | 289 |  | 6 |  | 1,189 |
| Engineering services |  | 137 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 137 |
| Transportation |  | 38,418 |  | 27,508 |  | - |  | 356 |  | 10,544 |
| Total Development |  | 40,039 |  | 27,508 |  | 289 |  | 362 |  | 11,870 |
| Total Capital Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allocated to Functions |  | 1,672,943 | \$ | 243,969 | \$ | 1,139,608 | \$ | 205,370 | \$ | 83,986 |
| Infrastructure |  | 1,012,741 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction in Progress |  | 1,022,432 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Governmental Funds Capital Assets |  | 3,708,116 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

This schedule presents only the capital asset balances related to governmental funds. Accordingly, the capital assets reported in internal service funds are excluded from the above amounts.
Generally, the capital assets of internal service funds are included as governmental activities in the statement of net position.

CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS
CAPITAL ASSETS USED IN THE OPERATION OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN CAPITAL ASSETS -
BY FUNCTION AND ACTIVITY
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2019
(AMOUNTS EXPRESSED IN THOUSANDS)

| Function and Activity | Governmental Funds Capital Assets October 1, 2018 |  | Additions |  | Deletions |  | Governmental Funds Capital Assets September 30, 2019 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Administration: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Technology services | \$ | 14,279 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 14,279 |
| Tax |  | 6 |  | - |  | - |  | 6 |
| City administration |  | 1,252,418 |  | 2,237 |  | - |  | 1,254,655 |
| Convention center |  | 537 |  | - |  | - |  | 537 |
| Total Administration |  | 1,267,240 |  | 2,237 |  | - |  | 1,269,477 |
| Operations: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fire |  | 38,579 |  | 1,579 |  | (383) |  | 39,775 |
| Municipal court |  | 1,409 |  | - |  | - |  | 1,409 |
| Police |  | 39,263 |  | 2,746 |  | (35) |  | 41,974 |
| Parks and recreation |  | 229,377 |  | 3,549 |  | - |  | 232,926 |
| Communication services |  | 88 |  | - |  | - |  | 88 |
| Airport |  | 47,253 |  | 21 |  | (19) |  | 47,255 |
| Total Operations |  | 355,969 |  | 7,895 |  | (437) |  | 363,427 |
| Development: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community development |  | 1,484 |  | - |  | - |  | 1,484 |
| Engineering services |  | 137 |  | - |  | - |  | 137 |
| Transportation |  | 23,779 |  | 15,278 |  | (639) |  | 38,418 |
| Total Development |  | 25,400 |  | 15,278 |  | (639) |  | 40,039 |
| Infrastructure |  | 935,094 |  | 77,647 |  | - |  | 1,012,741 |
| Construction in Progress |  | 399,758 |  | 724,394 |  | $(101,720)$ |  | 1,022,432 |
| 「otal Governmental Funds Capital Assets | \$ | 2,983,461 | \$ | 827,451 | \$ | $(102,796)$ | \$ | 3,708,116 |

This schedule presents only the capital asset balances related to governmental funds. Accordingly, the capital assets reported in internal service funds are excluded from the above amounts.

Generally, the capital assets of internal service funds are included as governmental activities in the statement of net position.


## STATISTICAL SECTION <br> (Unaudited)

The City of Arlington's statistical section presents detailed information as a context for understanding the information in the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report, which details the City's overall financial health and well-being.

FINANCIAL TRENDS - The financial trends schedules contain information to help financial statement users understand how the city's financial position has changed over time.

REVENUE CAPACITY - The Revenue Capacity schedules contain information to help financial statement users assess the City's most significant local revenue source, the property tax.

DEBT CAPACITY - The Debt Capacity schedules present information to help financial statement users assess the City's current levels of outstanding debt and the City's ability to issue additional debt in the future.

DEMOGRAPHIC AND ECONOMIC INFORMATION - The Demographic and Economic Statistic schedules offer demographic and economic indicators to help financial statement users understand the environment within which the City's financial activities take place.

OPERATING INFORMATION - The Operating Information schedules contain service and infrastructure data to help financial statement users understand how the information in the City's financial report relates to the services the City provides and the activities it performs.

| CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS <br> NET POSITION BY COMPONENT <br> Last Ten Fiscal Years <br> (accrual basis of accounting) <br> (Unaudited) (In Thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\underline{2010}$ |  | $\underline{2011}$ |  | $\underline{2012}$ |
| Governmental activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net investment in capital assets | \$ | 1,136,342 | \$ | 1,165,492 | \$ | 1,164,831 |
| Restricted (Debt Srvcs/Impact Fee/Endowments) |  | 64,789 |  | 42,998 |  | 46,032 |
| Unrestricted |  | 101,397 |  | 81,671 |  | 81,604 |
| Total governmental activities net position | \$ | 1,302,528 | \$ | 1,290,161 | \$ | 1,292,467 |
| Business-type activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net investment in capital assets | \$ | 541,227 | \$ | 543,702 | \$ | 573,042 |
| Restricted |  | 10,140 |  | 19,706 |  | 18,655 |
| Unrestricted |  | 33,270 |  | 52,554 |  | 45,459 |
| Total business-type activities net position | \$ | 584,637 | \$ | 615,962 | \$ | 637,156 |
| Primary government |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net investment in capital assets | \$ | 1,677,569 | \$ | 1,709,194 | \$ | 1,737,873 |
| Restricted (Debt Srvcs/Impact Fee) |  | 74,929 |  | 62,704 |  | 64,687 |
| Unrestricted |  | 134,667 |  | 134,225 |  | 127,063 |
| Total primary government net position | \$ | 1,887,165 | \$ | 1,906,123 | \$ | 1,929,623 |

Source: City of Arlington Finance Department
Note: Fiscal Year 2014 amounts have been restated for the impact of GASB Statement No. 68. Note: Fiscal Year 2017 amounts have been restated for the impact of GASB Statement No. 75.

| Fiscal Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underline{2018}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{2013}$ |  | $\underline{2014}$ |  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  | 2017 |  |  | $\underline{2019}$ |  |
| \$ | 1,183,621 | \$ | 1,106,840 | \$ | 1,106,327 | \$ | 1,055,902 | \$ | 1,130,555 | \$ | 881,082 | \$ | 1,462,715 |
|  | 45,169 |  | 41,902 |  | 42,149 |  | 36,068 |  | 29,169 |  | 50,408 |  | 65,742 |
|  | 65,489 |  | 57,744 |  | 76,379 |  | 181,216 |  | 110,143 |  | 242,076 |  | 50,411 |
| \$ | 1,294,279 | \$ | 1,206,486 | \$ | 1,224,855 | \$ | 1,273,186 | \$ | 1,269,867 | \$ | 1,173,566 | \$ | 1,578,868 |
| \$ | 597,114 | \$ | 618,187 | \$ | 622,780 | \$ | 639,243 | \$ | 653,455 | \$ | 694,201 | \$ | 653,396 |
|  | 14,299 |  | 16,169 |  | 14,947 |  | 18,150 |  | 20,334 |  | 39,028 |  | 118,649 |
|  | 44,633 |  | 29,687 |  | 45,365 |  | 51,776 |  | 61,652 |  | 24,236 |  | 29,756 |
| \$ | 656,046 | \$ | 664,043 | \$ | 683,092 | \$ | 709,169 | \$ | 735,441 | \$ | 757,465 | \$ | 801,801 |
| \$ | 1,780,735 | \$ | 1,725,027 | \$ | 1,729,107 | \$ | 1,695,145 | \$ | 1,784,010 | \$ | 1,575,283 | \$ | 2,116,111 |
|  | 59,468 |  | 58,071 |  | 57,096 |  | 54,218 |  | 49,503 |  | 89,436 |  | 184,391 |
|  | 110,122 |  | 87,431 |  | 121,744 |  | 233,324 |  | 171,795 |  | 266,312 |  | 80,167 |
| \$ | 1,950,325 | \$ | 1,870,529 | \$ | 1,907,947 | \$ | 1,982,687 | \$ | 2,005,308 | \$ | 1,931,031 | \$ | 2,380,669 |

CITY OF ARUNGTON TEXAS
CHANGES IN NET POSITION, LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS
(accrual basis of accounting)
(Unaudited) (In Thousands)

|  | Fiscal Year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{2010}$ |  | $\underline{2011}$ |  | $\underline{2012}$ |  |
| Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Governmental activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General government | \$ | 70,517 | \$ | 74,285 | \$ | 66,080 |
| Public safety |  | 134,767 |  | 135,371 |  | 137,561 |
| Public works |  | 67,135 |  | 71,828 |  | 71,957 |
| Public health |  | 3,003 |  | 3,892 |  | 4,320 |
| Parks and recreation |  | 28,020 |  | 28,663 |  | 32,515 |
| Public welfare |  | 12,251 |  | 11,897 |  | 9,475 |
| Convention and event services |  | 6,321 |  | 6,194 |  | 6,821 |
| Interest on long term debt |  | 29,444 |  | 29,890 |  | 24,898 |
| Total governmental activities expenses | \$ | 351,458 | \$ | 362,020 | \$ | 353,627 |
| Business-type activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water and sewer |  | 75,602 |  | 84,270 |  | 86,235 |
| Landfill |  | 4,017 |  | 4,861 |  | 4,777 |
| Total business-type activities expenses | \$ | 79,619 | \$ | 89,131 | \$ | 91,012 |
| Total primary government expenses | \$ | 431,077 | \$ | 451,151 | \$ | 444,639 |

## Program Revenues

Governmental activities:
Charges for services:

## General government

Public safety
Public works
Public health
Parks and recreation
Public welfare
Convention and event services
Operating grants and contributions
Capital grants and contributions
Total governmental activities program revenues
Business-type activities:
Charges for services:
Water and sewer
Storm water utility
Landfill
Capital grants and contributions
Other
Total business-type activities program revenues

Total primary government program revenues

Net (Expense) Revenue
Governmental activities
Business-type activities
Total Primary government net expense

## General Revenues

and Other Changes in Net Position
Governmental activities:
Taxes:
Property taxes
Sales taxes
Other taxes
Gas Lease
Franchise fees
Investment earnings
Net increase (decrease) in fair value
Other
Special Item
Transfers
Total governmental activities
Business-type activities:
Investment earnings
Gain on sale/retirement of capital assets
Miscellaneous
Transfers
Total Business-type activities
Total primary government

## Change in Net Position

Governmental activities
Business-type activities
Total primary government

Source: City of Arlington Finance Department


| \$ | 111,877 | \$ | 113,432 | \$ | 118,785 | \$ | 121,943 | \$ | 131,243 | \$ | 143,826 | \$ | 154,606 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94,071 |  | 93,127 |  | 97,895 |  | 102,580 |  | 105,352 |  | 109,645 |  | 118,724 |
|  | 14,884 |  | 17,192 |  | 18,893 |  | 20,430 |  | 23,163 |  | 23,960 |  | 27,372 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | 25,550 |  | 26,970 |  | 26,477 |  | 25,435 |  | 24,859 |  | 25,166 |  | 24,921 |
|  | 3,081 |  | 3,356 |  | 3,330 |  | 4,023 |  | 4,714 |  | 9,276 |  | 11,329 |
|  | (962) |  | (469) |  | (294) |  | (598) |  | $(1,027)$ |  | $(1,384)$ |  | 3,351 |
|  | 4,257 |  | 3,808 |  | 5,156 |  | 6,084 |  | 3,852 |  | 6,125 |  | 5,217 |
|  | - |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 15,556 |  | 15,355 |  | 16,535 |  | 16,619 |  | 17,101 |  | 34,364 |  | 18,769 |
| \$ | 268,314 | \$ | 272,771 | \$ | 286,777 | \$ | 296,516 | \$ | 309,257 | \$ | 350,978 | \$ | 364,289 |
| \$ | 353 | \$ | 348 | \$ | 465 | \$ | 687 | \$ | 1,098 | \$ | 1,850 | \$ | 3,0141,662 |
|  | (131) |  | 59 |  | 99 |  | (35) |  | (550) |  | (862) |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | - |  |  |
|  | $(15,556)$ |  | $(15,355)$ |  | $(16,535)$ |  | $(16,619)$ |  | $(17,101)$ |  | $(34,364)$ |  | $(18,769)$ |
| \$ | $(15,334)$ | \$ | $(14,946)$ | \$ | $(15,971)$ | \$ | $(15,967)$ | \$ | $(16,553)$ | \$ | $(33,376)$ | \$ | $(14,093)$ |
| \$ | 252,980 | \$ | 257,825 | \$ | 270,806 | \$ | 280,549 | \$ | 292,704 | \$ | 317,602 | \$ | 350,196 |
| \$ | 1,812 | \$ | $(87,793)$ | \$ | 18,369 | \$ | 48,663 | \$ | $(3,651)$ | \$ | $(30,761)$ | \$ | 405,302 |
|  | 18,890 |  | 7,997 |  | 19,049 |  | 26,077 |  | 26,272 |  | 29,506 |  | 44,336 |
| \$ | 20,702 | \$ | $(79,796)$ | \$ | 37,418 | \$ | 74,740 | \$ | 22,621 | \$ | $(1,255)$ |  | 449,638 |

General fund
Nonspendable: Inventory Prepaids
Committed to:
Utility rate case
Capital projects
Assigned to:
Encumbrance
Working capital
Subsequent years' expenditure
Compensated absences
Other post employment benefits
Landfill lease proceeds/future initiatives
Dispatch
Information Technology
Telecommunications
Business continuity
Arbitrage
Infrastructure
Gas lease proceeds
Group Health
Other purposes
Unassigned
Total general fund
All Other Governmental Funds
Nonspendable:
Inventory
Prepaids
Restricted for:
Capital projects
Special Revenue
Committed to:
Capital projects
Special Revenue
Assigned to:
Capital projects
Special Revenue
Undesignated
Total all other governmental funds
Source: City of Arlington Finance Department

| Fiscal Year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{2010}$ |  | $\underline{2011}$ |  | $\underline{2012}$ |  |
| \$ | 1,163 | \$ | 1,228 | \$ | 1,252 |
|  | - |  | 16 |  | 16 |
|  | 500 |  | 500 |  | 500 |
|  |  |  | 1,122 |  | - |
| 4,316 |  |  | 5,613 |  | 7,766 |
| 16,218 |  |  | 16,054 |  | 16,745 |
| 5,839 |  |  | 5,944 |  | 6,378 |
| 1,312 |  |  | 1,252 |  | 1,252 |
| 1,718 |  |  | 1,718 |  | 1,718 |
| 21,487 |  |  | 21,487 |  | 21,487 |
| 422 |  |  | 380 |  | 566 |
| - |  |  | 774 |  | 607 |
| - |  |  | - |  | - |
| 6,889 |  |  | 4,538 |  | 5,155 |
| 75 |  |  | - |  | - |
| - |  |  | - |  | - |
| - |  |  | - |  | - |
| 3,001 |  |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | 56 |  | 55 |
| 3,627 |  |  | 6,093 |  | - |
| \$ | 66,567 | \$ | 66,775 | \$ | 63,497 |

\$ $230 \quad \$ \quad 245 \quad \$ \quad 245$

| 46,598 | 63,644 | 82,648 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 91,613 | 67,258 | 72,894 |
|  |  |  |
| 36,988 | 21,934 | 20,679 |
| 9,690 | 17,152 | 21,594 |
|  |  |  |
| - | - | - |
| 3,134 | 1,567 | 1,253 |
| $(1,351)$ |  |  |
|  |  | $(2,260)$ |
|  | 169,540 | $\$$ |


| Fiscal Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{2013}$ |  | $\underline{2014}$ |  | $\underline{2015}$ |  | $\underline{2016}$ |  | $\underline{2017}$ |  | $\underline{2018}$ |  | $\underline{2019}$ |  |
| \$ | 1,172 | \$ | 1,206 | \$ | 1,207 | \$ | 1,265 | \$ | 1,243 | \$ | 1,231 | \$ | 1,587 |
|  | 20 |  | 23 |  | 71 |  | 117 |  | - |  | 28 |  | - |
|  | 500 |  | 500 |  | 500 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 5,235 |  | 4,449 |  | 5,598 |  | 8,515 |  | 8,794 |  | - |  | - |
|  | 17,076 |  | 17,537 |  | 18,162 |  | 19,717 |  | 20,055 |  | 21,116 |  | 22,151 |
|  | 6,147 |  | 6,313 |  | 6,538 |  | 6,918 |  | 7,220 |  | 15,248 |  | 15,107 |
|  | 1,263 |  | 1,372 |  | 1,443 |  | 1,402 |  | 1,299 |  | 1,537 |  | 1,363 |
|  | 1,718 |  | 1,718 |  | 1,718 |  | 1,718 |  | 1,718 |  | 1,718 |  | 1,718 |
|  | 17,206 |  | 17,151 |  | 17,151 |  | 17,151 |  | 17,151 |  | 17,151 |  | 17,151 |
|  | 615 |  | 756 |  | 916 |  | 830 |  | 987 |  | 600 |  | 717 |
|  | 236 |  | 195 |  | 119 |  | 305 |  | 290 |  | 159 |  | 173 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 4,062 |  | 4,062 |  | 4,062 |  | 4,062 |  | 4,062 |  | 4,062 |  | 4,062 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 1,490 |  | 909 |  | 538 |  | 478 |  | 30 |  | 3,456 |  | 1,869 |
| \$ | 56,740 | \$ | 56,191 | \$ | 58,023 | \$ | 62,478 | \$ | 62,849 | \$ | 66,306 | \$ | 65,898 |
| \$ | 243 | \$ | 249 | \$ | 240 | \$ | 237 | \$ | 219 | \$ | 231 | \$ | 241 |
|  | - |  | 11,166 |  | 5,740 |  | 1,685 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 85,214 |  | 99,932 |  | 109,099 |  | 97,784 |  | 87,655 |  | 347,828 |  | 112,886 |
|  | 74,247 |  | 64,931 |  | 60,099 |  | 54,577 |  | 111,884 |  | 65,644 |  | 81,545 |
|  | 24,592 |  | 25,725 |  | 27,516 |  | 44,867 |  | 57,845 |  | 68,113 |  | 57,829 |
|  | 22,010 |  | 21,471 |  | 25,534 |  | 73,800 |  | 5,669 |  | 21,884 |  | 26,051 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 2,320 |  | 823 |  | 589 |  | 318 |  | 239 |  | 169 |  | 403 |
|  | (7) |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| \$ | 208,619 | \$ | 224,297 | \$ | 228,817 | \$ | 273,268 | \$ | 263,511 | \$ | 503,869 | \$ | 278,955 |

CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS

## CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES, GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

Last Ten Fiscal Years
(modified accrual basis of accounting)
(Unaudited) (In Thousands)

|  | Fiscal Year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{2010}$ |  | $\underline{2011}$ |  | $\underline{2012}$ |  |
| REVENUES: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes: | \$ | 210,767 | \$ | 209,077 | \$ | 212,991 |
| Licenses and permits |  | 6,801 |  | 7,146 |  | 6,673 |
| Franchise fees |  | 25,769 |  | 27,260 |  | 25,600 |
| Fines and forfeitures |  | 13,861 |  | 15,194 |  | 15,425 |
| Leases, rents, and concessions |  | 11,117 |  | 8,791 |  | 8,968 |
| Service charges |  | 18,063 |  | 20,836 |  | 20,081 |
| Investment income |  | 4,025 |  | 5,004 |  | 3,797 |
| Net increase (decrease) in fair value of investment |  | 1,715 |  | $(1,201)$ |  | (139) |
| Contributions |  | 44,839 |  | 2,243 |  | 6,132 |
| Intergovernmental |  | 26,801 |  | 23,017 |  | 25,361 |
| Gas lease |  | 5,971 |  | 8,276 |  | 9,314 |
| Miscellaneous |  | 7,129 |  | 6,826 |  | 5,202 |
| Total Revenues |  | 376,858 |  | 332,469 |  | 339,405 |
| EXPENDITURES: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General government |  | 35,599 |  | 41,512 |  | 41,780 |
| Public safety |  | 126,934 |  | 128,519 |  | 134,166 |
| Public works |  | 35,493 |  | 44,003 |  | 39,954 |
| Public health |  | 2,770 |  | 3,737 |  | 4,163 |
| Public welfare |  | 10,969 |  | 11,045 |  | 8,372 |
| Parks and recreation |  | 23,666 |  | 23,975 |  | 24,322 |
| Convention and event services |  | 6,321 |  | 6,194 |  | 6,821 |
| Operating expenditures |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Capital outlay |  | 79,365 |  | 26,389 |  | 32,262 |
| Debt Service: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Principal retirement |  | 30,975 |  | 61,785 |  | 42,765 |
| Redemption premium |  | - |  | 1,116 |  | 249 |
| Interest and fiscal charges |  | 29,352 |  | 28,703 |  | 25,976 |
| Bond issuance cost |  |  |  | - |  | - |
| Total expenditures |  | 381,444 |  | 376,978 |  | 360,830 |
| EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES |  | $(4,586)$ |  | $(44,509)$ |  | $(21,425)$ |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bonds issued* |  | 62,560 |  | 15,275 |  | 19,635 |
| Capital lease |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Proceeds from sale of capital assests |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Refunding bonds issued |  | 16,020 |  | 41,065 |  | 23,865 |
| Refunding bond principal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bond premium |  | 2,870 |  | 2,318 |  | 2,038 |
| Payment of escrow for refunding |  | $(12,913)$ |  | $(39,252)$ |  | $(13,328)$ |
| Gain on sale of land |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Bond discount |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfers in |  | 33,915 |  | 31,769 |  | 44,505 |
| Transfers out |  | $(23,662)$ |  | $(23,820)$ |  | $(28,795)$ |
| Total other financing sources (uses) |  | 78,790 |  | 27,355 |  | 47,920 |
| NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES | \$ | 74,204 | \$ | $(17,154)$ | \$ | 26,495 |
| Capitalized Capital outlay |  | 101,356 |  | 27,665 |  | 29,209 |
| Debt service as a percentage of noncapital expenditures |  | 21.5\% |  | 25.9\% |  | 20.7\% |

Source: City of Arlington Finance Department

* Note: Bond issued includes general obligation bonds and certificates of obligation and commercial paper Investment income includes net increase (decrease) in fair value of investments

| Fiscal Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{2013}$ |  | $\underline{2014}$ |  | $\underline{2015}$ |  | $\underline{2016}$ |  | $\underline{2017}$ |  | $\underline{2018}$ |  | $\underline{2019}$ |  |
| \$ | 221,338 | \$ | 224,401 | \$ | 235,986 | \$ | 245,678 | \$ | 260,653 | \$ | 278,344 | \$ | 301,400 |
|  | 6,823 |  | 7,589 |  | 7,448 |  | 9,063 |  | 9,662 |  | 9,278 |  | 9,955 |
|  | 25,550 |  | 26,970 |  | 26,477 |  | 25,435 |  | 24,859 |  | 25,166 |  | 24,921 |
|  | 15,419 |  | 15,191 |  | 13,847 |  | 11,996 |  | 10,858 |  | 10,305 |  | 10,853 |
|  | 8,932 |  | 9,890 |  | 10,203 |  | 9,848 |  | 10,099 |  | 11,044 |  | 11,672 |
|  | 20,302 |  | 19,705 |  | 20,316 |  | 22,089 |  | 20,252 |  | 22,071 |  | 25,073 |
|  | 3,269 |  | 3,252 |  | 3,322 |  | 3,936 |  | 4,432 |  | 9,068 |  | 10,871 |
|  | (935) |  | (477) |  | (301) |  | (592) |  | (996) |  | $(1,378)$ |  | 3,283 |
|  | 4,481 |  | 4,910 |  | 6,290 |  | 5,373 |  | 9,442 |  | 4,544 |  | 410,903 |
|  | 19,483 |  | 15,476 |  | 12,700 |  | 62,857 |  | 14,754 |  | 20,345 |  | 15,849 |
|  | 7,668 |  | 8,351 |  | 10,287 |  | 5,196 |  | 8,221 |  | 7,568 |  | 7,099 |
|  | 3,549 |  | 2,783 |  | 8,569 |  | 5,003 |  | 2,585 |  | 4,971 |  | 3,214 |
|  | 335,879 |  | 338,041 |  | 355,144 |  | 405,882 |  | 374,821 |  | 401,326 |  | 835,093 |
| 40,188 |  |  | 43,045 |  | 41,924 |  | 45,692 |  | 46,945 |  | 52,520 |  | 49,480 |
| 132,829 |  |  | 142,556 |  | 141,550 |  | 145,733 |  | 157,252 |  | 162,441 |  | 172,697 |
| 44,151 |  |  | 45,965 |  | 45,723 |  | 42,215 |  | 45,569 |  | 49,099 |  | 55,557 |
| 2,349 |  |  | 2,621 |  | 2,762 |  | 2,637 |  | 2,741 |  | 2,869 |  | 3,425 |
| 9,391 |  |  | 11,558 |  | 8,497 |  | 9,768 |  | 10,277 |  | 67,075 |  | 10,033 |
| 26,738 |  |  | 25,628 |  | 26,246 |  | 26,347 |  | 28,165 |  | 29,746 |  | 29,757 |
| 6,711 |  |  | 7,366 |  | 8,037 |  | 8,283 |  | 13,640 |  | 16,036 |  | 15,110 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 27,325 |  |  | 30,912 |  | 43,274 |  | 55,815 |  | 77,115 |  | 303,477 |  | 721,573 |
| 49,625 |  |  | 50,810 |  | 54,815 |  | 60,500 |  | 35,190 |  | 38,630 |  | 35,605 |
| 299 |  |  | 168 |  | 13 |  | 701 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 25,062 |  |  | 23,689 |  | 23,624 |  | 24,119 |  | 22,571 |  | 34,427 |  | 42,751 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 364,668 |  |  | 384,318 |  | 396,465 |  | 421,810 |  | 439,465 |  | 756,320 |  | 1,135,988 |
| $(28,789)$ |  |  | $(46,277)$ |  | $(41,321)$ |  | $(15,928)$ |  | $(64,644)$ |  | $(354,994)$ |  | $(300,895)$ |
| 19,635 |  |  | 43,450 |  | 32,004 |  | 64,585 |  | 64,550 |  | 524,684 |  | 60,820 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 6,430 |  |  | - |  | 36,845 |  | 47,365 |  | 128,440 |  | - |  | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(172,000)$ |  | - |  | $(5,862)$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 835 \\ (6,585) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 2,900 |  | 4,468 |  | 8,935 |  | 26,003 |  | 43,616 |  | 6,436 |
|  |  |  | - |  | $(40,435)$ |  | $(53,710)$ |  | $(8,752)$ |  | - |  | - |
| - |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 42,468$(31,445)$ |  |  | 39,573 |  | 43,241 |  | 90,512 |  | 51,980 |  | 70,870 |  | 49,605 |
|  |  |  | $(24,517)$ |  | $(28,450)$ |  | $(92,853)$ |  | $(34,963)$ |  | $(40,361)$ |  | $(35,426)$ |
| 31,338 |  |  | 61,406 |  | 47,673 |  | 64,834 |  | 55,258 |  | 598,809 |  | 75,573 |
| \$ | 2,549 | \$ | 15,129 | \$ | 6,352 | \$ | 48,906 | \$ | $(9,386)$ | \$ | 243,815 |  | $(225,322)$ |
|  | 27,497 |  | 30,077 |  | 41,252 |  | 55,394 |  | 79,498 |  | 311,245 |  | 725,746 |
|  | 22.2\% |  | 21.0\% |  | 22.1\% |  | 23.1\% |  | 16.0\% |  | 16.4\% |  | 19.1\% |



## Sources:

City of Arlington Finance Department
Tarrant Appraisal District Records

## Note:

(1) Taxable and estimated actual value of taxable property is obtained from the certified value as of September of each tax year including minimum estimated value of property under protest.

* 2016 certified values as of December tax year.

Note: The City budgets tax revenue based on the tax valuation from the previous tax year.

CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS

Last Ten Fiscal Years
(Unaudited)

City Direct Rates
$\qquad$ Overlapping Rates

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Tax } \\ \text { Year }^{3} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\qquad$ | General Obligation Debt Service | Total <br> Direct | Arlington Independent School District ${ }^{1}$ | Fort Worth Independent School District ${ }^{1}$ | HEB Independent School District ${ }^{1}$ | Kennedale Independent School District ${ }^{1}$ | Mansfield Independent School District ${ }^{1}$ | Tarrant County ${ }^{2}$ | Viridian Management District |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | 0.4330 | 0.2150 | 0.6480 | 1.34 | 1.32 | 1.29 | 1.54 | 1.50 | 0.65 | 0.45 |
| 2011 | 0.4423 | 0.2057 | 0.6480 | 1.30 | 1.32 | 1.41 | 1.51 | 1.54 | 0.66 | 0.45 |
| 2012 | 0.4423 | 0.2057 | 0.6480 | 1.30 | 1.32 | 1.41 | 1.51 | 1.54 | 0.66 | 0.45 |
| 2013 | 0.4423 | 0.2057 | 0.6480 | 1.29 | 1.32 | 1.39 | 1.49 | 1.53 | 0.66 | 0.45 |
| 2014 | 0.4423 | 0.2057 | 0.6480 | 1.29 | 1.32 | 1.39 | 1.49 | 1.53 | 0.66 | 0.45 |
| 2015 | 0.4460 | 0.2020 | 0.6480 | 1.41 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.49 | 1.51 | 0.66 | 0.45 |
| 2016 | 0.4538 | 0.1910 | 0.6448 | 1.39 | 1.35 | 1.32 | 1.49 | 1.51 | 0.65 | 0.45 |
| 2017 | 0.4409 | 0.1989 | 0.6398 | 1.37 | 1.35 | 1.26 | 1.48 | 1.54 | 0.63 | 0.45 |
| 2018 | 0.4428 | 0.1920 | 0.6348 | 1.37 | 1.35 | 1.27 | 1.45 | 1.54 | 0.61 | 0.45 |
| 2019 | 0.4467 | 0.1773 | 0.6240 | 1.30 | 1.28 | 1.22 | 1.35 | 1.46 | 0.62 | 0.45 |

## Sources:

City of Arlington Finance Department
Tarrant Appraisal District Records

## Notes:

1 A single property owner's total tax rate would only include one independent school district's (Arlington, Hurst-Euless-Bedford, Kennedale, or Mansfield) tax rate.
2 This column includes the tax rates for Tarrant County, the Tarrant County Junior College District, the Tarrant County Hospital District and the TC Regional Water District
3 The City budgets tax revenue based on the tax valuation from the previous tax year. For example, the rates in 2018 determine revenue for fiscal year 2019.

CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS
PRINCIPAL PROPERTY TAX PAYERS
Current Year and Ten Years Ago
(Unaudited)

| Taxpayer |  | Total <br> Taxable <br> Value | Percentage of Total City Taxable Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Motors Corp. | \$ | 292,887,859 | 1.13 \% |
| Arlington Highlands |  | 179,307,250 | 0.69 |
| Parks at Arlington |  | 172,159,764 | 0.66 |
| Oncor Electric Delivery |  | 161,906,699 | 0.62 |
| Six Flags Fund II LTD |  | 108,296,864 | 0.42 |
| Bedrock Holdings II |  | 96,380,000 | 0.37 |
| Pioneer Industrial LLC |  | 78,952,886 | 0.30 |
| Columbia Medical Center |  | 77,826,183 | 0.30 |
| Park 20-360 Investors LLC |  | 76,611,944 | 0.30 |
| Viridian Holdings LP |  | 76,552,714 | 0.30 |
| Total | \$ | 1,320,882,163 | 5.10 \% |

## Sources:

City of Arlington Finance Department
Tarrant Appraisal District

Table 7

2010

| Taxpayer | Total <br> Taxable <br> Value |  | Percentage of Total City Taxable Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Motors Corp. | \$ | 212,101,715 | 1.16 \% |
| Oncor Electric Delivery |  | 143,974,121 | 0.79 |
| Parks at Arlington |  | 140,258,067 | 0.77 |
| Arlington Highlands |  | 111,888,933 | 0.61 |
| Wal Mart Real Estate |  | 73,324,393 | 0.40 |
| Six Flags Fund II LTD |  | 66,162,610 | 0.36 |
| Southwestern Bell |  | 62,819,255 | 0.34 |
| HC LOBF Arlington LLC |  | 60,044,304 | 0.33 |
| USMD Surgical Hospital |  | 56,194,374 | 0.31 |
| Quicksilver Resources |  | 55,108,353 | 0.30 |
| Total | \$ | 981,876,125 | 5.38 \% |



## Source:

City of Arlington Finance Department

## Notes:

Cumulative Tax Collection Comparison
${ }^{(1)}$ Prior year collections exclude penalty and interest

* Collected within FY Amount Revised for 2013

(Unaudited)

|  | Governmental Activities |  |  |  |  | Business-Type Activities |  |  |  | Estimated <br> Population | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { Capita }^{\text {a }} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Percentage of Personal Income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal Year | General Obligation Bonds | Certificates of Obligation ${ }^{(1)}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Commercial } \\ \text { Paper } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Venue } \\ & \text { Debt }^{(2)} \end{aligned}$ | Capital Leases | Water and Sewer Revenue $\qquad$ Bonds | $\begin{gathered} \text { Stormwater } \\ \text { Bonds } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total <br> Primary Government | Percentage of Total axable Valu |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 254,425,000 | 69,465,000 | 17,200,000 | 298,550,000 | - | 110,220,000 | - | 749,860,000 | 4.11\% | 365,438 | 2,052 | 1.05\% |
| 2011 | 251,450,000 | 65,285,000 | 12,900,000 | 263,635,000 | - | 116,675,000 | 25,600,000 | 735,545,000 | 4.28\% | 365,530 | 2,012 | 0.95\% |
| 2012 | 259,755,000 | 61,055,000 | - | 248,240,000 | - | 124,285,000 | 24,320,000 | 717,655,000 | 4.14\% | 365,860 | 1,962 | 0.87\% |
| 2013 | 257,493,000 | 56,110,000 | - | 225,718,000 | - | 123,642,000 | 23,981,000 | 686,944,000 | 3.89\% | 365,930 | 1,877 | 0.81\% |
| 2014 | 252,197,000 | 80,340,000 | - | 207,827,000 | - | 133,307,000 | 22,601,000 | 696,272,000 | 3.85\% | 369,508 | 1,884 | 0.78\% |
| 2015 | 265,434,000 | 67,525,000 | - | 185,051,000 | 9,860,000 | 141,410,000 | 21,228,000 | 680,648,000 | 3.60\% | 379,370 | 1,794 | 0.70\% |
| 2016 | 307,231,000 | 62,490,000 | - | 156,570,000 | 8,864,000 | 173,125,000 | 19,860,000 | 728,140,000 | 3.71\% | 380,740 | 1,912 | 0.75\% |
| 2017 | 341,307,000 | 61,430,000 | - | 128,229,000 | 7,844,000 | 207,079,000 | 27,493,000 | 773,382,000 | 3.62\% | 382,230 | 2,023 | 0.79\% |
| 2018 | 367,669,000 | 60,595,000 | - | 625,932,000 | 6,800,000 | 241,475,000 | 31,797,000 | 1,334,268,000 | 5.68\% | 383,950 | 3,475 | 1.25\% |
| 2019 | 390,273,000 | 61,085,000 | - | 622,408,000 | 5,731,000 | 335,072,000 | 36,970,000 | 1,451,539,000 | 5.60\% | 386,180 | 3,759 | n/a |

Sources:
City of Arlington Finance Department

## Notes:

Details regarding the City's outstanding debt can be found in the notes to the financial statements.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ See Table 13 for personal income and population data.
${ }^{11}$ Certificates of Obligation include Tax and Revenue certificates
${ }^{(2)}$ Stadium Debt include Special Tax Revenue Bonds, and Revenue Bonds

|  | General Bonded Debt Outstanding |  |  |  |  | Percentage of <br> Actual Taxable <br> Value of <br> Property ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal <br> Year | General Obligation Bonds | Certificates of Obligations | Commercial Paper | Available resources restricted for repayment of debt (fund balance) | Net General <br> Bonded Debt <br> Outstanding |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { Capita }^{\text {b }} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Estimated <br> Population ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 2010* | 254,425,000 | 69,465,000 | 17,200,000 | $(5,879,115)$ | 335,210,885 | 1.84\% | 917 | 365,438 |
| 2011 | 251,450,000 | 65,285,000 | 12,900,000 | $(5,774,175)$ | 323,860,825 | 1.89\% | 886 | 365,530 |
| 2012 | 259,755,000 | 61,055,000 | - | $(4,874,817)$ | 315,935,183 | 1.82\% | 864 | 365,860 |
| 2013 | 257,493,000 | 56,110,000 | - | $(4,078,685)$ | 309,524,315 | 1.75\% | 846 | 365,930 |
| 2014 | 252,197,000 | 80,340,000 | - | $(2,769,146)$ | 329,767,854 | 1.82\% | 892 | 369,508 |
| 2015 | 265,434,000 | 67,525,000 | - | $(2,482,205)$ | 330,476,795 | 1.75\% | 871 | 379,370 |
| 2016 | 307,231,000 | 62,490,000 | - | $(2,260,181)$ | 367,460,819 | 1.87\% | 965 | 380,740 |
| 2017 | 341,307,000 | 61,430,000 | - | $(2,767,737)$ | 399,969,263 | 1.87\% | 1,046 | 382,230 |
| 2018 | 367,669,000 | 60,595,000 | - | $(2,988,983)$ | 425,275,017 | 1.81\% | 1,108 | 383,950 |
| 2019 | 390,273,000 | 61,085,000 | - | $(3,566,517)$ | 447,791,483 | 1.73\% | 1,160 | 386,180 |

Source:
City of Arlington Finance Department

## Notes:

Details regarding the city's outstanding debt can be found in the notes to the financial statements.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ See Table 5 for property value data.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ See Table 13 for per Capita and population data.
*2010 population is based on census data; All other years are estimates.

| Governmental Unit | Debt <br> Outstanding |  | Estimated Percentage Applicable |  | Estimated Share of Overlapping Debt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arlington ISD | \$ | 766,072,018 | 78.08\% | \$ | 598,149,032 |
| Fort Worth ISD |  | 901,160,000 | 0.39\% |  | 3,514,524 |
| Hurst-Euless-Bedford I.S.D. |  | 383,550,000 | 4.10\% |  | 15,725,550 |
| Kennedale I.S.D. |  | 30,910,025 | 56.07\% |  | 17,331,251 |
| Mansfield I.S.D. |  | 928,545,000 | 28.14\% |  | 261,292,563 |
| Tarrant County |  | 266,375,000 | 15.45\% |  | 41,154,938 |
| Tarrant County Hospital District |  | 17,735,000 | 15.45\% |  | 2,740,058 |
| Viridian Municipal Management |  | 140,250,000 | 100.00\% |  | 140,250,000 |
| Subtotal overlapping debt |  | 3,434,597,043 |  |  | 1,080,157,915 |
| *City of Arlington, net debt outstanding |  | 1,079,497,000 | 100.00\% | \$ | 1,079,497,000 |
| Total direct and overlapping debt | \$ | 4,514,094,043 |  | \$ | 2,159,654,915 |

## Sources: <br> City of Arlington Finance Department <br> Municipal Advisory Council of Texas

## Note:

*City of Arlington net debt outstanding includes GO, CO, Stadium Debt, Discount Bonds and Capital Leases. Tarrant County Junior College District had no debt outstanding.

Last Ten Fiscal Years
(In Thousands)
(Unaudited)

| Fiscal <br> Year | Waterworks and Sewer System Revenue Bonds |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Revenues ${ }^{(1)}$ | Less: <br> Operating <br> Expenses ${ }^{(2)}$ | Net <br> Available <br> Revenue ${ }^{(3)}$ | Average Annual Requirement | Times Coverage ${ }^{(4)}$ |
| 2010 | 107,800 | 73,859 | 33,941 | 7,013 | 4.84 |
| 2011 | 123,442 | 67,924 | 55,518 | 7,663 | 7.24 |
| 2012 | 114,719 | 70,300 | 44,419 | 7,769 | 5.72 |
| 2013 | 114,234 | 72,941 | 41,293 | 7,684 | 5.37 |
| 2014 | 116,145 | 78,672 | 37,473 | 8,195 | 4.57 |
| 2015 | 123,870 | 79,958 | 43,912 | 8,582 | 5.12 |
| 2016 | 131,086 | 78,839 | 52,247 | 10,559 | 4.95 |
| 2017 | 138,007 | 86,631 | 51,376 | 12,651 | 4.06 |
| 2018 | 155,958 | 85,204 | 70,754 | 14,744 | 4.80 |
| 2019 | 155,189 | 87,754 | 67,435 | 19,514 | 3.46 |


|  | Storm Water Utility |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less: | Net | Average |  |
| Fiscal Year | Total <br> Revenues ${ }^{(1)}$ | Operating <br> Expenses ${ }^{(2)}$ | Available <br> Revenue ${ }^{(3)}$ | Annual <br> Requirement | Times Coverage ${ }^{(4)}$ |
| 2011 | 10,492 | 4,371 | 6,121 | 1,856 | 3.30 |
| 2012 | 10,536 | 2,874 | 7,662 | 1,825 | 4.20 |
| 2013 | 10,815 | 2,845 | 7,970 | 1,800 | 4.43 |
| 2014 | 10,774 | 2,908 | 7,866 | 1,774 | 4.43 |
| 2015 | 12,160 | 3,110 | 9,050 | 1,747 | 5.18 |
| 2016 | 13,575 | 3,430 | 10,145 | 1,720 | 5.90 |
| 2017 | 15,011 | 3,441 | 11,570 | 1,786 | 6.48 |
| 2018 | 16,384 | 3,903 | 12,481 | 2,026 | 6.16 |
| 2019 | 18,014 | 3,597 | 14,417 | 2,328 | 6.19 |

## Source:

City of Arlington Finance Department

## Notes:

${ }^{(1)}$ Revenue determined on the full accrual basis and includes nonoperating interest
${ }^{(2)}$ Total expenses less depreciation and bond interest
${ }^{(3)}$ Gross operating revenues (1) less expenses (2)
${ }^{(4)}$ Net revenue available for debt service (3) divided by average annual debt service requirement

| Year | Estimated Population ${ }^{(a)}$ | Personal Income ${ }^{(b)}$ <br> (thousands of dollars) | Per Capita Personal Income ${ }^{(b)}$ | Median $\text { Age }^{(\mathrm{c})}$ | School <br> Enrollment ${ }^{(\mathrm{d})}$ | Unemployment $\text { Rate }^{(\mathrm{e})}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 * | 365,438 | 71,186,538 | 39,367 | 35.0 | 63,989 | 7.8\% |
| 2011 | 365,530 | 77,035,055 | 40,965 | 35.0 | 64,979 | 7.6\% |
| 2012 | 365,860 | 82,707,780 | 43,044 | 33.0 | 65,700 | 6.8\% |
| 2013 | 365,930 | 84,905,643 | 44,417 | 34.0 | 66,177 | 5.9\% |
| 2014 | 369,508 | 89,814,369 | 46,169 | 32.3 | 65,972 | 5.2\% |
| 2015 | 379,370 | 96,600,949 | 48,727 | 32.5 | 65,274 | 4.0\% |
| 2016 | 380,740 | 96,909,978 | 48,050 | 32.8 | 64,652 | 3.8\% |
| 2017 | 382,230 | 97,639,160 | 47,525 | 33.1 | 63,571 | 3.9\% |
| 2018 | 383,950 | 106,829,236 | 51,239 | 33.1 | 62,552 | 3.5\% |
| 2019 | 386,180 | N/R | N/R | N/R | 61,450 | 3.4\% |

## Sources:

(a) North Central Texas Council of Governments and City of Arlington Financial and Management Resources.
(b) Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) - Tarrant County
(c) U.S. Census Bureau - Arlington
(d) Texas Education Agency (AISD + Arl Academy)
(e) Bureau of Labor Statistics Data (Annual Average Oct.-Sept.)

## Notes:

* 2010 population and Median Age is based on US Census data; All other years are estimates.

N/R - not reported

| Employer | Type of Business | Employees | Percentage of Total City Employment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arlington Independent School District | Public Education | 8,200 | 3.81\% |
| University of Texas at Arlington | Higher Education | 5,300 | 2.47\% |
| General Motors Co. | Automobile Assembly | 4,484 | 2.09\% |
| Texas Health Resources | Health Care | 4,063 | 1.89\% |
| Six Flags Over Texas | Amusement Park | 3,800 | 1.77\% |
| The Parks at Arlington | Retail | 3,500 | 1.63\% |
| GM Financial | Financial Services | 3,300 | 1.53\% |
| City of Arlington | Municipality | 2,487 | 1.16\% |
| J.P. Morgan-Chase | Banking Services | 1,965 | 0.91\% |
| Texas Rangers Baseball Club | Sports/Entertainment | 1,881 | 0.87\% |
| Total |  | 38,980 | 18.13\% |


| Employer | Type of Business | Employees | Percentage of Total City Employment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arlington Independent School District | Public Education | 8,518 | 4.36\% |
| University of Texas at Arlington | Higher Education | 5,300 | 2.71\% |
| Six Flags Over Texas | Amusement Park | 2,500 | 1.28\% |
| City of Arlington | Municipality | 2,477 | 1.27\% |
| General Motors Co. | Automobile Assembly | 2,362 | 1.21\% |
| Texas Health Resources | Health Care | 2,105 | 1.08\% |
| Americredit | Financial Services | 1,570 | 0.80\% |
| Cowboys Stadium | Sports Entertainment | 1,385 | 0.71\% |
| Texas Rangers Baseball Club | Sports Entertainment | 1,295 | 0.66\% |
| Medical Center of Arlington | Health Care | 1,250 | 0.64\% |
| Total |  | 28,762 | 14.72\% |

## Sources:

City of Arlington Finance Department

## Notes:

2019 Labor Force Estimate is 214,999
2010 Labor Force Estimate is 195,260

CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT CITY GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES
BY FUNCTION/PROGRAM
Last Ten Fiscal Years

|  | Fiscal Year |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Function/Program | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Strategic Support |  |  |  |
| Communication and Legislative Affairs | - | - | - |
| City Attorney's Office | 38 | 38 | 38 |
| City Manager's Office | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Internal Auditor's Office | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Human Resources | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| Judiciary | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Municipal Court | 42 | 41 | 42 |
| Information Technology | 54 | 54 | 55 |
| Finance* | 69 | 69 | 70 |
| Document Services | 11 | 9 | 9 |
| Economic Development \& Capital Investment |  |  |  |
| Economic Development | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Planning and Development Services | 68 | 64 | 71 |
| Aviation | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Convention Center | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| Strategic Initiatives | - | - | - |
| Neighborhood Services |  |  |  |
| Police | 781 | 789 | 829 |
| Fire** | 423 | 424 | 443 |
| Code Compliance Services | 75 | 69 | 60 |
| Park and Recreation | 118 | 103 | 101 |
| Park Performance Fund | 72 | 72 | 69 |
| Library | 69 | 68 | 68 |
| Capital Investment |  |  |  |
| Public Works \& Transportation | 93 | 90 | 86 |
| Street Maintenance Fund | 92 | 91 | 93 |
| Asset Management | - | - | - |
| Fleet Services Fund | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Water Utilities Fund | 219 | 222 | 222 |
| Storm Water Utility Fund | 24 | 27 | 27 |
| Grant Funds | 153 | 152 | 115 |
| Total | 2,477 | 2,458 | 2,474 |

## Source:

## City of Arlington Finance Department

Note: Asset Management and Strategic Initiatives were both created in 2019, Real Estate Services was moved from CDP to Economic Development, and one position moved from Public Works to Economic Development. CDP was changed to Planning and Development Services.

* 2015 Finance split into two separte departments Finance and Management

Resources. 2018 Management Resources changed to Communication and Legislative Affairs.
**2012-2018 Fire umbrellas communication services fund and EPAB.

Table 15

|  |  | Fiscal Year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| - | - | 33 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 |
| 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 39 | 38 | 38 |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 19 | 19 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 26 | 26 |
| 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 |
| 41 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 43 | 40 | 39 |
| 55 | 55 | 55 | 56 | 58 | 58 | 58 |
| 71 | 71 | 36 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 36 |
| 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 10 |
| 71 | 71 | 70 | 68 | 70 | 72 | 58 |
| 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 |
| 837 | 836 | 838 | 835 | 839 | 846 | 859 |
| 443 | 443 | 447 | 472 | 470 | 496 | 500 |
| 62 | 62 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 67 |
| 102 | 102 | 104 | 106 | 108 | 108 | 109 |
| 69 | 69 | 74 | 74 | 85 | 86 | 86 |
| 68 | 68 | 68 | 68 | 68 | 68 | 68 |
| 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 87 | 87 | 54 |
| 93 | 93 | 93 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 32 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 223 | 223 | 218 | 204 | 202 | 198 | 205 |
| 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 29 | 30 | 31 |
| 106 | 113 | 111 | 123 | 148 | 165 | 154 |
| 2,475 | 2,481 | 2,492 | 2,520 | 2,567 | 2,617 | 2,635 |


| Function/Program | Fiscal Year |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{2010}$ | $\underline{2011}$ | $\underline{2012}$ |
| Public safety |  |  |  |
| Police |  |  |  |
| Number of employees | 634 | 634 | 640 |
| Number of dispatch calls | 161,695 | 135,552 | 156,388 |
| Fire |  |  |  |
| Number of employees | 423 | 424 | 443 |
| Number of service calls | 33,012 | 34,445 | 35,276 |
| Parks and recreational |  |  |  |
| Parks - programs registration | 45,855 | 41,479 | 47,842 |
| Membership | 38,285 | 39,282 | 35,314 |
| Library |  |  |  |
| Central Library | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Branch libraries* | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Collection size | 612,272 | 602,339 | 614,270 |
| Average monthly circulation | 186,606 | 199,616 | 187,093 |
| Water and sewer |  |  |  |
| Number of accounts | 99,288 | 99,484 | 99,862 |
| Average daily water consumption (gallons) | 48,128,986 | 64,096,000 | 57,990,000 |
| Ozonated treatment capacity (gpd) | 172,500,000 | 172,500,000 | 172,500,000 |
| Number of sewer connections | 97,185 | 97,343 | 97,930 |
| Golf Course |  |  |  |
| Number of clinics | 129 | 78 | 61 |
| Number of participants in clinics | 495 | 348 | 355 |
| Number of private lessons | 1,253 | 1,246 | 1,066 |

## Source:

City of Arlington Finance Department

## Notes:

* Central Library was vacated in Feb 2015 and temporarly replaced as the Central Express Library Branch. Central Express location closed at the end of March and the New Central Library opened back June 2018. Police: Employment represents full-time sworn officers
Fire: Employment represents full-time civilian, sworn and dispatch. Number of Service Calls represent all incidents including mutual aid given.

| Fiscal Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{2013}$ | $\underline{2014}$ | $\underline{2015}$ | $\underline{2016}$ | $\underline{2017}$ | $\underline{2018}$ | $\underline{2019}$ |
| 640 | 643 | 643 | 639 | 640 | 644 | 653 |
| 143,146 | 134,160 | 135,047 | 136,069 | 134,451 | 124,669 | 183,650 |
| 443 | 443 | 445 | 470 | 477 | 477 | 494 |
| 36,317 | 38,542 | 40,717 | 42,325 | 43,897 | 44,654 | 46,457 |
| 46,286 | 42,692 | 53,395 | 57,405 | 40,124 | 44,341 | 50,617 |
| 36,757 | 35,661 | 32,396 | 29,468 | 25,560 | 27,686 | 54,846 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 |
| 656,982 | 624,002 | 625,267 | 630,315 | 609,403 | 524,746 | 555,102 |
| 180,048 | 175,601 | 179,734 | 181,812 | 172,019 | 171,228 | 171,375 |
| 100,453 | 101,107 | 101,733 | 102,193 | 102,757 | 103,333 | 104,140 |
| 49,094,776 | 53,350,000 | 52,481,000 | 46,160,656 | 51,091,670 | 53,780,000 | 49,498,917 |
| 172,500,000 | 172,500,000 | 172,500,000 | 172,500,000 | 172,500,000 | 172,500,000 | 172,500,000 |
| 98,544 | 99,279 | 99,944 | 100,387 | 100,932 | 101,463 | 102,266 |
| 56 | 64 | 71 | 39 | 29 | 21 | 28 |
| 409 | 204 | 534 | 348 | 208 | 243 | 188 |
| 1,854 | 1,747 | 2,098 | 1,664 | 1,477 | 1,031 | 1,022 |

CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS
CAPITAL ASSET STATISTICS BY FUNCTION/PROGRAM

## Last Ten Fiscal Years

(Unaudited)

|  |  |  | Fiscal Year |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Function/Program | $\underline{2010}$ | $\underline{2011}$ | $\underline{2012}$ |
| Public safety |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Police stations | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Fire stations | 16 | 16 | 17 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Miles of streets and alleys |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Streets- paved (lane miles) | 3,002 | 3,004 | 3,085 |
| Sidewalks (miles) | 999 | 1,005 | 1,015 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Cultural and recreational |  |  |  |
| Parks (acres) (golf courses included) | 4,683 | 4,683 | 4,697 |
| Swimming pools | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Tennis courts | 45 | 45 | 45 |
| Recreation centers | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Senior center | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Miles of park trails | 52 | 49 | 51 |
| Sports fields-youth | 77 | 77 | 77 |
| Basketball courts-outdoors | 21 | 22 | 21 |
| Number of golf courses | 4 | 4 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Water and Sewer |  |  |  |
| Water mains (miles) | 1,551 | 1,557 | 1,572 |
| Fire hydrants | 10,780 | 10,856 | 10,983 |
| Sanitary sewers (miles) | 1,289 | 1,294 | 1,297 |

## Source:

City of Arlington Finance Department

|  |  | Fiscal Year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{2013}$ | $\underline{2014}$ | $\underline{2015}$ | $\underline{2016}$ | $\underline{2017}$ | $\underline{2018}$ | $\underline{2019}$ |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| 3,093 | 3,161 | 3,225 | 3,277 | 3,350 | 3,419 | 3,458 |
| 1,018 | 1,030 | 1,048 | 1,057 | 1,069 | 1,094 | 1,103 |
| 4,710 | 4,710 | 4,714 | 4,714 | 4,718 | 4,718 | 4,718 |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 44 | 44 | 44 |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 53 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 55 | 55 | 56 |
| 77 | 77 | 77 | 77 | 77 | 77 | 77 |
| 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 26 | 26 | 26 |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 1,575 | 1,578 | 1,582 | 1,589 | 1,596 | 1,620 | 1,654 |
| 10,959 | 11,018 | 11,067 | 11,120 | 11,280 | 11,405 | 11,595 |
| 1,300 | 1,302 | 1,306 | 1,317 | 1,332 | 1,343 | 1,356 |


| Year <br> Ending Dec 31 | (1) <br> Actuarial Value of Assets | (2) <br> Actuarial Accrued Liability | (3) <br> Funded Ratio (1/2) | (4) <br> Unfunded <br> Actuarial <br> Accrued <br> Liability | (5) <br> Annual Covered Payroll | (6) <br> UAAL as a Percentage of ACP (4/5) | (7) <br> Total TMRS <br> Required Contribution Rate | (8) <br> Prior Service Portion of the TMRS Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | 360,029 | 594,442 | 60.6\% | 234,413 | 143,791 | 163.0\% | $16.76 \%{ }^{(b)}$ | 9.95\% |
| 2010 | 373,897 | 621,177 | 60.2\% | 247,280 | 147,276 | 167.9\% | $17.85 \%^{\text {(c) }}$ | 10.19\% |
| 2011 | 688,015 | 832,168 | 82.7\% | 144,153 | 142,875 | 100.9\% | $17.16 \%{ }^{\text {(d) }}$ | 8.44\% |
| 2012 | 736,849 | 868,505 | 84.8\% | 131,656 | 149,940 | 87.8\% | 16.47\% | 5.17\% |
| 2013 | 787,497 | 904,236 | 87.1\% | 116,739 | 145,369 | 80.3\% | 16.28\% | 4.68\% |
| 2014 | 842,194 | 1,003,238 | 83.9\% | 161,044 | 150,941 | 106.7\% | 15.62\% | 6.88\% |
| 2015 | 893,065 | 1,037,982 | 86.0\% | 144,917 | 149,837 | 96.7\% | 15.08\% | 6.36\% |
| 2016 | 939,247 | 1,086,413 | 86.5\% | 147,166 | 154,372 | 95.3\% | 15.73\% | 6.27\% |
| 2017 | 984,568 | 1,136,502 | 86.6\% | 151,934 | 160,575 | 94.6\% | 15.58\% | 6.51\% |
| 2018 | 1,036,661 | 1,189,337 | 87.2\% | 152,676 | 169,628 | 90.0\% | 15.79\% | 6.54\% |

Source: City of Arlington Finance Department
${ }^{(b)}$ Phase in rate for 2009 16.76\%
${ }^{[c]}$ Phase in rate for 2010 17.85\%

APPENDIX C
FORMS OF BOND COUNSEL OPINION
[Form of Bond Counsel Opinion]
[Date]

## \$9,205,000 <br> CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS COMBINATION TAX AND REVENUE CERTIFICATES OF OBLIGATION

SERIES 2020

WE HAVE represented the City of Arlington, Texas (the "Issuer"), as its bond counsel in connection with an issue of certificates of obligation (the "Certificates") described as follows:

CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS, COMBINATION TAX AND REVENUE CERTIFICATES OF OBLIGATION, SERIES 2020, dated May 15, 2020, in the principal amount of $\$ 9,205,000$.

The Certificates mature, bear interest, are subject to redemption prior to maturity and may be transferred and exchanged as set out in the Certificates and in the ordinance adopted by the City Council of the Issuer authorizing their issuance (the "Bond Ordinance") and the pricing certificate (the "Pricing Certificate") executed pursuant thereto (the Bond Ordinance and Pricing Certificate together are referred to herein as the "Ordinance").

WE HAVE represented the Issuer as its bond counsel for the purpose of rendering an opinion with respect to the legality and validity of the Certificates under the Constitution and laws of the State of Texas and with respect to the exclusion of interest on the Certificates from gross income for federal income tax purposes. We have not investigated or verified original proceedings, records, data or other material, but have relied solely upon the transcript of proceedings described in the following paragraph. We have not assumed any responsibility with respect to the financial condition or capabilities of the Issuer or the disclosure thereof in connection with the sale of the Certificates. Our role in connection with the Issuer's Official Statement prepared for use in connection with the sale of the Certificates has been limited as described therein.

IN OUR CAPACITY as bond counsel, we have participated in the preparation of and have examined a transcript of certified proceedings pertaining to the Certificates, on which we have relied in giving our opinion. The transcript contains certified copies of certain proceedings of the Issuer, customary certificates of officers, agents and representatives of the Issuer and other public officials and other certified showings relating to the authorization and issuance of the Certificates. We also have analyzed such laws, regulations, guidance, documents and other materials as we have deemed necessary to render the opinions herein. We have also examined executed Certificate No. 1 of this issue.

In providing the opinions set forth herein, we have relied on representations and certifications of the City and other parties involved with the issuance of the Certificates with respect to matters solely within the knowledge of the City and such parties, which we have not independently verified. In addition, we have assumed for purposes of this opinion continuing compliance with the covenants in the Ordinance, including, but not limited to, covenants relating to the tax-exempt status of the Certificates.

BASED ON SUCH EXAMINATION, IT IS OUR OPINION THAT:
(A) The transcript of certified proceedings evidences complete legal authority for the issuance of the Certificates in full compliance with the Constitution and laws of the State of Texas presently effective and, therefore, the Certificates constitute valid and legally binding obligations of the Issuer;
(B) A continuing ad valorem tax upon all taxable property within the City of Arlington necessary to pay the principal of and interest on the Certificates, has been levied and pledged irrevocably for such purposes, within the limit prescribed by law, and the total indebtedness of the Issuer, including the Certificates, does not exceed any constitutional, statutory or other limitations. In addition, the Certificates are further secured by a limited pledge of the surplus net revenues of the Issuer's water and wastewater system as provided in the Ordinance; and
(C) Interest on the Certificates is excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes under section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. In addition, interest on the Certificates is not a specific preference item for purposes of the alternative minimum tax.

The rights of the owners of the Certificates are subject to the applicable provisions of the federal bankruptcy laws and any other similar laws affecting the rights of creditors of political subdivisions generally, and may be limited by general principles of equity which permit the exercise of judicial discretion.

Except as stated above, we express no opinion as to the amount of interest on the Certificates or any federal, state or local tax consequences resulting from the receipt or accrual of interest on, or the acquisition, ownership or disposition of, the Certificates. Further, in the event that the representations of the Issuer and other parties are determined to be inaccurate or incomplete or the Issuer fails to comply with the covenants of the Ordinance, interest on the Certificates could become includable in gross income for federal income tax purposes from the date of the original delivery of the Certificates, regardless of the date on which the event causing such inclusion occurs.

Our opinions are based on existing law and our knowledge of facts as of the date hereof and may be affected by certain actions that may be taken or omitted on a later date. We assume no duty to update or supplement our opinions, and this opinion letter may not be relied upon in connection with any changes to the law or facts, or actions taken or omitted, after the date hereof.

# [Form of Bond Counsel Opinion] 

[Date]
\$8,720,000
CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS
PERMANENT IMPROVEMENT REFUNDING BONDS
SERIES 2020
WE HAVE represented the City of Arlington, Texas (the "Issuer"), as its bond counsel in connection with an issue of bonds (the "Bonds") described as follows:

CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS PERMANENT IMPROVEMENT REFUNDING BONDS SERIES 2020, dated May 15, 2020 in the principal amount of $\$ 8,720,000$.

The Bonds mature, bear interest, are subject to redemption prior to maturity and may be transferred and exchanged as set out in the Bonds and in the ordinance adopted by the City Council of the Issuer authorizing their issuance (the "Bond Ordinance" ) and the pricing certificate (the "Pricing Certificate") executed pursuant thereto (the Bond Ordinance and Pricing Certificate together are referred to herein as the "Ordinance").

WE HAVE represented the Issuer as its bond counsel for the purpose of rendering an opinion with respect to the legality and validity of the Bonds under the Constitution and laws of the State of Texas and with respect to the exclusion of interest on the Bonds from gross income for federal income tax purposes. We have not investigated or verified original proceedings, records, data or other material, but have relied solely upon the transcript of proceedings described in the following paragraph. We have not assumed any responsibility with respect to the financial condition or capabilities of the Issuer or the disclosure thereof in connection with the sale of the Bonds. Our role in connection with the Issuer's Official Statement prepared for use in connection with the sale of the Bonds has been limited as described therein.

IN OUR CAPACITY as bond counsel, we have participated in the preparation of and have examined a transcript of certified proceedings pertaining to the Bonds, on which we have relied in giving our opinion. The transcript contains certified copies of certain proceedings of the Issuer; an escrow agreement (the "Escrow Agreement") between the Issuer and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as escrow agent (the "Escrow Agent"); a report (the "Report") of Causey Demgen \& Moore P.C., (the "Verification Agent"), verifying the sufficiency of the deposits made with the Escrow Agent for defeasance of the obligations being refunded (the "Refunded Bonds"); customary certificates of officers, agents and representatives of the Issuer, and other public officials; and other certified showings relating to the authorization and issuance of the Bonds. We also have analyzed such laws,
regulations, guidance, documents and other materials as we have deemed necessary to render the opinions herein. We have also examined executed Bond No. 1 of this issue.

In providing the opinions set forth herein, we have relied on representations and certifications of the Issuer and other parties involved with the issuance of the Bonds with respect to matters solely within the knowledge of the Issuer and such parties, which we have not independently verified. In addition, we have assumed for purposes of this opinion continuing compliance with the covenants in the Ordinance, including, but not limited to, covenants relating to the tax-exempt status of the Bonds.

## BASED ON SUCH EXAMINATION, IT IS OUR OPINION THAT:

(A) The transcript of certified proceedings evidences complete legal authority for the issuance of the Bonds in full compliance with the Constitution and laws of the State of Texas presently effective and, therefore, the Bonds constitute valid and legally binding obligations of the Issuer;
(B) A continuing ad valorem tax upon all taxable property within the City of Arlington, necessary to pay the principal of and interest on the Bonds, has been levied and pledged irrevocably for such purposes, within the limit prescribed by law, and the total indebtedness of the Issuer, including the Bonds, does not exceed any constitutional, statutory or other limitation;
(C) Firm banking and financial arrangements have been made for the discharge and final payment of the Refunded Bonds pursuant to the Escrow Agreement, and therefore, the Refunded Bonds are deemed to be fully paid and no longer outstanding except for the purpose of being paid from the funds provided therefor in the Escrow Agreement; and
(D) Interest on the Bonds is excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes under section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. In addition, interest on the Bonds is not a specific preference item for purposes of the alternative minimum tax.

The rights of the owners of the Bonds are subject to the applicable provisions of the federal bankruptcy laws and any other similar laws affecting the rights of creditors of political subdivisions generally, and may be limited by general principles of equity which permit the exercise of judicial discretion.

Except as stated above, we express no opinion as to the amount of interest on the Bonds or any federal, state or local tax consequences resulting from the receipt or accrual of interest on, or the acquisition, ownership or disposition of, the Bonds. Further, in the event that the representations of the Issuer and other parties are determined to be inaccurate or incomplete or the Issuer fails to comply with the covenants of the Ordinance, interest on the Bonds could become includable in gross income for federal income tax purposes from the date of the original delivery of the Bonds, regardless of the date
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on which the event causing such inclusion occurs.

Our opinions are based on existing law and our knowledge of facts as of the date hereof and may be affected by certain actions that may be taken or omitted on a later date. We assume no duty to update or supplement our opinions, and this opinion letter may not be relied upon in connection with any changes to the law or facts, or actions taken or omitted, after the date hereof.

Financial Advisory Services
Provided By
ESTRADA•HINOJOSA
INVESTMENT BANKERS


[^0]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ CUSIP numbers have been assigned to this issue by the CUSIP Service Bureau and are included solely for the convenience of the purchasers of the Bonds. CUSIP is a registered trademark of the American Bankers Association. CUSIP data herein is provided by CUSIP Global Services, managed by S\&P Global Market Intelligence on behalf of the American Bankers Association. This data is not intended to create a database and does not serve in any way as a substitute for the CUSIP Services. Neither the City, the Financial Advisor, nor the Purchasers are responsible for the selection or correctness of the CUSIP numbers set forth herein.
    ${ }^{(2)}$ Yield calculated based upon the assumption that the Bonds designated and sold at a premium will be redeemed on August 15, 2029, the first optional redemption date for the Bonds, at a redemption price of par plus accrued interest to the redemption date.

[^1]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ CUSIP numbers have been assigned to this issue by the CUSIP Service Bureau and are included solely for the convenience of the purchasers of the Certificates. CUSIP is a registered trademark of the American Bankers Association. CUSIP data herein is provided by CUSIP Global Services, managed by S\&P Global Market Intelligence on behalf of the American Bankers Association. This data is not intended to create a database and does not serve in any way as a substitute for the CUSIP Services. Neither the City, the Financial Advisor, nor the Purchasers are responsible for the selection or correctness of the CUSIP numbers set forth herein.
    ${ }^{(2)}$ Yield calculated based upon the assumption that the Certificates designated and sold at a premium will be redeemed on August 15 , 2029, the first optional redemption date for the Bonds, at a redemption price of par plus accrued interest to the redemption date.

    This cover page contains information for quick reference only. It is not a summary of this issue. Investors must read the entire official statement to obtain information essential to the making of an informed investment decision.

    Separate Issues. The Certificates are being offered by the City concurrently with the "City of Arlington, Texas, Permanent Improvement and Refunding Bonds, Series 2020" (the "Bonds"). The Certificates and the Bonds are being offered under a common Official Statement and are hereinafter sometimes referred to collectively as the "Obligations." The Bonds and Certificates are separate and distinct securities offerings being issued and sold independently. While the Certificates and Bonds share certain common attributes, each issue is separate from the other and should be reviewed and analyzed independently, including the type of obligation being offered, its terms for payment, the security for its payment, the rights of the holders, and other features (see "THE OBLIGATIONS General").

[^2]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Includes service as Council member from May 1999 to May 2003.
    ${ }^{(2)}$ As a response to the outbreak of COVID-19, an infectious disease that has been classified as a pandemic by the World Health Organization, on March 18, 2020 the Governor of Texas issued an Executive Order that suspended certain provisions of the Texas Election Code, to allow political subdivisions that would otherwise hold elections on May 2, 2020 to move their general and special elections for 2020 to the next uniform election date of November 3, 2020. The City has taken action to postpone its May 2, 2020 council election until November 3, 2020. Current Councilmembers will retain their seats until the postponed election takes place.

[^3]:    Source: City of Arlington, Finance Department.
    ${ }^{(1)}$ Currently in TexStar, TexPool, Texas Daily, TexPool Prime, Texas CLASS and Texas CLASS Gov.

[^4]:    Source: City of Arlington Finance Department.
    ${ }^{(1)}$ Population estimates are based on percent of occupancy in available residences and U.S. Census Bureau data.
    ${ }^{(2)}$ Estimated taxable valuation is obtained from Tarrant County Appraisal District and the City Finance Department.
    ${ }^{(3)}$ Includes self-supporting debt. See "Table 5 - Computation of Self-Supporting Debt." If revenues or other available funds of the City are not sufficient to pay the self-supporting debt, the City will be obligated to assess an ad valorem tax to pay the difference.
    ${ }^{(4)}$ Includes the Obligations and excludes the Refunded Obligations.

[^5]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Includes the Obligations and excludes the Refunded Obligations.

[^6]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Source: Municipal Advisory Council of Texas. Net debt outstanding per representative of each jurisdiction.
    ${ }^{(2)}$ Includes the Obligations. Excludes the Refunded Obligations.

[^7]:    Source: City of Arlington Finance Department
    ${ }^{(1)}$ Net Taxable Valuation is the certified roll as of September of each year including minimum estimated value of property under protest.
    ${ }^{(2)}$ Prior year's collections include current year collections, prior year delinquent collections and all penalty and interest collections.
    (3) Collections as of March 2020.

[^8]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Source: Tarrant Appraisal District.

[^9]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Receipts reflect the City's 1\% sales tax levied pursuant to Chapter 321, Texas Tax Code.
    ${ }^{(2)}$ Population estimates are based on percent of occupancy in available residences and U.S. Census Bureau data.

[^10]:    D. Pension Expense and Deferred Outflows and Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions For the year ended September 30, 2019, the city recognized pension expense of $\$ 131,699$.

[^11]:    Source: Texas Workforce Commission

[^12]:    Source: City of Arlington Building Inspections Division

[^13]:    General Revenues:
    Taxes:
    Property taxes
    Sales taxes
    Criminal justice tax
    State liquor tax
    Bingo tax
    TIF/TIRZ
    Occupancy tax
    Franchise fees based on gross receipts
    Interest
    Net increase (decrease) in fair value of investments Other
    Transfers
    Total general revenues and transfers
    Change in net position
    Net position - beginning as restated*
    Net position - ending

[^14]:    *as restated

